

2015

# Synthesis of New 4-Aminoquinolines and Evaluation of Their In Vitro Activity against Chloroquine-Sensitive and Chloroquine-Resistant *Plasmodium falciparum*

Chandima S. K. Rajapakse  
*CUNY Brooklyn College*

Maryna Lisai  
*CUNY Brooklyn College*

Christiane Deregnacourt  
*Unité Molécules de Communication et Adaptation des Microorganismes, (MCAM, UMR 7245), Sorbonne Universités, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle CNRS, Paris, France*

Véronique Sinou  
*Laboratory of Parasitology, Faculty of Pharmacy, UMR-MD3, Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France*

Christine Latour  
*Laboratory of Parasitology, Faculty of Pharmacy, UMR-MD3, Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France*

~~See next page for additional authors.~~

**How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!**

Follow this and additional works at: [http://academicworks.cuny.edu/gc\\_pubs](http://academicworks.cuny.edu/gc_pubs)

## Recommended Citation

Rajapakse, C. S., Lisai, M., Deregnacourt, C., Sinou, V., Latour, C., Roy, D. . . Sánchez-Delgado, R. A. (2015). Synthesis of New 4-Aminoquinolines and Evaluation of Their In Vitro Activity against Chloroquine-Sensitive and Chloroquine-Resistant *Plasmodium falciparum*. *PLoS ONE*, 10(10), e0140878. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140878.

---

**Authors**

Chandima S. K. Rajapakse, Maryna Lisai, Christiane Deregnaucourt, Véronique Sinou, Christine Latour, Dipankar Roy, Joseph Schrével, and Roberto A. Sánchez-Delgado

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Synthesis of New 4-Aminoquinolines and Evaluation of Their *In Vitro* Activity against Chloroquine-Sensitive and Chloroquine-Resistant *Plasmodium falciparum*

Chandima S. K. Rajapakse<sup>1‡</sup>, Maryna Lisai<sup>1</sup>, Christiane Deregnaucourt<sup>2</sup>, Véronique Sinou<sup>3</sup>, Christine Latour<sup>3</sup>, Dipankar Roy<sup>1</sup>, Joseph Schrével<sup>2</sup>, Roberto A. Sánchez-Delgado<sup>1\*</sup>

**1** Chemistry Department of Brooklyn College and Ph.D. Program in Chemistry, The Graduate Center of The City University of New York, New York, New York, United States of America, **2** Unité Molécules de Communication et Adaptation des Microorganismes, (MCAM, UMR 7245), Sorbonne Universités, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle CNRS, Paris, France, **3** Laboratory of Parasitology, Faculty of Pharmacy, UMR-MD3, Aix-Marseille University, Marseille, France

‡ Current address: Department of Chemistry, University of Kelaniya, Kelaniya, Sri Lanka

\* [Rsdelgado@brooklyn.cuny.edu](mailto:Rsdelgado@brooklyn.cuny.edu)



OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Rajapakse CSK, Lisai M, Deregnaucourt C, Sinou V, Latour C, Roy D, et al. (2015) Synthesis of New 4-Aminoquinolines and Evaluation of Their *In Vitro* Activity against Chloroquine-Sensitive and Chloroquine-Resistant *Plasmodium falciparum*. PLoS ONE 10(10): e0140878. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140878

**Editor:** Gabriele Pradel, RWTH Aachen University, GERMANY

**Received:** June 16, 2015

**Accepted:** October 1, 2015

**Published:** October 16, 2015

**Copyright:** © 2015 Rajapakse et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** Grant # SC1GM089558 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health funded the work performed at Brooklyn College. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## Abstract

The efficacy of chloroquine, once the drug of choice in the fight against *Plasmodium falciparum*, is now severely limited due to widespread resistance. Amodiaquine is one of the most potent antimalarial 4-aminoquinolines known and remains effective against chloroquine-resistant parasites, but toxicity issues linked to a quinone-imine metabolite limit its clinical use. In search of new compounds able to retain the antimalarial activity of amodiaquine while circumventing quinone-imine metabolite toxicity, we have synthesized five 4-aminoquinolines that feature rings lacking hydroxyl groups in the side chain of the molecules and are thus incapable of generating toxic quinone-imines. The new compounds displayed high *in vitro* potency (low nanomolar IC<sub>50</sub>), markedly superior to chloroquine and comparable to amodiaquine, against chloroquine-sensitive and chloroquine-resistant strains of *P. falciparum*, accompanied by low toxicity to L6 rat fibroblasts and MRC5 human lung cells, and metabolic stability comparable or higher than that of amodiaquine. Computational studies indicate a unique mode of binding of compound **4** to heme through the HOMO located on a biphenyl moiety, which may partly explain the high antiplasmodial activity observed for this compound.

## Introduction

Malaria continues to be a major global health problem. According to the WHO, an estimated 3.2 billion people are at risk of being infected with *Plasmodium* and developing disease, and 1.2 billion are at high risk. It is estimated that 198 million cases of malaria occurred globally in

2013, leading to 584,000 deaths, mainly in the African Region (90% of all malaria deaths), and mostly in children under 5 years of age (78% of deaths) [1]. For decades one of the most successful and widely used drugs for treating malaria, especially *Plasmodium falciparum* infection, was chloroquine (CQ, Fig 1); however, widespread resistance has rendered it essentially useless in most parts of the world [2]. The 4-aminoquinoline pharmacophore has been the focus of intense efforts to develop new drugs not susceptible to resistance; for instance, amodiaquine (AQ, Fig 1), which is structurally related to CQ but contains a *p*-hydroxyanilino ring in the side chain of the molecule, is considerably more potent than CQ and remains effective against most CQ-resistant strains. However, toxicity issues limit its clinical use, in particular the occurrence during prolonged treatment or prophylaxis of agranulocytosis and potentially fatal idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity, linked to the formation of a reactive quinone-imine metabolite [3–7]. Related compounds like tebuquine (Fig 1) and its analogs also display high activity against CQ-resistant *P. falciparum* but are equally susceptible to P450-induced oxidation to toxic quinone-imine metabolites [8]. In order to circumvent this particular type of toxicity, other AQ analogs unlikely to form quinone-imine intermediates have been considered. They include isoquine [5,9] and the related GSK369796 [6,9]; amopyroquines [10–12] (Fig 1) and fluoroamodiaquines [13]; *N*-*tert*-butylamino Mannich base derivatives [14,15], and benzoxazines [4], all of which display high antiplasmodial activity. Other important developments concerning quinoline antimalarials are the discovery of ferroquine, a highly active and selective organometallic agent against CQ-resistant *P. falciparum* [16], and of phenylequine, a compound closely related to the ones described in this paper, which displays activity comparable to that of ferroquine against CQ-resistant parasites (Fig 1) [17]. Other aminoquinolines [18–24] and organometallic CQ derivatives [25–27] with interesting antimalarial properties have been reported in recent times. In this paper we describe the synthesis and antiplasmodial evaluation of a group of new 4-aminoquinolines 1–5 (Fig 2) structurally related to AQ, but lacking the 4-hydroxyl group in the side ring. These compounds are highly active *in vitro* against CQ-sensitive and CQ-resistant *P. falciparum* and are incapable of generating toxic quinone-imine metabolites.


