

City University of New York (CUNY)

CUNY Academic Works

Publications and Research

Hunter College

2013

A new look at the Dynamic Similarity Hypothesis: the importance of swing phase

David A. Raichlen
University of Arizona

Herman Pontzer
CUNY Hunter College

Liza J. Shapiro
University of Texas at Austin

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

More information about this work at: https://academicworks.cuny.edu/hc_pubs/186

Discover additional works at: <https://academicworks.cuny.edu>

This work is made publicly available by the City University of New York (CUNY).
Contact: AcademicWorks@cuny.edu

A new look at the Dynamic Similarity Hypothesis: the importance of swing phase

David A. Raichlen^{1,*}, Herman Pontzer^{2,3} and Liza J. Shapiro⁴

¹School of Anthropology, University of Arizona, PO Box 210030, Tucson, AZ 85721-00030, USA

²Department of Anthropology, Hunter College, 695 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10065, USA

³New York Consortium for Evolutionary Primatology, New York, USA

⁴Department of Anthropology, University of Texas at Austin, 1 University Avenue, Austin, TX 78712, USA

*Author for correspondence (raichlen@email.arizona.edu)

Biology Open 2, 1032–1036

doi: 10.1242/bio.20135165

Received 18th April 2013

Accepted 8th July 2013

Summary

The Dynamic Similarity Hypothesis (DSH) suggests that when animals of different size walk at similar Froude numbers (equal ratios of inertial and gravitational forces) they will use similar size-corrected gaits. This application of similarity theory to animal biomechanics has contributed to fundamental insights in the mechanics and evolution of a diverse set of locomotor systems. However, despite its popularity, many mammals fail to walk with dynamically similar stride lengths, a key element of gait that determines spontaneous speed and energy costs. Here, we show that the applicability of the DSH is dependent on the inertial forces examined. In general, the inertial forces are thought to be the centripetal force of the inverted pendulum model of stance phase, determined by the length of the limb. If instead we model inertial forces as the centripetal force of the limb acting as a suspended pendulum during swing phase (determined by limb center of mass position), the DSH for

stride length variation is fully supported. Thus, the DSH shows that inter-specific differences in spatial kinematics are tied to the evolution of limb mass distribution patterns. Selection may act on morphology to produce a given stride length, or alternatively, stride length may be a “spandrel” of selection acting on limb mass distribution.

© 2013. Published by The Company of Biologists Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

Key words: Biomechanics, Quadrupedalism, Froude, Primate, Locomotion, Spandrels

Introduction

The Dynamic Similarity Hypothesis (DSH), first applied to comparative biomechanics by Alexander (Alexander, 1976; Alexander and Jayes, 1983), is one of the most far reaching concepts in animal locomotion and has played an essential role in our understanding of terrestrial locomotor evolution (Vaughan and O'Malley, 2005). The DSH suggests that differences in locomotor mechanics among mammals are due mostly to differences in size, providing a unifying framework for understanding the evolution of locomotion across a wide range of taxa (Alexander and Jayes, 1983). According to the DSH, once size is taken into account, animals that are roughly geometrically similar (dimensions can be made equal by multiplying by a constant) will walk with dynamically similar mechanics (mechanics can be made equal by multiplying by separate constants for spatial, temporal, and kinetic features) when the ratios of inertial and gravitational forces acting on the animals are equal (Alexander and Jayes, 1983), a condition that is met when animals walk at the same Froude number (Fr):

$$Fr = \frac{v^2}{gh} \quad (1)$$

where v is velocity (ms^{-1}), g is gravitational acceleration (9.8 ms^{-2}), and h is some characteristic length (m). Since the

