Posttraumatic stress and myocardial infarction risk perceptions in hospitalized acute coronary syndrome patients
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INTRODUCTION

Acute coronary syndromes [ACS; i.e., myocardial infarction (MI), unstable angina (UA)] are highly prevalent (Lloyd-Jones et al., 2010), and survivors are at increased risk for recurrent MI and mortality in the subsequent year (Goldberg et al., 2004; Montalescot et al., 2007). Although this increased risk can be managed through adherence to medical advice, post-ACS patients often exhibit poor adherence (Eagle et al., 2005), possibly due to underestimation of their risk for future MI recurrence. This may be particularly true for patients with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), an anxiety disorder that has been related to ACS incidence (Kubzansky et al., 2007), ACS recurrence (Edmondson et al., 2011), poor post-ACS adherence (Shemesh et al., 2004), and ACS risk behaviors (Cohen et al., 2009).

It is widely known that affective states, and more abiding affective alterations due to psychological disorder, are related to risk perceptions. However, the complexity of the relationship between affect and risk perception is under-recognized, as the effect of emotions is influenced by the type and intensity of emotion, as well as the type of judgment being influenced (Pham, 2007). For example, depression disrupts reasoning and effortful processing (Conway and Giannopoulos, 1993; Hartlage et al., 1993), and clinical anxiety is related poorer recall for pertinent information and organization of such information in memory (Mueller, 1978), haphazard decision-making (Keinan, 1987), and less thorough processing of persuasive arguments (Sanbonmatsu and Kardes, 1988). Thus, psychological disorders may produce deficits in people's reasoning abilities.

The estimation of risk for many future events is a difficult task under any circumstances, and the estimation of a future life-threatening event such as an MI immediately after experiencing an MI may be particularly difficult. It requires both some understanding of the base rate of MI, the added risk conferred by having already had an MI, and (in this study) a comparison of personal risk to normative risk. While the cognitive load associated with PTSD and the biases associated with anxiety more generally may influence risk perceptions, there is also evidence that when risk perceptions are related to actual subsequent outcomes, psychological disorder may be related to poor prediction and overconfidence due to neglect of base rate information, heightened perception of dissimilarity from others, and even undue optimism about the future (Dunning and Story, 1991).

The association of PTSD to medical risk perceptions has never been estimated, particularly in the context of actual elevated risk due to PTSD. Since risk perceptions are a primary motivator of adherence behaviors (Brewer et al., 2004), we assessed the relationship of probable PTSD to MI risk perceptions in hospitalized ACS patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants were ACS patients who had been treated in the Columbia University Medical Center (CUMC) ED and enrolled in the Prescription Use, Lifestyle, Stress Evaluation (PULSE) study, an ongoing, single site, observational, prospective study of patients with ACS. The primary objectives of the parent study are to identify intermediary phenotypes of depression in ACS patients and the...
behavioral, biological, and genetic mechanisms that may account for the excess ACS recurrence and mortality risk associated with depression in ACS patients. Patients were eligible to participate if they were diagnosed with ACS, as defined by UA, NSTEMI, or STEMI, and diagnosis was confirmed by two independent cardiologists. Patients were ineligible if they were under 18 years old, a prisoner, were deemed unable to comply with the study protocol or had a life expectancy less than 1 year, were not fluent in English or Spanish, or evinced psychosis, bipolar disorder, or personality disorder.

Data for the present analyses are from a subset of the first 500 participants who also completed a PTSD screening measure in the 3- to 7-days post-ACS (n = 420).

During hospitalization, participants rated their “risk for having a heart attack in the next year compared to other men (or women) their age” on a 5-point scale (1, “much lower than average” to 5, “much higher than average”), and their confidence that they “could control their heart disease” on a 5-point scale (1, “not at all confident” to 5, “very confident”). Patient-reported demographics and depressive symptoms (i.e., Beck Depression Inventory, BDI sum score; Beck et al., 1961) were also ascertained. The following clinical variables were derived from chart review: index ACS type (i.e., MI or UA), Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE) risk score (i.e., 6-month post-ACS mortality risk based upon previous MI, heart failure, and in-hospital cardiovascular markers; Goldberg et al., 2004), and Charlson comorbidity score (i.e., index of 22 medical conditions weighted by mortality risk; Charlson et al., 1994). Demographics, depressive symptoms, and perceived control have been associated with risk perceptions in previous research (Avis et al., 1989; Helweg-Larsen and Shepperd, 2001).

Participants were screened 3–7 days after discharge from the hospital for PTSD symptoms in the previous three months using three items from the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-PTSD (SCID-PTSD; First et al., 1995). They reported whether they had (1) experienced a traumatic event (“such as a major disaster, very serious accident or fire, being physically assaulted or raped, seeing another person killed or dead, or badly hurt, or hearing about something horrible that has just happened to someone close to you”) prior to their ACS hospitalization, (2) whether the event came back “in nightmares, flashbacks, or thoughts you cannot get rid of,” and (3) whether the experience of “thoughts or feelings coming back” had happened in the past 3 months. Participants who responded “yes” to all three items were categorized as screening positive for probable PTSD. In previous research, responses to these questions correctly identified 97% of PTSD cases (Franklin et al., 2002). Finally, five cardiologists blinded to PTSD status estimated the aggregate 1-year MI risk for all participants based on demographic data and clinical risk index scores.