## Results and Discussion

### Synthesis and characterization of new aminoquinolines

The new *N*-benzyl-4-aminoquinolines 1–4, and the reduced *N*-cyclohexadienylmethyl derivative 5 (Fig 2) were prepared by condensation of the appropriate amines 6–10 (Fig 2) with 4,7-dichloroquinoline (11) in *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) in the presence of  $K_2CO_3$  and triethylamine, as exemplified in Fig 3 for compound 1. The precursor amine 6 was obtained from the reaction of *o*-cyanobenzylbromide (12) with diethylamine in ethanol to yield *o*-(diethylaminomethyl)benzocnitrile (13), followed by  $LiAlH_4$  reduction of the nitrile group in diethylether. The other (diethylaminomethyl)benzylamines used in this study (7–9) were prepared by analogous procedures, starting from the corresponding cyanobenzylbromides. Cyclohexadiene 10 was obtained by Birch reduction of 6. All new compounds were characterized by  $^1H$  and  $^{13}C$  NMR spectroscopy and high-resolution mass spectrometry (complete data in Materials and Methods Section); the purity of all samples used in biological tests (> 95%) was established by elemental analysis and HPLC. It is worth noting that our high yield synthetic method for 1–5 relies on inexpensive commercially available starting materials.

### *In vitro* evaluation of antiplasmodial activity and cytotoxicity


The activity of compounds 1–5, as well as CQ and AQ, was evaluated *in vitro* against the CQ-sensitive 3D7 and CQ-resistant K1 and Dd2 strains of *P. falciparum* in two independent laboratories designated as A and B. The results of these assays are collected in Table 1, where we



**Fig 1. Aminoquinoline antimalarial drugs and drug candidates.**


doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140878.g001

note that the IC<sub>50</sub> values for CQ toward the Dd2 and K1 from laboratory B were consistently lower than those from laboratory A. There are precedents for this type of differences in antiplasmodial activity as a function of the experimental conditions; more specifically, results of chemosusceptibility tests have been shown to be affected by the initial parasitemia, hematocrit, incubation time, time when <sup>3</sup>H-hypoxanthine is added, the use of serum substitute, and the gas mixture [28]. Oxygen tension is a particularly important factor governing CQ activity against



**Fig 2. New aminoquinolines (1–5) and precursor amines (6–10) synthesized.**

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140878.g002



**Fig 3. Synthetic strategy for compound 1 and other new aminoquinolines.**

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140878.g003

*P. falciparum*; it has been shown that high O<sub>2</sub> tension (21%) leads to increased efficacy of the drug compared to lower (10%) O<sub>2</sub> tension. Furthermore, the O<sub>2</sub> influence was found to be strain-specific, with particular occurrence in resistant strains [29]. In accordance with this, for Dd2 and K1 IC<sub>50</sub> values for CQ from laboratory A, using an incubator with a fixed atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 10% O<sub>2</sub>, 85% N<sub>2</sub> for parasite culture, are higher than those from laboratory B, which employs a candle jar with a ~17% O<sub>2</sub>, 3% CO<sub>2</sub>, and 80% N<sub>2</sub>, whereas IC<sub>50</sub> values for 3D7 are similar in both settings.

The new compounds 1–5 display high activity against all the parasites assayed. For the CQ-sensitive strain 3D7, 1–4 show comparable or slightly higher potency than those measured for CQ and AQ. More importantly, when tested against the CQ-resistant parasites (K1 and Dd2) the activity of 1–5 is consistently much higher than that of CQ. Compound 1, which only differs from the known highly active phenylequine [17] in that it contains a diethylamino instead of dimethylamino group at the end of the side chain, was about 21 times more potent than CQ against K1 parasites under conditions A, and close to eighth times more active under conditions B. Switching to the *meta* and *para* isomers 2 and 3, or replacing the arene ring by the corresponding cyclohexadiene in 5 led to a slight decrease of activity under conditions A and a slight increase under conditions B. On the other hand, the presence of the large biphenyl group in 4 resulted in activity as high as that of 1 under conditions A, and in the highest potency of all compounds against K1 under conditions B. Compounds 1–5 are also more effective than CQ against the Dd2 strain, which was found to be CQ-resistant under conditions A but CQ-sensitive under conditions B. The most active compounds are 1 and 4 also in this case, with the latter again being the most potent under both sets of conditions A and B. Additional antiplasmodial activity data for selected compounds against CQ-sensitive (F32) and CQ-

**Table 1. *In vitro* activities of compounds 1–5, CQ, and AQ against CQ-sensitive and CQ-resistant strains of *P. falciparum*.**

	Antiplasmodial activity, IC <sub>50</sub> (nM)			Resistance Indexes	
	3D7	Dd2 <sup>b</sup>	K1	Dd2/3D7	K1/3D7
<b>A</b>					
CQ	17.6±0.71	521.6±95.73	1086.0±163.50	29.7	61.7
AQ	24.6±3.06	31.6±7.77	34.3±0.58	1.3	1.4
<b>1</b>	13.5±0.71	21.3±2.52	52.7±0.99	1.6	3.9
<b>2</b>	18.4±0.66	26.5±5.07	64.2±6.16	1.4	3.5
<b>3</b>	17.3±0.58	30.5±2.12	60.3±4.07	1.8	3.5
<b>4</b>	15.2±1.57	20.7±0.20	53.4±2.03	1.4	3.5
<b>5</b>	-	59.0±1.85	63.7±1.46	-	-
<b>B</b>					
CQ	18.0±12.31	35.1±12.08	124.0±48.05	1.9	6.9
AQ	11.9±1.00	11.3±0.64	9.60±0.51	0.9	0.8
<b>1</b>	21.6±3.11	19.4±2.18	14.6±0.36	0.9	0.7
<b>2</b>	17.1±2.97	17.1±1.72	12.0±1.15	1.0	0.7
<b>3</b>	16.1±2.44	17.7±3.78	12.4±0.40	1.1	0.8
<b>4</b>	12.9±0.85	14.4±0.50	11.8±1.00	1.1	0.9
<b>5</b>	-	-	20.2±6.55	-	-