primary inertial force in terrestrial locomotion is generally thought to be the centripetal force acting on an animal as it vaults over its stance leg (e.g. inverted pendulum model) (Cavagna et al., 1977; Cavagna and Margaria, 1966; Donelan and Kram, 1997), h is assumed to be hip height (e.g. the length of the strut for the inverted pendulum). Limb length also determines the maximum potential energy of the body in the inverted pendulum model, so its use in Eqn 1 suggests that ratios of potential and kinetic energy are equal at equal Fr s (Biewener, 2003). Since gait parameters change as a consequence of both the speed and size of an animal, the DSH has proven invaluable in allowing researchers to compare organisms of different size walking at different speeds by providing a size-corrected speed (Fr) against which gait parameters are compared. In this way, the DSH has played an essential role in the development of comparative and evolutionary biomechanics (Alexander and Jayes, 1983; Alexander and Maloiy, 1984; Bennett, 1987; Cavagna et al., 1983; Demes et al., 1990; Donelan and Kram, 1997; Gatesy and Biewener, 1991; Kram et al., 1997; McGeer, 1990; Moretto et al., 1996; Raichlen, 2005a; Raichlen, 2006; Shapiro and Young, 2012; Zijlstra et al., 1996), in studies of clinical human gait (Vaughan et al., 2003; Vaughan and O'Malley, 2005), to predict locomotor mechanics on other planets (Minetti, 2001a; Minetti, 2001b; Raichlen, 2008), and in reconstructions of locomotion in

fossil humans and dinosaurs (Alexander, 1976; Alexander, 1984; Dingwall et al., 2013; Raichlen et al., 2008; Vaughan and Blaszczak, 2008).

One key aspect of the DSH, and one that drives several aspects of animal locomotor mechanics and energetics, is the requirement that animals walk with dynamically similar stride lengths relative to size at similar Fr s (Alexander and Jayes, 1983). However, two issues have arisen in studies examining stride length and the DSH. First, experiments with a gravity altering treadmill suggest that human stride lengths are not equal at the same Fr s when gravity is changed (Donelan and Kram, 1997). Second, as Alexander and Jayes originally noted (Alexander and Jayes, 1983), some groups of mammals (non-cursorial quadrupeds and non-human primates) seem to walk with longer relative stride lengths compared to other mammalian quadrupeds at similar Fr s (Alexander and Maloiy, 1984; Demes et al., 1990; Raichlen, 2004; Raichlen, 2005a; Raichlen, 2005b; Raichlen, 2006). This violation of a key element of the DSH requires a more detailed examination to determine whether it indeed describes mammalian locomotion in the general way it is applied across so many disciplines. Moreover, violations of the DSH may help us better understand the adaptive value of locomotor mechanics, since, for example, walking with relatively long strides at a given speed may allow for safer locomotion on unstable substrates (e.g. small narrow branches), or may contribute to energetically economical walking and running (Demes et al., 1990; Pontzer, 2007a). Thus, understanding why animals deviate from dynamically similar stride lengths could provide a unique window into the evolution of mammalian locomotion.

Here, we suggest that violations of the DSH are due to a focus on stance phase dynamics, despite evidence that limb motion during swing phase plays a major role in determining gait characteristics. Locomotor kinematics are influenced to some extent by a combination of the natural period of the limb swinging as a suspended pendulum and by the muscle force required to drive the limb above or below its natural period (Holt et al., 1990; Kuo, 2001; Kuo, 2002; McGeer, 1990; McMahon, 1984; Mochon and McMahon, 1980; Preuschoft, 2004; Preuschoft and Günther, 1994; Raichlen, 2004; Raichlen, 2008). Since mass distribution determines a limb's natural period, more distal limb centers of mass (COM) lead to longer swing durations, and therefore longer strides and lower stride frequencies (Holt et al., 1990; Preuschoft and Günther, 1994; Raichlen, 2004; Raichlen, 2008).

If we shift the focus of the DSH from stance to swing phase, then the inertial force used to calculate the Froude number may be related to the limb swinging as a suspended pendulum, and therefore h in Eqn 1 would be limb COM position rather than hip height. This hypothesis was indirectly tested using a kinematic model to show that the effects of gravity on limb swing account for changes in human stride length under reduced gravity conditions (Raichlen, 2008). In addition, Leurs et al. showed in a novel experiment that increasing limb length in humans through use of stilts results in a change in relative stride length at a given Froude number (Leurs et al., 2011), suggesting that limb geometry, rather than limb length alone, plays an important role in generating dynamic similarity. Here, we test this hypothesis directly using experimental data from a range of taxa and predict that variation in limb mass distribution will account for deviations from dynamically similar stride lengths across mammals. If supported, this hypothesis would resolve violations of the DSH and would

suggest that mammalian locomotion is governed to a large extent by swing phase dynamics.

