

2016

On the dead end of current research on burnout's prevalence

Renzo Bianchi
Université de Neuchâtel

Irvin Sam Schonfeld
CUNY City College

Eric Laurent
Université de Franche-Comté

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Follow this and additional works at: http://academicworks.cuny.edu/cc_pubs

 Part of the [Clinical Psychology Commons](#), [Psychiatry and Psychology Commons](#), [Public Health Commons](#), and the [Surgery Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bianchi, R., Schonfeld, I.S., & Laurent, E. (2016). On the dead end of current research on burnout's prevalence. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, 223, 424-425. doi:10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2016.05.012

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the City College of New York at CUNY Academic Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications and Research by an authorized administrator of CUNY Academic Works. For more information, please contact AcademicWorks@cuny.edu.

REFERENCES

1. Colorectal Writing Group for the SCOAP-CERTAIN Collaborative, Ehlers AP, Simianu VV, Bastawrous AL, et al. Alvimopan use, outcomes, and costs: A report from the surgical care and outcomes assessment program comparative effectiveness research translation network collaborative. *J Am Coll Surg* 2016;222:870–877
2. Harbaugh CM, Al-Holou SN, Bander TS, et al. A statewide, community-based assessment of alvimopan's effect on surgical outcomes. *Ann Surg* 2013;257:427–432
3. Simorov A, Thompson J, Oleynikov D. Alvimopan reduces length of stay and costs in patients undergoing segmental colonic resections: Results from multicenter national administrative database. *Am J Surg* 2014;208:919–925
4. Viscusi ER, Goldstein S, Witkowski T, et al. Alvimopan, a peripherally acting mu-opioid receptor antagonist, compared with placebo in postoperative ileus after major abdominal surgery: Results of a randomized, double-blind, controlled study. *Surg Endosc* 2006;20:64–70
5. Ludwig K, Enker WE, Delaney CP, et al. Gastrointestinal tract recovery in patients undergoing bowel resection: Results of a randomized trial of alvimopan and placebo with a standardized accelerated postoperative care pathway. *Arch Surg* 2008;143:1098–1105

Disclosure Information: Dr Ehlers was supported by a training grant from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number T32DK070555. Dr Farjah received support as a Cancer Research Network Scholar (CRN4: Cancer Research Resources & Collaboration in Integrated Health Care Systems, grant number U24 CA171524). SCOAP is a program of the Foundation for HealthCare Quality. The Comparative Effectiveness Research Translation Network (CERTAIN) is a program of the University of Washington that provided research and analytic support for this publication and was supported by funding from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality under award number R01HS020025. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health, the Cancer Research Network, the Foundation for HealthCare Quality, or the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

The Dead End of Current Research on Burnout Prevalence



Renzo Bianchi, PhD
Neuchâtel, Switzerland

Irvin Sam Schonfeld, PhD, MPH
New York, NY

Eric Laurent, PhD
Besançon, France

In their systematic review of surgeon burnout, Dimou and colleagues¹ concluded that >50% of the members of the profession experience burnout. The authors additionally suggested that the prevalence of burnout in surgeons was likely underreported because of limited response rates in the studies of interest. We think that such conclusions are unwarranted.

First, there are no consensual or binding diagnostic criteria for burnout.^{2,3} The cutoff scores provided in the manual of the Maslach Burnout Inventory have been arbitrarily defined on a tercile-split basis and have no clinical grounding or theoretical underpinning.⁴ Had a quartile split been chosen, then different cut points would have emerged. Relying on such criteria to estimate the prevalence of burnout is therefore unjustified. Pending diagnostic criteria for burnout, it has been recommended that conservative cutoff scores be used when the investigator wants to adopt a clinically relevant approach to burnout.^{2,4} Although still suboptimal, this strategy at least has the advantage of being sustained by a clear rationale. Indeed, conservative cutoff scores correspond to high symptom frequencies and show close adherence to the available descriptions of clinical burnout. Clinical burnout is not a transient state that could appear and disappear from one day to another; it is supposed to reflect the final stage of an adaptive breakdown at which feelings of helplessness and exhaustion are constant.² Dimou and colleagues themselves note that “burnout represents the extreme end of a spectrum.” The Maslach Burnout Inventory cutoff scores used in the studies reviewed by the authors do not satisfy these recommendations (see Table 1 in the article by Dimou and colleagues): an emotional exhaustion score ≥ 27 of 54 corresponds to symptoms experienced, on average, a few times a month, and a depersonalization score ≥ 10 of 30 corresponds to symptoms experienced, on average, once a month. Given the leniency of these cutoff scores, the authors' claim that burnout's prevalence is likely underestimated is open to question. Overestimation is, in our view, more plausible.