IC<sub>50</sub> ± SD values (nM) determined from independent experiments performed in triplicate in two laboratories (A, Marseille; B, Paris) under different assay conditions (see main text and [Materials and Methods](#) Section for details). CQ: chloroquine, AQ: amodiaquine, -: not determined.

<sup>b</sup>Note that Dd2 is CQ-resistant under the culture conditions of laboratory A and CQ-sensitive under the culture conditions of laboratory B.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140878.t001

resistant (K14 and FcB1) strains are collected in [S1 Table](#) (Supporting Information). The trends observed in those cases are similar to the ones described above, with the new compounds being comparable or somewhat better than CQ against CQ-sensitive parasites and much more active than CQ against resistant strains. Compound **4** showed once more the highest potency against the highly resistant K14 strain under conditions A, with a remarkable IC<sub>50</sub> of 7.5 nM.

The low values of the resistance indexes (RI = IC<sub>50</sub>Dd2/IC<sub>50</sub>3D7 and IC<sub>50</sub>K1/IC<sub>50</sub>3D7) for **1–4** suggest a low potential for these compounds to develop cross-resistance with CQ [[18,23](#)]. It is also important to highlight from the data in [Table 1](#) and [S1 Table](#) that the antimalarial potency of the new 4-aminoquinolines against CQ-resistant strains of *P. falciparum* is similar to that of the highly active AQ. As noted above, the clinical use of AQ has been hampered by its tendency to form toxic quinone-imine metabolites. The molecular design of the compounds presented here eliminates the potential for this type of toxicity, since all of them lack the 4-hydroxyl group in the ring present in the side chain of each molecular structure and are therefore incapable of generating quinone-imine intermediates.

In order to further ascertain the possibility of indiscriminate cytotoxicity we also measured the ability of compounds **1–4** to inhibit normal rat L6 and human MRC5 cell lines by the method described in the Materials and Methods Section. The data in [Table 2](#) reveal low toxicity to the mammalian cells, which translates into high selectivity ranges for both CQ-sensitive and CQ-resistant *P. falciparum*.

**Table 2. Cytotoxicity of compounds 1–4 toward mammalian cells.**

	L6			MRC5		
	CC <sub>50</sub> <sup>a</sup> ( $\mu$ M)	SI <sup>b</sup> (min-max)		CC <sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ M)	SI(min-max)	
		CQS strains	CQR strains		CQS strains	CQR strains
<b>1</b>	27.7 $\pm$ 1.73	923–2052	442–2289	26.4 $\pm$ 1.75	880–1955	421–2182
<b>2</b>	20.6 $\pm$ 3.92	1505–1884	431–1544	20.3 $\pm$ 3.40	1435–1796	411–1544
<b>3</b>	13.8 $\pm$ 1.90	1140–1720	459–1565	13.0 $\pm$ 2.26	1086–1639	438–1491
<b>4</b>	2.8 $\pm$ 0.15	1639–2147	519–3693	2.6 $\pm$ 0.12	1562–2046	494–3520

<sup>a</sup>CC<sub>50</sub>: concentration of drug inducing 50% of cell growth arrest.

<sup>b</sup>SI: Selectivity index expressed as (CC<sub>50</sub> to each mammalian cell line)/(IC<sub>50</sub> to *P. falciparum*). SI max corresponds to the most sensitive strain of *P. falciparum* and SI min toward the less sensitive parasite strain.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140878.t002

### In vitro metabolic stability measurements

We also examined the metabolic stability of compounds 1–4, as well as of CQ and AQ, by measuring their intrinsic clearance and half-lives upon incubation with human liver microsomes in the presence and in the absence of NADPH. The data (Table 3) were compared with values for the well-characterized positive controls verapamil (CL<sub>int</sub> 134 mL min<sup>-1</sup> mg<sup>-1</sup>, t<sub>1/2</sub> 17.2 min) and warfarin (CL<sub>int</sub> 0.0 mL min<sup>-1</sup> mg<sup>-1</sup>, t<sub>1/2</sub> >240 min), which are considered of low and high metabolic stability, respectively.

In the absence of NADPH no significant metabolism was observed for any of the compounds tested (t<sub>1/2</sub> > 240). In the presence of NADPH, CQ displayed the longest half-life of all the aminoquinolines (133  $\pm$  15.5 min), while AQ showed a short half-life of 5.4  $\pm$  0.42 min. The t<sub>1/2</sub> values for 1–3 are in the range 40–51 min, intermediate between CQ and AQ, while compound 4, which appears as the most active of the series, shows a t<sub>1/2</sub> ~5 min, very similar to that of AQ. It is known that CQ [30] is rapidly dealkylated via cytochrome P450 enzymes into the pharmacologically active desethylchloroquine (DECQ), and to a lesser extent, bidesethylchloroquine, (BDECQ), while AQ is quickly metabolized into active desethylamodiaquine (DEAQ); elimination of the active metabolites is in turn very slow (in the particular case of the rapidly metabolized AQ the terminal half-life is ~100 h) [31]. Therefore both CQ and AQ may be considered pro-drugs, which are bio-activated to DECQ and DEAQ; it is likely that 1–4 are metabolized by P450 enzymes in a similar manner.