Materials and Methods

We compared Fr (Eqn 1) and relative stride length (rSL; stride length divided by characteristic length, h) in a variety of species using two characteristic lengths (h): hip height (measured as the perpendicular distance from greater trochanter to the ground) and hindlimb COM position. Locomotor and morphological data included here are from previous studies of comparative biomechanics in the following taxa (Pontzer, 2007b; Raichlen, 2005a; Raichlen, 2005b; Raichlen, 2006; Shapiro and Raichlen, 2006; Shapiro and Raichlen, 2005; Sockol et al., 2007): infant *Papio cynocephalus* (baboons; $n=4$), *Pan troglodytes* (chimpanzees; $n=5$), *Canis familiaris* (dogs; $n=4$), *Capra hircus* (goats; $n=4$), and *Homo sapiens* (humans; $n=5$). In a second experiment, we altered human hindlimb COM positions by strapping weights to their ankles (0.75 kg on each ankle).

Two methods were used to calculate limb COM positions. For humans, dogs and goats, hindlimb lengths, and hindlimb segment lengths, were measured using measuring tape, and hindlimb COM positions were calculated from equations in Winter's paper for humans (Winter, 1990), and Myers and Steudel for dogs and goats (Myers and Steudel, 1997). For humans, COM positions were calculated by summing limb segment COM positions, weighted by segment mass, relative to the hip joint (with the foot treated as a point mass at the distal end of the shank). We use hindlimb COM positions and lengths here because the natural period of forelimbs and hindlimbs are generally similar in quadrupeds and use of hindlimb remains consistent with previous studies of the DSH (Alexander and Jayes, 1983; Myers and Steudel, 1997; Raichlen, 2004). Ankle weights were treated as point masses at the distal end of the shank. For chimpanzees and baboons, geometric models were used to calculate limb COM positions (Raichlen, 2004). External measurements were taken of limb segment lengths and circumferences, and geometric models were used to calculate segment COM positions (Raichlen, 2004). As in humans, non-human primate hindlimb COM positions were calculated by summing limb segment COM positions, weighted by segment mass, relative to the hip joint.

Locomotor data were captured from overground locomotion in baboons and from treadmill locomotion in all other taxa. These data were from previous studies of locomotor mechanics in these taxa (Raichlen, 2005a; Pontzer, 2007b; Sockol et al., 2007). Chimpanzees walked on a motorized treadmill while they were filmed with high-speed digital video (Redlake®, San Diego, CA, 125 Hz). Frame-by-frame coordinates of hindlimb joint centers (marked with non-toxic white paint) were calculated using a Matlab image analysis program (Hedrick, 2008). Dogs and goats walked on a motorized treadmill and their joints were marked using small reflective markers adhered to the skin via double-sided tape and tracked using a high-speed infrared camera system (Qualysis®, Gothenburg, Sweden, 200 Hz). Frame-by-frame coordinates of each joint center were calculated using Qualysis proprietary software. Baboons walked in a clear plastic tunnel and their joints were marked with spherical reflective markers. Markers were tracked using an infrared motion analysis system (Vicon®, Oxford, UK, 60 Hz) and frame-by-frame coordinates of joint centers were calculated using Vicon proprietary software. Analyses were restricted to the sagittal plane. For treadmill trials, stride lengths were calculated as the product of velocity (e.g. treadmill velocity) and stride duration. For baboons, stride lengths were calculated as the distance traveled by the hindfoot between two successive footfalls, and velocity was calculated as stride length divided by stride duration.

Human subjects walked on a treadmill at three speeds (1, 1.5, and 2 ms^{-1}), and markers on their limbs joints were tracked using a high-speed infrared camera system (Qualysis®, 200 Hz). In addition to normal walking at three speeds, human subjects walked at these same speeds while wearing ankle weights (0.75 kg on each ankle) to increase the distance of the hindlimb COM from their hip joints.

Data analysis

For most analyses, ANCOVAs were used to compare relative stride lengths across taxa with Froude number as the covariate. However, the slopes describing the relationship between relative stride length and Fr calculated with COM as the characteristic length in infant baboons are not parallel to those of other taxa (see Results section), so ANCOVA is not an appropriate test (Raubenheimer and Simpson, 1992; Sokal and Rohlf, 1995). Differences in rSLs between infant baboons and other taxa were tested using a method developed by Tsutakawa and Hewett (Tsutakawa and Hewett, 1978). This method allows for the comparison of two non-parallel regression lines over a finite range (Tsutakawa and Hewett, 1978; Sokal and Rohlf, 1995). Thus, infant baboon rSLs were compared to other taxa over the range in which the datasets overlap (Tsutakawa and Hewett, 1978).