Second, recent research suggests that burnout is actually a depressive syndrome.³ Burnout and depression overlap has been evidenced in terms of cause, symptoms, course, cognitive biases, dispositional vulnerabilities, and allostatic load.^{2,3} In this context, focusing on (job-related)

depression—a clinically well-characterized entity—might be better advised in research on occupational health.^{3,5,6}

Finally, we note that researchers' recommendations about stress-reducing organizational changes often remain incantatory because they insufficiently consider the economic issues and macrosocial power relationships that can hamper the recommendations' implementation. If such recommendations are to be followed, another key condition is the availability of high-validity supportive research. The claim that >50% of surgeons are burned out can be easily challenged, given its reliance on arbitrary reference points.

Current practices in burnout research have led to an accumulation of results, the clinical meaning of which is obscure.⁵ This state of affairs compromises effective decision making in terms of interventions and public health policies. In our view, continuing down this road will drive burnout researchers to a dead end. Burnout's status should be clarified before more research on its prevalence is planned.

REFERENCES

1. Dimou FM, Eckelbarger D, Riall TS. Surgeon burnout: a systematic review. *J Am Coll Surg* 2016;223:1230–1239
2. Bianchi R, Schonfeld IS, Laurent E. Burnout-depression overlap: a review. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2015;36:28–41
3. Bianchi R, Schonfeld IS, Laurent E. Is it time to consider the “burnout syndrome” a distinct illness? *Front Public Health* 2015;3:158
4. Schaufeli WB, Enzmann D. *The Burnout Companion to Study and Practice: A Critical Analysis*. London: Taylor & Francis; 1998
5. Bianchi R, Schonfeld IS, Laurent E. Burnout: absence of binding diagnostic criteria hampers prevalence estimates. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2015;52:789–790
6. Schonfeld IS, Bianchi R. Burnout and depression: two entities or one? *J Clin Psychol* 2016;72:22–37

Disclosure Information: Nothing to disclose.

On Surgeon Burnout In reply to Bianchi and colleagues



Francesca M Dimou, MD, MS
Galveston, TX and Tampa, FL

Taylor S Riall, MD, PhD
Tucson, AZ

In their letter to the editor, Bianchi and colleagues correctly point out that current measures of burnout are

limited by arbitrary cutoffs with little clinical relevance or theoretical underpinning.¹ They go on to suggest that conservative cutoff scores be used to increase the specificity of the Maslach Burnout Inventory—or to not “over-diagnose” burnout—if investigators want to develop a clinically relevant approach to burnout.

Burnout is the final stage of an adaptive breakdown, at which feelings of helplessness and exhaustion are constant. If the goal is diagnosing burnout in the end stage, then Bianchi and colleagues are correct, we are likely overestimating the prevalence of end-stage burnout, but not the symptoms of burnout. However, we would argue that if people are experiencing distress in any area of their life, it impacts their performance in other areas and holds them back from achieving their greatest potential. If surgeons are experiencing emotional exhaustion on average a few times a month, or depersonalization on average once a month, this is the time to intervene. Unchecked, this distress leads to clinical burnout, which often leads to adverse consequences for the surgeon, his or her colleagues, family, and the patients he or she treats.

We believe the goal of screening for symptoms of burnout or lack of physician well being is not to diagnose the end stage, but raise physician awareness about the early signs. Data suggest that physicians lack awareness in this regard; 70% of physicians in the lowest third of well being relative to physician norms believed their well being to be higher than average.² With awareness of the signs and symptoms, physicians will be better able to identify the factors that challenge their well being in this quickly changing and stressful health care environment and make conscious choices about how they respond to those challenges.

Although imperfect, we believe that current data suggest an alarming trend. Regardless of the specificity of the measure of burnout in the studies reviewed, it is concerning that the prevalence of these symptoms is worsening over time, as well as worsening relative to a probability-based sample of working US adults.³ We believe that underestimation of symptoms of burnout or distress is possible. The studies in the review targeted working physicians and surgeons, thereby assessing only physicians who were healthy enough to keep working; the studies likely missed those that left medicine due to burnout—what has ultimately been reported as healthy worker bias.⁴ In addition, medical culture, and surgical culture especially, encourages success by “running faster and faster on the hamster wheel” and does not provide an environment where physicians are encouraged to take care of themselves. In many instances, responding to such surveys or admitting the