**Table 3. Metabolic stability data.**

Compd	NADPH-dep. <sup>a</sup> CL <sub>int</sub> ( $\mu$ L.min <sup>-1</sup> .mg <sup>-1</sup> )	NADPH-dep. <sup>b</sup> t <sub>1/2</sub> (min)	NADPH-free <sup>b</sup> t <sub>1/2</sub> (min)
<b>1</b>	45.6 $\pm$ 0.12	50.9 $\pm$ 0.55	>240
<b>2</b>	56.2 $\pm$ 0.55	41.1 $\pm$ 0.41	>240
<b>3</b>	51.1 $\pm$ 3.06	45.3 $\pm$ 1.36	>240
<b>4</b>	465.9 $\pm$ 43.99	5.0 $\pm$ 0.47	>240
CQ	17.5 $\pm$ 2.03	133.2 $\pm$ 15.52	>240
AQ	431 $\pm$ 33.4	5.4 $\pm$ 0.42	>240

[Compd] = 1  $\mu$ M. [protein] = 0.3 mg/mL.

<sup>a</sup>Microsomal Intrinsic Clearance.

<sup>b</sup>Half-life

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140878.t003

## Computational studies

Aminoquinoline drugs exert their antimalarial action by disrupting aggregation of heme into hemozoin. It is therefore interesting to examine the interactions of new drug candidates with heme, to try to find correlations with antimalarial potency. The binding of **1–5**, CQ, and AQ to heme was analyzed by use of Autodock 4.2.11 (Details in [Materials and Methods](#) Section). For all the compounds studied the energetically most favorable binding is guided by H-bonding as well as  $\pi$ -stacking interactions between the aminoquinoline and heme. Although the docking energies shown in [Table 4](#) are comparable for compounds **1–5** and only marginally stronger than for CQ, a significant difference in the nature of the interactions among the molecules studied is that stacking of **4** over the porphyrin takes place through the biphenyl moiety, whereas for all other systems the stacking happens via the quinoline ring ([Fig 4](#) and [S1 Fig](#) in Supporting Information). This different binding mode of **4** to heme is likely related to the localization of the HOMO over the biphenyl unit, unlike the other four molecules for which the HOMO is concentrated mostly over the quinoline moiety ([Fig 5](#), and [S2 Fig](#), Supporting Information). This structural difference could be in part responsible for the high activity observed for this compound.

## Conclusion

We have synthesized a series of new 4-aminoquinolines designed to display the high antimalarial potency of amodiaquine while avoiding associated toxicity issues caused by quinone-imine metabolites. This molecular design led to compounds with high *in vitro* activity, markedly superior to chloroquine and comparable to amodiaquine, against CQ-sensitive and particularly against CQ-resistant strains of *P. falciparum*, accompanied by low toxicity toward L6 rat fibroblasts and MRC5 human lung cells. Compounds **1–3** display moderate *in vitro* metabolic stability to human liver microsomes, lower than that of chloroquine but higher than amodiaquine, while **4** shows a shorter half-life, very similar to that of amodiaquine. The high activity of **4** is possibly linked with a unique mode of binding to heme through the biphenyl unit.

## Materials and Methods

### Synthesis of compounds

**General.** Solvents (analytical grade, Aldrich) were purified immediately prior to use by means of an Innovative Technology solvent purification unit. Other reagents (Aldrich) were used as received. NMR spectra were obtained at 400 MHz for  $^1\text{H}$  and 75 MHz for  $^{13}\text{C}$  using an AVANCE Bruker 400 instrument;  $\delta$  values are referred to residual proton or carbon signals in the deuterated solvents. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Norcross, Georgia. The Mass Spectrometry Service of Hunter College CUNY performed the mass spectral analyses.

**Precursor nitriles 13–16. *o*-(Diethylaminomethyl)benzotrile (**13**):** Dropwise addition of *o*-cyanobenzylbromide (**12**) (10.0 g, 50 mmol) in ethanol (30mL) to cold diethylamine (57 mL, 0.55 mol) was followed by 3 h of stirring at room temperature. The solution became orange and a white precipitate was observed. Aqueous  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  (20 mL, 0.1 M) was added until the solution became basic to pH paper. At this time the white precipitate dissolved. Then the solution was concentrated and extracted into an ether layer. The organic layer was washed with water 3 times, dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , and evaporated under vacuum to yield the product as a red-dish-orange oil. Yield: 8.47 g, 90%.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.54 (m, 2H); 7.47 (td, 1H); 7.22 (td, 2H); 3.69 (s, 2H); 2.49 (q, 4H); 0.98 (t, 6H). ESI-MS ( $\text{M}+\text{H}^+$ ), 189.1313 (calc), 189.1388 (found).

Table 4. Computed docking energies.<sup>a</sup>

Compd	1	2	3	4	5	CQ	AQ
$\Delta E$ Docking (kcal/mol) <sup>b</sup>	-5.58	-5.11	-5.42	-5.97	-5.31	-4.72	-5.38

<sup>a</sup>Computed at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level using the solvation model of Truhlar and co-workers. Computational details are found in the Supporting Information.

<sup>b</sup>Bis-protonated ligand geometries used for all docking simulations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140878.t004


***m*-(Diethylaminomethyl)benzotrile (14)** was prepared by following an analogous procedure, using *m*-cyanobenzylbromide (6.0 g, 30 mmol) in ethanol (40 mL) and cold diethylamine (35 mL, 340 mmol) and stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The product was collected as an orange-colored oil. Yield 4.1 g, 72%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.7 (s, 1H); 7.61 (d, 1H); 7.56 (d, 1H), 7.45 (t, 1H), 3.26 (s, 2H), 2.55 (q, 4H), 1.05 (t, 6H).

***p*-(Diethylaminomethyl)benzotrile (15)** was prepared by following an analogous procedure, using *p*-cyanobenzylbromide (7.2 g, 36 mmol) in THF (25 mL) and cold diethylamine (40 mL, 403 mmol), stirring for 1.5 h at room temperature. The product was collected as brown-orange oil. Yield 5.9 g, 86%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.57 (m, 2H); 7.47 (m, 2H); 3.59 (s, 2H), 2.52 (q, 4H), 1.02 (t, 6H).

**4<sup>2</sup>-((diethylamino)methyl)-[1,1'<sup>1</sup>biphenyl]-4-carbonitrile (16)** was prepared by following an analogous procedure, using 4<sup>2</sup>-bromomethyl-[1,1'<sup>1</sup>-biphenyl]-4-carbonitrile (10.0 g, 36.7 mmol) in THF (40 mL) and cold diethylamine (40 mL, 403 mmol), stirring for 1.5 h at room temperature. The product was collected as a colorless oil. Yield 9.4 g, 97%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.8 (d, 1H); 7.65 (m, 1H); 7.55 (m, 6H), 3.65 (s, 2H), 2.6 (q, 4H), 1.1 (t, 6H).