Comparative mass distribution dataset

We used comparative data for a broad sample of mammals to determine whether mammalian limb mass distributions explain the broad relative stride length

differences across taxa found by Alexander and Jayes (Alexander and Jayes, 1983). We compared limb mass distribution in different taxa by calculating the mass of distal elements (leg and foot or forearm and hand) relative to mass of the proximal element (thigh or arm) using data compiled from the literature (Buchner et al., 1997; Grand, 1977; Grand, 1983). Using data from these studies, we grouped quadrupeds into three general categories: cursorial (*Canis*, *Equis*, *Felis*), non-cursorial (*Metachirus*, *Tupaia*, *Monodelphis*, *Philander*, *Caluromys*, *Didelphis*, *Marmosa*), and primates (*Papio*, *Galago*, *Macaca*, *Aotus*, *Ateles*, *Cebus*, *Nycticebus*, *Perodicticus*, *Alouatta*).

Results

Chimpanzees and baboons use long hindlimb strides relative to limb length at a given Fr compared to non-primates and humans (ANCOVA $P < 0.05$ with Fr as the covariate; Fig. 1A). When hindlimb COM position (Fig. 1B) is used to calculate Fr and rSL, differences in rSL between primates and non-primates/humans disappear (Fig. 1C). Chimpanzee rSLs do not differ significantly from those of non-primates and humans (ANCOVA $P = 0.24$ with Fr as the covariate; Fig. 1C). Over the range of overlapping data, infant baboon rSLs do not differ significantly from those of other taxa (Tsutakawa and Hewett Test $P = 0.23$).

A similar effect is found in the human sample comparing stride lengths in normal walking and walking with ankle weights. In human subjects wearing ankle weights, rSLs were significantly longer compared to normal trials when analyzed using limb length as the characteristic length (Fig. 2A; ANCOVA $P = 0.001$). When Fr and rSL were calculated using hindlimb COM as the characteristic length, differences are no longer significant (Fig. 2B; ANCOVA $P = 0.07$).

To determine whether patterns of limb COM position broadly explain differences in stride lengths among mammals, we also compared relative mass distributions in groups that differ in rSL (i.e. cursorial mammals, non-cursorial mammals, and primates). As described in previous studies (Alexander and Maloiy, 1984), primates have relatively longer strides than other mammalian quadrupeds, and non-cursorial mammals have relative stride lengths that fall between primates and cursorial mammals. Differences in limb mass distribution follow differences in rSL, with primates having the most distally heavy limbs (both fore- and hindlimbs) and cursorial mammals having the most distally light limbs (Fig. 3).

Discussion

This examination of the DSH reveals the importance of limb mass distribution and swing phase dynamics in driving differences in locomotor mechanics, providing a key morphological link to variation in mammalian gait. While most studies of the DSH focus on stance phase mechanics and the importance of the inverted pendulum model of walking, our study suggests that inertial forces acting on the limb as a suspended pendulum during swing phase may be equally important. Thus, some tests of the DSH may fail (e.g. Donelan and Kram, 1997; Leurs et al., 2011; Kramer and Sylvester, 2013) because they do not take into account the effects of mass distribution on the inertial forces governing swing phase.

In addition, our results suggest that the continuum of limb mass distributions among mammals may broadly explain deviations from traditionally calculated dynamic similarity (e.g. Alexander and Jayes, 1983; Alexander and Maloiy, 1984). Since stride length determines many other locomotor parameters, including speed and energy costs (Gray, 1944; Jones and Lindstedt, 1993; Pontzer, 2007a), understanding the underlying causes of stride length variation is a key to determining how and




Fig. 1. Comparison of stride lengths in mammals. (A) Froude numbers and relative stride lengths calculated with hip height. Gray circles are infant baboons, closed circles are chimpanzees, open circles are humans, open triangles are dogs, and open squares are goats. (B) Hindlimb COM positions as a percentage of hip height. (C) Froude numbers and relative stride lengths calculated with hindlimb COM.

why animals walk the way they do. For example, more cursorial mammals have relatively light distal limbs and proximal COM positions, while non-cursorial non-primates have relatively heavier distal limbs, and primates have the heaviest distal limbs used for strong grasping in arboreal settings (Preuschoft and Günther, 1994; Raichlen, 2004; Raichlen, 2005a; Raichlen,




Fig. 2. Stride lengths in humans with experimentally altered hindlimb COM positions. (A) Stride length calculated using hip height in humans walking normally (gray circles) and walking with 0.75 kg weights on each ankle (black circles). (B) Stride length calculated using hindlimb COM position in humans walking normally (gray circles) and walking with 0.75 kg weights on each ankle (black circles).