Myocardial infarction risk perceptions were the dependent variable in a one-way ANCOVA with probable PTSD screen as the grouping factor, and age, gender, race (African-American versus other), ethnicity (Hispanic versus non-Hispanic), index ACS event type, GRACE, Charlson, BDI, and self-confidence in heart disease control as covariates.

RESULTS

Participants were primarily male (n = 291, 69%) and Hispanic (n = 287, 68%) or African-American (n = 83, 20%) with a mean age of 63 ± 11. For clinical variables, 161 participants (38%) were hospitalized with MI, and the sample as a whole averaged 2 medical comorbidities. The average GRACE score for the sample was 88.8 (28.22), indicating ~3–8% average probability of death between hospitalization and 6 months post-discharge. The sample as a whole reported moderate confidence in their ability to control their heart disease [mean = 2.4 (1.2)]. Participants who screened positive for PTSD (n = 15, 3.5%) did not differ from those who screened negative on any covariate (Table 1), except that they reported significantly more depressive symptoms (13.3 versus 9.2, p = 0.03).

Overall, participants exhibited an optimistic bias in perceived MI risk, rating their risk as between average and below average [mean risk estimate = 2.6 (1.1)]. Patients’ risk perceptions (Figure 1) were unrelated to their GRACE risk score (r = 0.05, p = 0.31), though qualitatively discrepant from cardiologists’ ratings [between “above average” (four cardiologists) and “well above average” (one cardiologist)]. In the ANCOVA [F(9, 406) = 9.36, p < 0.001, R² (adj) = 0.15], a positive screen for PTSD was associated with significantly lower perceived risk of MI relative to a negative screen [2.1 (1.0) versus 2.7 (1.1); p = 0.03, η² = 0.01]. Significant covariates included confidence in ability to control heart disease (p < 0.001, η² = 0.09), Charlson comorbidity score (p = 0.01, η² = 0.02), and Hispanic ethnicity (p = 0.02, η² = 0.01).

DISCUSSION

This study is the first to assess MI risk perceptions in post-ACS patients and to demonstrate a relationship between PTSD and increased optimistic bias. Previous research suggested that PTSD might be related to less optimistic bias (Gidycz et al., 2006), but this previous research was not conducted among hospitalized patients. In contrast to laboratory experiments, psychological disorders have been associated with more pronounced optimistic bias in estimations of future events (Dunning and Story, 1991). Further, it is possible that the hospital environment induces avoidance and numbing mechanisms that further minimize risk perceptions in patients with probable PTSD. It is also possible that a broader

| Table 1 | Participant characteristics. |
| Positive screen for PTSD, n = 15 | Negative screen for PTSD, n = 405 |
| Age, years | 61.2 ± 12.3 | 62.8 ± 11.0 |
| Male, n (%) | 11 (73) | 280 (69) |
| Hispanic/Latino, n (%) | 8 (53) | 279 (69) |
| African-American, n (%) | 3 (20) | 80 (20) |
| Myocardial infarction, n (%) | 7 (47) | 154 (38) |
| GRACE risk score | 80.8 ± 23.9 | 89.1 ± 27.7 |
| Charlson comorbidity index | 1.9 ± 1.7 | 1.7 ± 1.7 |
| Beck Depression Inventory* | 13.3 ± 9.9 | 9.2 ± 7.2 |
| Confidence in CHD control | 2.5 ± 1.4 | 2.3 ± 1.1 |

*p < 0.05.
deficit in self-care gives rise to cognitive dissonance that requires a reduction in perceptions of future MI threat (Stone and Cooper, 2001). The self-care deficit and cognitive dissonance explanation is consistent with recent findings that PTSD is associated with longer delays between initial symptoms and presentation to the hospital (Edmondson et al., 2011; von Känel et al., 2011).

There were no significant differences between the two groups on any demographic or clinical variable we measured, nor did the two groups differ in their confidence that they could control their heart disease. However, as expected, participants who screened positive for probable PTSD reported more depression symptoms. While depression is often thought to result in “depressive realism,” there is considerable disagreement concerning the consistency, strength, and source of this effect, particularly outside the lab (Carson et al., 2010). Indeed, we found the opposite, that the more depressed group were less realistic about their risk for MI, in a manner similar to that found by Dunning and Story (1991).

Limitations of this study include the use of a PTSD screening instrument which assesses only Criterion A (without specifying the type of trauma) and Criterion B. Although the low prevalence of probable PTSD in this sample is noteworthy and has important clinical implications, it is not a statistical limitation as unbalanced designs in ANCOVA tend to result in reduced power (i.e., bias the grand mean toward the larger sample). Further, we reported statistical tests based on Type III sums of squares in ANCOVA because estimates based on Type III sums of squares are not sample size dependent (i.e., effect estimates are not a function of group size). Future studies should consider more comprehensive measures of PTSD.

These results suggest that ACS patients with PTSD may be excessively optimistic when estimating their true risk of cardiovascular morbidity. This optimistic bias may help to explain the decreased adherence previously described in post-ACS patients with PTSD (Shemesh et al., 2004) and, ultimately, the increased ACS recurrence risk associated with PTSD (Edmondson et al., 2011; von Känel et al., 2011).
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