**Precursor amines 6–10.** ***o*-(Diethylaminomethyl)benzylamine (6)** *o*-(Diethylaminomethyl)benzotrile (13) (6.0 g, 32 mmol) in anhydrous diethylether (20 mL) was added dropwise over a period of 10 min to a suspension of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (2.4 g, 64 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (50 mL, 0°C, N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere). The mixture was allowed to come to room temperature, stirred for 12 h, cooled in ice, treated dropwise with 20% NaOH (17 mL) with cooling, and extracted three times with ether (50 mL each). The combined organic phases were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and evaporated under vacuum. The product was collected as reddish-orange colored oil. Yield 6.8 g, 79%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.17 (m, 4H); 3.75 (s, 2H); 3.50 (s 2H); 2.43 (q, 4H); 1.928 (s, NH<sub>2</sub>); 0.962 (t, 6H). ESI-MS (M+H<sup>+</sup>) = 193.1626 (calc), 193.1715 (found).

***m*-(Diethylaminomethyl)benzylamine (7)** was prepared by an analogous procedure using *m*-(diethylaminomethyl)benzotrile (14) (4.1 g, 22 mmol) in anhydrous diethylether (15 mL) and lithium aluminum hydride (1.7 g, 44 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (40 mL). The



**Fig 4. Docked poses of 1 and 4 on heme.** Both aminoquinoline molecules were employed in the diprotonated form. Atom color code: white: H, brown: C, blue: N, red: O, and gold: Fe. Only polar hydrogens are shown for clarity.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140878.g004



**Fig 5. HOMO's of compounds 1 and 4.**

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140878.g005

product was collected as orange colored oil. Yield 3.4 g, 81%,  $^1\text{H NMR}$  ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.29 (m, 4H); 3.86 (s, 2H); 3.56 (s, 2H), 3.26 (s, 2H), 2.54 (q, 4H), 1.04 (t, 6H).

***p*-(Diethylaminomethyl)benzylamine (8)** was prepared by an analogous procedure using *p*-(diethylaminomethyl)benzotrile (15) (5.9 g, 32 mmol) in anhydrous diethylether (15 mL) and lithium aluminum hydride (2.4 g, 63 mmol) in anhydrous ether (15 mL). The product was collected as a pale orange colored oil. Yield 4.1 g, 67%.  $^1\text{H NMR}$  ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.27 (m, 4H); 3.84 (s, 2H); 2.52 (q, 4H), 1.03 (t, 6H).

***N*-((4'-(Aminomethyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)methyl)-*N,N*-diethylamine (9)** was prepared by an analogous procedure using 4'-(diethylamino)methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carbonitrile (16) (9.4 g, 36 mmol) in anhydrous diethylether (25 mL) and lithium aluminum hydride (2.7 g, 71 mmol) in anhydrous ether (25 mL). The product was collected as slightly orange colored oil. Yield 7.1 g, 78%.  $^1\text{H NMR}$  ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 7.3 (m, 8H); 3.83 (s, 2H); 3.64 (s, 2H), 2.58 (q, 4H), 1.1 (t, 6H).

***N*-((2-Aminomethyl)cyclohexa-1,4-dien-1-yl)methyl)-*N,N*-diethylamine (10)** A solution of *o*-(diethylaminomethyl)benzylamine (6) (1.5 g, 7.8 mmol) in anhydrous ethanol (24 mL) was added to liquid ammonia (25 mL) at  $-78^\circ\text{C}$ . To this mixture was added Li (0.5 g, 10 eq.) in small portions over two hours. After quenching the reaction with  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  (1.6 g) in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (7 mL), the aqueous suspension was extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , and the organic extracts were dried ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) and evaporated under vacuum to give the product as a yellow colored oil. Yield 1.3 g, 89%.  $^1\text{H NMR}$  (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  5.72 (m 2H), 3.30 (s, 2H), 3.00 (s, 2H), 2.43 (q, 4H); 2.75 (m, 4H), 2.02 (s,  $\text{NH}_2$ ), 1.01 (t, 6H). ESI-MS ( $\text{M}+\text{H}^+$ ) = 195.1783 (calc), 195.1854 (found).

**New 4-aminoquinolines.** **7-Chloro-*N*-(2-((diethylamino)methyl)benzyl)quinolin-4-amine (1)** *o*-(Diethylaminomethyl)-benzylamine (6) (0.8 g, 4.2 mmol), 4-7-dichloroquinoline (11) (5.0 g, 25 mmol),  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  (1.0 g, 7.25 mmol), anhydrous triethylamine (5 mL, 36 mmol) and anhydrous NMP (7 mL) were placed in a 25 mL round bottomed flask and heated under reflux under nitrogen for 15 h. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature before diluting with ethyl acetate. The product was washed 10 times with brine, and then washed 6 times with a large amount of water to remove NMP. The organic layer was dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography (ethylacetate: hexane: three drops of  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$ ) and collected as a yellow crystalline product. Yield 0.7 g, 50%.  $^1\text{H NMR}$  (MeOD),  $\delta$  (ppm): 8.20 (d,  $J = 5.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d,  $J = 9.0$  Hz, 1H); 7.68 (d,  $J = 1.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.29 (dd,  $J = 9.0$  Hz,  $J' = 1.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.34 (m, 4H), 6.44 (d,  $J = 5.6$  Hz, 1H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 3.57 (s, 2H), 2.48 (q,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 4H), 0.93 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 6H),  $^{13}\text{C NMR}$  ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ ),  $\delta$  (ppm): 152.2, 150.4, 149.5, 137.5, 137.4, 134.7, 132.2, 130.7, 128.4, 127.9, 127.5, 124.6, 122.6, 118.1, 99.2, 56.4, 47.1, 46.5, 10.5. Anal. Calcd for  $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_3\text{Cl}$ : C, 71.27; H, 6.84; N, 11.87. Found: C, 71.19; H, 6.85; N, 11.82. ESI-MS ( $\text{M}+\text{H}^+$ ) = 354.16588 (calc), 354.17501 (found).