2005b; Raichlen, 2006). This morphological continuum roughly follows the stride length differences in these taxa (Alexander and Jayes, 1983; Alexander and Maloiy, 1984), where cursorial mammals have the relatively shortest strides and non-cursors




Fig. 3. Limb mass distribution in primates compared to cursorial and non-cursorial mammals. Values represent the mean percentage of limb mass below the knee (black) or elbow (white). Groups are: cursorial (*Canis*, *Equis*, *Felis*), non-cursorial (*Metachirus*, *Tupaia*, *Monodelphis*, *Philander*, *Caluromys*, *Didelphis*, *Marmosa*), and primates (*Papio*, *Galago*, *Macaca*, *Aotus*, *Ateles*, *Cebus*, *Nycticebus*, *Perodicticus*, *Alouatta*). Data are taken from Grand, and Buchner et al. (Grand, 1977; Grand, 1983; Buchner et al., 1997).

have intermediate relative stride lengths compared to cursors and primates.

We note that our examination of comparative muscle mass distribution does not take into account the effects of limb joint flexion during swing phase on COM position. For example, non-cursorial mammals may flex their elbows and knees to a greater extent during swing phase, shortening their characteristic lengths. It is also possible that under some circumstances, such as walking on tree branches, some taxa may hold their limbs more extended, increasing their COM positions and leading to even longer strides. Thus, more work is required to fully understand the dynamic position of the limb COM during walking in various taxa, and how swing phase mechanics influences stride length.

However, given our results, we suggest that, in general, more distal COM positions seem to drive variation in stride lengths across taxa, and the evolution of stride length variation is likely tied to morphological variation. Selection may act on morphology to produce a given stride length (e.g. concentrate limb mass proximally in cursorial mammals), or alternatively, stride length may be a “spandrel” of selection acting on limb muscle mass distribution (e.g. selection for grasping hands and feet in arboreal taxa) (Gould and Lewontin, 1979).

In sum, this study suggests a fundamental change in how we examine mammalian locomotor variation and evolution. Instead of focusing solely on the inverted pendulum model of body COM movement, we believe that incorporation of the suspended pendulum model of swing phase will generate a more complete view of mammalian locomotion. This swing phase view of comparative biomechanics is especially important when comparing taxa that differ greatly in limb mass distribution. Examined this way, the DSH allows us to determine clear links between anatomy and locomotor mechanics, and helps us generate broader explanations for the evolution of mammalian locomotor patterns.

Acknowledgements

We thank Daniel Lieberman, Andrew Biewener, Jody Jensen, Katherine Whitcome, and Magdalena Muchlinski for providing equipment and assistance. Portions of this project were funded by the Leakey Foundation and the National Science Foundation (BCS-0313821 and BCS-0424092).

Competing Interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

References

- Alexander, R. M. (1976). Estimates of speeds of dinosaurs. *Nature* **261**, 129-130.
- Alexander, R. M. (1984). Stride length and speed for adults, children, and fossil hominids. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **63**, 23-27.
- Alexander, R. M. and Jayes, A. S. (1983). A dynamic similarity hypothesis for the gaits of quadrupedal mammals. *J. Zool.* **201**, 135-152.
- Alexander, R. M. and Maloiy, G. M. O. (1984). Stride lengths and stride frequencies of primates. *J. Zool.* **202**, 577-582.
- Bennett, M. B. (1987). Fast locomotion of some kangaroos. *J. Zool.* **212**, 457-464.
- Biewener, A. A. (2003). *Animal Locomotion*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Buchner, H. H., Savelberg, H. H., Schamhardt, H. C. and Barneveld, A. (1997). Inertial properties of Dutch Warmblood horses. *J. Biomech.* **30**, 653-658.
- Cavagna, G. A. and Margaria, R. (1966). Mechanics of walking. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **21**, 271-278.
- Cavagna, G. A., Heglund, N. C. and Taylor, C. R. (1977). Mechanical work in terrestrial locomotion: two basic mechanisms for minimizing energy expenditure. *Am. J. Physiol.* **233**, R243-R261.
- Cavagna, G. A., Franzetti, P. and Fuchimoto, T. (1983). The mechanics of walking in children. *J. Physiol.* **343**, 323-339.
- Demes, B., Jungers, W. L. and Nieschalk, U. (1990). Size- and speed-related aspects of quadrupedal walking in slender and slow lorises. In *Gravity, Posture And Locomotion*

