

BRONX COMMUNITY COLLEGE
of the City University of New York

COMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC STANDING

Minutes of the meeting of April 1, 1981
South Hall First Floor Conference Room, 2:00 p.m.

Faculty and Administrative Representatives

PRESENT: Prof. J. Hayde, presiding; Professors R. Barnette, G.R. Diallo, H. Erdsneker, J. Gardinier, G. Gean, A. Gotta, G.H. Greenfield, J. Nagel, J.O'Sullivan, R.Quinn, P. Read, H.Richardson, J. Skurdenis, F.B.Stabile, W.Wahlin; Ms.A. Ruiz, Ms.R.Tobin

EXCUSED ABSENCES: Professors D.Genova, D. Gracian

ABSENT: Representatives from the Departments of Music and Art, Physics; representatives from the Faculty Staff Caucus and Student Caucus.

I. MINUTES

- A. Minutes of the meeting of March 18, 1981
 - 1. Corrections
Have the minutes reflect that Prof.P.Read's name should appear under Excused Absences for the meeting of March 4, 1981.
 - 2. The minutes were approved as corrected: 14-0-0.

II. COMMUNICATIONS

- A. A delayed message from Prof. R. Quinn, Secretarial Studies, stating that she was unable to attend the meeting of March 18, 1981.
- B. Letter of March 31, signed by the members of the Curriculum Committee on the deliberations of the B.C.C. College Senate meeting of March 26, 1981.
 - 1. The President's new governance plan was amended at that meeting to alter the character of both the Curriculum Committee and the Committee on Academic Affairs.
 - a. These committees will have four members from each division elected by the faculty members of the respective divisions, one from each of four departments in the three divisions. This constitutes a substantial reduction in representation.

- b. Two departments in each of the three divisions will have no members on the committees.
 - c. None of the elected members could be considered a departmental representative since they will be elected at large from their divisions, and presumably would represent divisional interests.
2. The letter offered cogent arguments in urging the Senate and College community to support President Brown's plan as originally presented by the Committee on Governance.
 3. Discussion
 - a. In as much as the proposed amendment constitutes a substantive change, it should have been presented in the form of a new motion and not an amendment.
 - b. Whether or not the Senate's procedural regulations stipulate that a new motion should be deferred to a subsequent meeting for a voting determination.
 4. Resolution
 - a. The following resolution was set in motion:

"The members of the Committee on Academic Standing strongly oppose any weakening of the composition of that committee and the Curriculum Committee which does not give equal representation to all academic departments of the College as well as adequate representation to the students.
The members of C.A.S. strongly support the formulation of the Committee on Academic Standing and the Curriculum Committee as originally proposed by the Committee on Governance and Structure in the document dated March 19, 1981.
The members of the Committee on Academic Standing refer the Senate to the arguments raised by the C.A.S. relevant to this matter and previously distributed to and, indeed, supported by the Senate at that time."
 - b. The resolution was approved: 16-0-1.

III, STUDENT APPEALS

- A. Minutes of the Subcommittee on Student Appeals of March 25, 1981 (appended to these minutes).
MOTION: To sustain the recommendations of the Subcommittee.
ACTION: Carried: 17-0-0.
- B. Professor Barnette announced that the Subcommittee will meet Wednesday, April 25, at 2:15 P.M. in LO 206.

IV. OLD BUSINESS

- A. Credit Limitation for Probationary Students
 1. Professor J. O'Sullivan assumed the chairmanship of the subcommittee.
- B. Academic Standard and Rate of Progress
 1. Nothing new to report

V. NEW BUSINESS

A. Review of Codification on April 8.

VI. DATE OF NEXT MEETING AND ADJOURNMENT

A. The next scheduled meeting of the CAS is April 8, 1981.

B. The meeting adjourned at 3:45 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

Frank B. Stabile

Frank B. Stabile