**7-Chloro-*N*-(3-((diethylamino)methyl)benzyl)quinolin-4-amine (2)** was prepared by an analogous procedure using *m*-(diethylaminomethyl)benzylamine (**7**) (3.4 g, 18 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.0 g, 21 mmol), 4-7-dichloroquinoline (**11**) (20.8 g, 105 mmol), triethylamine (22 mL, 159 mmol) and NMP (25 mL). The resulting product (white powder) was dried under vacuum. Yield 2.9 g, 47%, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ (ppm): 8.54 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H); 7.99 (s, 1H); 7.71 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (m, 5H), 6.48 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (s, 2H), 3.59 (s, 2H), 2.54 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 4H), 1.04 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ (ppm): 152.1, 149.5, 149.2, 141.1, 137.1, 134.9, 128.8, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 120.9, 117.2, 99.7, 57.4, 47.7, 46.8, 11.7. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Cl: C, 71.27; H, 6.84; N, 11.87. Found: C, 71.14; H, 6.95; N, 11.73. ESI-MS (M+H<sup>+</sup>) = 354.16588 (calc), 354.17610 (found M+H<sup>+</sup>).

**7-Chloro-*N*-(4-((diethylamino)methyl)benzyl)quinolin-4-amine (3)** was prepared by an analogous procedure using *p*-(diethylaminomethyl)benzylamine (**8**) (4.1 g, 21 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.5 g, 25.2 mmol), 4-7-dichloroquinoline (**11**) (20.8 g, 105 mmol), triethylamine (26 mL, 189 mmol) and NMP (30 mL). The resulting product (slightly yellow powder) was dried under vacuum. Yield 4.3 g, 57%, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ (ppm): 8.58 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1H); 8.02 (s, 1H); 7.72 (d, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (m, 4H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 3.62 (s, 2H), 2.58 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 1.10 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ (ppm): 152.1, 149.5, 149.1, 135.5, 134.9, 128.9, 127.5, 125.5, 120.9, 117.2, 99.7, 57.4, 47.7, 46.8, 11.7. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Cl: C, 71.27; H, 6.84; N, 11.87. Found: C, 71.07; H, 6.92; N, 11.83. ESI-MS (M+H<sup>+</sup>) = 354.16588 (calc), 354.17259 (found M+H<sup>+</sup>).

**7-Chloro-*N*-((4'-((diethylamino)methyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)methyl)quinolin-4-amine (4)** was prepared by an analogous procedure using *N*-((4'-aminomethyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)methyl)-*N,N*-diethylamine (**9**) (7.8 g, 27.8 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.1 g, 22.1 mmol), 4-7-dichloroquinoline (**11**) (14.6 g, 174 mmol), triethylamine (26 mL, 189 mmol), and NMP (30 mL). The resulting product (light yellow powder) was dried under vacuum. Yield 6.8 g, 56%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ (ppm): 8.49 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H); 7.95 (s, 1H); 7.3 (m, 10H), 6.32 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 3.6 (s, 2H), 2.54 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 1.09 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), δ (ppm): 152.0, 149.1, 141.9, 134.6, 128.0, 125.3, 120.9, 117.1, 99.5, 57.1, 46.8, 45.8, 11.7. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Cl: C, 75.42; H, 6.56; N, 9.77. Found: C, 75.12; H, 6.76; N, 9.81. ESI-MS (M+H<sup>+</sup>) = 430.19718 (calc), 430.20347 (found M+H<sup>+</sup>).

**7-Chloro-*N*-((2-((diethylamino)methyl)cyclohexa-1,4-dien-1-yl)methyl)quinolin-4-amine (5)** was prepared by an analogous procedure using *N*-((2-aminomethyl)cyclohexa-1,4-dien-1-yl)methyl)-*N,N*-diethylamine (**10**) (0.9 g, 4.9 mmol), 4,7-dichloroquinoline (**11**) (5.0 g, 25 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1.0 g, 7.25 mmol), anhydrous triethylamine (5.0 mL, 36 mmol) and anhydrous NMP (7 mL). The product was isolated as a white crystalline solid. Yield 50%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.45 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (dd, *J* = 8.9 Hz, *J*' = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (s, NH), 6.36 (d, *J* = 5.50 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (d, *J* = 1.24 Hz, 2H), 3.78 (d, *J* = 4.24 Hz, 2H), 2.98 (s, 2H), 2.80 (m, 4H), 2.50 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 4H), 0.954 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 152.1, 150.5, 149.3, 134.7, 132.2, 129.8, 128.7, 124.8, 124.5, 123.9, 121.8, 117.7, 99.0, 55.1, 46.8, 45.5, 32.3, 31.7, 11.1. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Cl: C, 70.87; H, 7.36; N, 11.81. Found: C, 70.71; H, 7.39; N, 11.70. ESI-MS (M+H<sup>+</sup>) = 356.1815 (calc), 356.1877 (found).

## Biological Assays

**Antimalarial Activity Measurements.** The following strains of *Plasmodium falciparum* were used in this study: F32 (Tanzania), Dd2 (SE Asia), K1 (SE Asia), FcB1 (Colombia), K14 (Cambodia) and 3D7 (Africa). In the routine culture conditions of laboratory A (Marseille), that is in an incubator with a fixed atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 10% O<sub>2</sub> and 85% N<sub>2</sub> at 37°C, strain

3D7 was CQ-sensitive ( $IC_{50} < 100$  nM), whereas Dd2, K1 and K14 were CQ-resistant ( $IC_{50} > 100$  nM). In the routine culture conditions of laboratory B (Paris), that is in a candle jar with an atmosphere of approximately 17% O<sub>2</sub>, 3% CO<sub>2</sub> and 80% N<sub>2</sub> at 37°C, 3D7, F32 and Dd2 were CQ-sensitive, whereas K1, K14 and FcB1 were CQ-resistant. Cultures were grown in complete medium consisting of RPMI 1640 (Life Technologies Inc.) supplemented with 11 mM glucose, 27.5 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 100 UI/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, and 8–10% heat-inactivated human serum, following the procedure of Trager and Jensen [32]. Parasites at the ring stage (laboratory A) or asynchronous parasites (laboratory B) were grown in human A + or O+ red blood cells at a 1.5% (laboratory A) or 2% (laboratory B) haematocrit and a 0.8–1% parasitaemia. Synchronization of the parasites was performed by sorbitol treatment [33].