- In Primates* (ed. F. K. Jouffroy, M. H. Stack and C. Niemitz), pp. 175-197. Firenze: Il Sedicesimo.
- Dingwall, H. L., Hatala, K. G., Wunderlich, R. E. and Richmond, B. G.** (2013). Hominin stature, body mass, and walking speed estimates based on 1.5 million-year-old fossil footprints at Ileret, Kenya. *J. Hum. Evol.* **64**, 556-568.
- Donelan, J. M. and Kram, R.** (1997). The effect of reduced gravity on the kinematics of human walking: a test of the dynamic similarity hypothesis for locomotion. *J. Exp. Biol.* **200**, 3193-3201.
- Gatesy, S. M. and Biewener, A. A.** (1991). Bipedal locomotion: effects of speed, size and limb posture in birds and humans. *J. Zool.* **224**, 127-147.
- Grand, T. I.** (1977). Body weight: its relation to tissue composition, segment distribution, and motor function. I. Interspecific comparisons. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **47**, 211-239.
- Grand, T. I.** (1983). Body-weight: its relationship to tissue composition, segmental distribution of mass, and motor function. III. The Didelphidae of French Guyana. *Aust. J. Zool.* **31**, 299-312.
- Gray, J.** (1944). Studies in the mechanics of the tetrapod skeleton. *J. Exp. Biol.* **20**, 88-116.
- Gould, S. J. and Lewontin, R. C.** (1979). The spandrels of San Marco and the Panglossian paradigm: a critique of the adaptationist programme. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **205**, 581-598.
- Hedrick, T. L.** (2008). Software techniques for two- and three-dimensional kinematic measurements of biological and biomimetic systems. *Bioinspir. Biomim.* **3**, 034001.
- Holt, K. G., Hammil, J. and Andres, R. O.** (1990). The force-driven harmonic oscillator as a model for human locomotion. *Hum. Mov. Sci.* **9**, 55-68.
- Jones, J. H. and Lindstedt, S. L.** (1993). Limits to maximal performance. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **55**, 547-569.
- Kram, R., Domingo, A. and Ferris, D. P.** (1997). Effect of reduced gravity on the preferred walk-run transition speed. *J. Exp. Biol.* **200**, 821-826.
- Kramer, P. A. and Sylvester, A. D.** (2013). Humans, geometric similarity and the Froude number: is "reasonably close" really close enough? *Biol. Open* **2**, 111-120.
- Kuo, A. D.** (2001). A simple model of bipedal walking predicts the preferred speed-step length relationship. *J. Biomech. Eng.* **123**, 264-269.
- Kuo, A. D.** (2002). Energetics of actively powered locomotion using the simplest walking model. *J. Biomech. Eng.* **124**, 113-120.
- Leurs, F., Ivanenko, Y. P., Bengoetxea, A., Cebolla, A. M., Dan, B., Lacquaniti, F. and Cheron, G. A.** (2011). Optimal walking speed following changes in limb geometry. *J. Exp. Biol.* **214**, 2276-2282.
- McGeer, T.** (1990). Passive dynamic walking. *Int. J. Rob. Res.* **9**, 62-82.
- McMahon, T. A.** (1984). Mechanics of locomotion. *Int. J. Rob. Res.* **3**, 4-28.
- Minetti, A. E.** (2001a). Walking on other planets. *Nature* **409**, 467-469, 469.
- Minetti, A. E.** (2001b). Invariant aspects of human locomotion in different gravitational environments. *Acta Astronaut.* **49**, 191-198.
- Mochon, S. and McMahon, T. A.** (1980). Ballistic walking: an improved model. *Math. Biosci.* **52**, 241-260.
- Moretto, P., Pelayo, P. and Lafortune, M. A.** (1996). The use of Froude's numbers to normalize human gait. In *Proceedings Of The Ninth Biennial Conference And Symposia: Simon Fraser University, 1996* (ed. Canadian Society for Biomechanics), p. 274. Burnaby BC, Canada: The Conference Organizing Committee, 1996.
- Myers, M. J. and Steudel, K.** (1997). Morphological conservation of limb natural pendular period in the domestic dog (*Canis familiaris*): implications for locomotor energetics. *J. Morphol.* **234**, 183-196.