Stock solutions (1 mM) of compounds 1–5 were prepared in DMSO and stored frozen at -20°C. Further dilutions were in complete culture medium. The complexes were tested for their inhibitory effect toward *P. falciparum* intraerythrocytic development. Decreasing concentrations of the compounds and CQ or AQ were established by twofold serial dilutions (maximum DMSO concentration was 0.5% v/v) and distributed (100 µL/well) in a 96 well microplate; DMSO was distributed as a control. 100 µL from a culture at a 3% (laboratory A) or 4% (laboratory B) hematocrit in complete medium was added per well. At time zero (laboratory A) or after 24 h of the incubation (laboratory B), 1.0 µCi (laboratory A) or 0.5 µCi (laboratory B) of <sup>3</sup>H-hypoxanthine was added per well. Then the culture proceeded until the parasite cycle was completed (i.e. to 42 h or 48 h according to the strain). Plates were freeze-thawed and harvested on filters. Dried filters were moistened in scintillation liquid mixture (OptiScint, Hisafe) and counted in a 1450 Microbeta counter (Wallac, PerkinElmer). The percentage of growth inhibition was calculated from the parasite-associated radioactivity. 100% <sup>3</sup>H-hypoxanthine incorporation was determined from a control grown in the absence of drug or test compound. Antimalarial activity was determined as the concentration of drug inducing 50% of growth inhibition ( $IC_{50}$ ) according to Desjardin et al. [34] or by nonlinear regression analysis from the dose-response relationship as fitted by Riasmart software (Packard).

**Cytotoxicity measurements.** The rat L-6 and human MRC-5 cell lines were routinely grown in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 11 mM glucose, 27.5 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 100 UI/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin and 10% fetal calf serum (RPMI-FCS), in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37°C. For cytotoxicity assays, 100 µL per well of a  $2 \times 10^4$  cells/mL suspension in RPMI-FCS were deposited in a 96-well microplate and incubated overnight in culture conditions. Then decreasing concentrations of the compounds to test were established by twofold serial dilutions in RPMI-FCS and distributed at a rate of 100 µL per well and the microplate was put back in the incubator for an additional three (L-6) or five (MRC-5) day period. Then the supernatant in each well was discarded and replaced by 100 µL of MTT 1 mg/mL in RPMI-FCS. The microplate was re-incubated in culture conditions for three hours, then 100 µL of 10% SDS was added by well. The cells were left overnight in the incubator then the OD at 540nm was measured with the Bio-Tek FL600™ microplate reader equipped with the KC4™ software. Cytotoxic activity was expressed as the concentration of drug inducing 50% of growth arrest ( $CC_{50}$ ), with 100% growth being determined from cells grown in the absence of test compounds. The selectivity of each compound to *P. falciparum* was assessed through the selectivity index (SI), defined as  $CC_{50}$  (to L6 or MRC5 cells) /  $IC_{50}$  (to *P. falciparum*).

**In vitro microsomal metabolic stability measurements.** The evaluation of microsomal stability was performed by Cyprotex US, LLC, Watertown, MA. Samples were analyzed by LC/MS/MS using an Agilent 6410 mass spectrometer coupled with an Agilent 1200 HPLC and a CTC PAL chilled autosampler, all controlled by MassHunter software (Agilent). After separation on an HILIC HPLC column (Sepax HILIC 3 µM 2.1 x 30 mm) using an acetonitrile-water gradient system, peaks were analyzed by mass spectrometry (MS) using ESI ionization in

MRM mode. The signal was optimized for each compound by ESI positive or negative ionization mode. An MS2 scan or an SIM scan was used to optimize the fragmenter voltage and a product ion analysis was used to identify the best fragment for analysis, and the collision energy was optimized using a product ion or MRM scan. An ionization ranking was assigned indicating the compound's ease of ionization.

Each test compound (1  $\mu$ M) was incubated in duplicate with human liver microsomes at 37°C. The reaction contains microsomal protein (0.3 mg/mL) in 100 nM potassium phosphate, 2 mM NADPH, 3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, pH 7.4. A control reaction omitting NADPH was performed for each compound in order to detect any NADPH-independent degradation. Aliquots were removed at 0, 10, 20, 40, and 60 min and mixed with an equal volume of ice-cold Stop Solution (methanol containing haloperidol, diclofenac, or other internal standard). The stopped reactions were further incubated for at least 10 min at -20°C, and an additional volume of water was added. The samples were centrifuged to remove precipitated protein, and the supernatants were analyzed by LC/MS/MS to quantify the remaining parent. Data were converted to % remaining by dividing by the time zero concentration value, and fitted to a first-order decay model to determine half-life values. Intrinsic clearance values were calculated from the half-life and protein concentrations by using the equation  $CL_{int} = \ln 2 / t_{1/2} [\text{microsomal protein}]$ .

## Computational details

All electronic structure calculations were performed at the B3LYP level of theory in combination with 6-31G(d) basis set as implemented in the Gaussian09 suite of quantum chemical programs [35–38]. An ultrafine grid was used for all calculations. The effect of solvation was modeled using a polarizable continuum model and atomic radii from Truhlar and co-workers in both water and 1-Octanol continuum [39]. All structures were confirmed to be minima via frequency calculations in both gas- and solvent-phases. We optimized the neutral, monoprotonated, and diprotonated forms of all molecules. Molecular orbital surfaces are generated for gas phase optimized geometry using an iso-surface value of 0.02. All molecular docking simulations were performed with Autodock4.2.11 [40], with default force field, which includes parameters for heme Fe, as well as for C/H/N/O/Cl. The structure of monomeric heme used was from CYP51 (PDB ID: 4H6O; <http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/explore/explore.do?structureId=4H6O>), and heme dimers from Pagola et al. [41]. For the ligands, the B3LYP/6-31G(d) optimized geometries of the diprotonated molecules (AQ, CQ, 1–5) were used as starting points for all docking simulations. All acyclic bonds in the ligands were rotatable for docking calculations. A grid box with a spacing of 0.375 Å, size of 60 x 60 x 60, and centered at the rigid heme receptor was used to generate atomistic grid by AutoGrid4.2. Molecular docking was performed using Lamarckian genetic algorithm (LGA) with search parameters set for 156 GA runs, with a population size of 200, a maximum number of  $2.5 \times 10^5$  energy evaluations, a maximum number of  $2.7 \times 10^4$  generations, a mutation rate of 0.02, and a crossover rate of 0.8. Other docking parameters were set to default. The docked conformations were clustered into groups of similar binding modes using a root mean square deviation clustering tolerance of 2.0 Å.