- Pontzer, H.** (2007a). Effective limb length and the scaling of locomotor cost in terrestrial animals. *J. Exp. Biol.* **210**, 1752-1761.
- Pontzer, H.** (2007b). Predicting the energy cost of terrestrial locomotion: a test of the Limb model in humans and quadrupeds. *J. Exp. Biol.* **210**, 484-494.
- Preuschoft, H.** (2004). Mechanisms for the acquisition of habitual bipedality: are there biomechanical reasons for the acquisition of upright bipedal posture? *J. Anat.* **204**, 363-384.
- Preuschoft, H. and Günther, M. M.** (1994). Biomechanics and body shape in primates compared with horses. *Z. Morphol. Anthropol.* **80**, 149-165.
- Raichlen, D. A.** (2004). Convergence of forelimb and hindlimb Natural Pendular Period in baboons (*Papio cynocephalus*) and its implication for the evolution of primate quadrupedalism. *J. Hum. Evol.* **46**, 719-738.
- Raichlen, D. A.** (2005a). Effects of limb mass distribution on the ontogeny of quadrupedalism in infant baboons (*Papio cynocephalus*) and implications for the evolution of primate quadrupedalism. *J. Hum. Evol.* **49**, 415-431.
- Raichlen, D. A.** (2005b). Ontogeny of limb mass distribution in infant baboons (*Papio cynocephalus*). *J. Hum. Evol.* **49**, 452-467.
- Raichlen, D. A.** (2006). Effects of limb mass distribution on mechanical power outputs during quadrupedalism. *J. Exp. Biol.* **209**, 633-644.
- Raichlen, D. A.** (2008). The effects of gravity on human walking: a new test of the dynamic similarity hypothesis using a predictive model. *J. Exp. Biol.* **211**, 2767-2772.
- Raichlen, D. A., Pontzer, H. and Sockol, M. D.** (2008). The Laetoli footprints and early hominin locomotor kinematics. *J. Hum. Evol.* **54**, 112-117.
- Raubenheimer, D. and Simpson, S. L.** (1992). Analysis of covariance: an alternative to nutritional indices. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **62**, 221-231.
- Shapiro, L. J. and Raichlen, D. A.** (2005). Lateral sequence walking in infant *Papio cynocephalus*: implications for the evolution of diagonal sequence walking in primates. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **126**, 205-213.
- Shapiro, L. J. and Raichlen, D. A.** (2006). Limb proportions and the ontogeny of quadrupedal walking in infant baboons (*Papio cynocephalus*). *J. Zool.* **269**, 191-203.
- Shapiro, L. J. and Young, J. W.** (2012). Kinematics of quadrupedal locomotion in sugar gliders (*Petaurus breviceps*): effects of age and substrate size. *J. Exp. Biol.* **215**, 480-496.
- Sockol, M. D., Raichlen, D. A. and Pontzer, H.** (2007). Chimpanzee locomotor energetics and the origin of human bipedalism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 12265-12269.
- Sokal, R. R. and Rohlf, F. J.** (1995). *Biometry*. New York, NY: Freeman.
- Tsutakawa, R. K. and Hewett, J. E.** (1978). Comparison of two regression lines over a finite interval. *Biometrics* **34**, 391-398.
- Vaughan, C. L. and Blaszczyk, M. B.** (2008). Dynamic similarity predicts gait parameters for *Homo floresiensis* and the Laetoli hominins. *Am. J. Hum. Biol.* **20**, 312-316.
- Vaughan, C. L. and O'Malley, M. J.** (2005). Froude and the contribution of naval architecture to our understanding of bipedal locomotion. *Gait Posture* **21**, 350-362.
- Vaughan, C. L., Langerak, N. G. and O'Malley, M. J.** (2003). Neuromaturation of human locomotion revealed by non-dimensional scaling. *Exp. Brain Res.* **153**, 123-127.
- Winter, D. A.** (1990). *Biomechanics And Motor Control Of Human Movement*. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Zijlstra, W., Prokop, T. and Berger, W.** (1996). Adaptability of leg movements during normal treadmill walking and split-belt walking in children. *Gait Posture* **4**, 212-221.