## Supporting Information

**S1 Fig. Docked poses of all molecules studied on a heme receptor.** The aminoquinoline molecules were employed in the diprotonated form. Only polar hydrogens were shown for improved clarity. Atom color code: white: H, brown: C, blue: N, red: O, and gold: Fe. (TIF)

**S2 Fig. HOMO and LUMO plots of all the systems.** Computed at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level at isosurface value of 0.02.

(TIF)

**S1 Table. Additional Antiplasmodial Activity Data from Lab. B.**

(DOCX)

**S2 Table. B3LYP/6-31G\* optimized geometry and energy (in Hartrees) of all molecules studied.**

(DOCX)

## Acknowledgments

We thank Ms. Victoria Medialdea (Brooklyn College) for assistance in the preparation of the manuscript.

## Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: CD VS JS RAS-D. Performed the experiments: CR ML CD VS CL DR. Analyzed the data: CR ML CD VS CL DR JS RAS-D. Wrote the paper: CR CD VS DR JS RAS-D.

## References

1. WHO (2014) World Malaria Report 2014. Available: [www.who.int/malaria/publications/world\\_malaria\\_report\\_2014/wmr-2014-no-profiles.pdf](http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/world_malaria_report_2014/wmr-2014-no-profiles.pdf). Accessed 24 Aug 2015.
2. Jensen M, Mehlhorn H (2009) Seventy-five years of Resochin in the fight against malaria. *Parasitology Research* 105: 609–627. doi: [10.1007/s00436-009-1524-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-009-1524-8) PMID: [19593586](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19593586/)
3. Trager W, Jensen JB (1976) Human malaria parasites in continuous culture. *Science* 193: 673–675. PMID: [781840](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/781840/)
4. Gemma S, Camodeca C, Brindisi M, Brogi S, Kukreja G, et al. (2012) Mimicking the intramolecular hydrogen bond: synthesis, biological evaluation, and molecular modeling of benzoxazines and quinoxalines as potential antimalarial agents. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 55: 10387–10404. doi: [10.1021/jm300831b](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm300831b) PMID: [23145816](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23145816/)
5. O'Neill PM, Mukhtar A, Stocks PA, Randle LE, Hindley S, Ward SA, et al. (2003) Isoquine and Related Amodiaquine Analogues: A New Generation of Improved 4-Aminoquinoline Antimalarials. *J Med Chem* 46: 4933–4945. PMID: [14584944](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14584944/)
6. O'Neill PM, Park BK, Shone AE, Maggs JL, Roberts P, Stocks PA, et al. (2009) Candidate Selection and Preclinical Evaluation of N-tert-Butyl Isoquine (GSK369796), An Affordable and Effective 4-Aminoquinoline Antimalarial for the 21st Century. *J Med Chem* 52: 1408–1415. doi: [10.1021/jm8012618](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm8012618) PMID: [19222165](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19222165/)
7. O'Neill PM, Shone AE, Stanford D, Nixon G, Asadollahy E, Park BK, et al. (2009) Synthesis, Antimalarial Activity, and Preclinical Pharmacology of a Novel Series of 4'-Fluoro and 4'-Chloro Analogues of Amodiaquine. Identification of a Suitable "Back-Up" Compound for N-tert-Butyl Isoquine. *J Med Chem* 52: 1828–1844. doi: [10.1021/jm8012757](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm8012757) PMID: [19284751](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19284751/)
8. O'Neill PM, Willock DJ, Hawley SR, Bray PG, Storr RC, Ward SA, et al. (1997) Synthesis, antimalarial activity, and molecular modeling of tebuquine analogues. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 40: 437–448. PMID: [9046333](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9046333/)
9. Okombo J, Kiara SM, Abdurahman A, Mwai L, Ohuma E, Borrmann S et al. (2013) Antimalarial activity of isoquine against Kenyan *Plasmodium falciparum* clinical isolates and association with polymorphisms in *pfcr1* and *pfmdr1* genes. *The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy* 68: 786–788. doi: [10.1093/jac/dks471](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks471) PMID: [23169890](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23169890/)
10. Casagrande M, Barteselli A, Basilico N, Parapini S, Taramelli D, Sparatore A (2012) Synthesis and antiplasmodial activity of new heteroaryl derivatives of 7-chloro-4-aminoquinoline. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* 20: 5965–5979.
11. Casagrande M, Basilico N, Parapini S, Romeo S, Taramelli D, Sparatore A (2008) Novel amodiaquine congeners as potent antimalarial agents. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* 16: 6813–6823.

12. Casagrande M, Basilico N, Rusconi C, Taramelli D, Sparatore A (2010) Synthesis, antimalarial activity, and cellular toxicity of new arylpyrrolylaminoquinolines. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* 18: 6625–6633.
13. O'Neill PM, Harrison AC, Storr RC, Hawley SR, Ward SA, Park BK (1994) The effect of fluorine substitution on the metabolism and antimalarial activity of amodiaquine. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 37: 1362–1370. PMID: [8176713](#)
14. Raynes KJ, Stocks PA, O'Neill PM, Park BK, Ward SA (1999) New 4-aminoquinoline Mannich base antimalarials. 1. Effect of an alkyl substituent in the 5'-position of the 4'-hydroxyanilino side chain. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 42: 2747–2751. PMID: [10425085](#)
15. Wenzel NI, Chavain N, Wang Y, Friebolin W, Maes L, Pradines B, et al. (2010) Antimalarial versus Cytotoxic Properties of Dual Drugs Derived From 4-Aminoquinolines and Mannich Bases: Interaction with DNA. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 53: 3214–3226. doi: [10.1021/jm9018383](#) PMID: [20329733](#)
16. Navarro M, Castro W, Biot C (2012) Bioorganometallic Compounds with Antimalarial Targets: Inhibiting Hemozoin Formation. *Organometallics* 31: 5715–5727.
17. Blackie MAL, Yardley V, Chibale K (2010) Synthesis and evaluation of phenylequine for antimalarial activity in vitro and in vivo. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters* 20: 1078–1080.
18. Tukulula M, Njoroge M, Abay ET, Mugumbate G, Wiesner L, Taylor D, et al. (2013) Synthesis, in vitro and in vivo pharmacological evaluation of new 4-aminoquinoline-based compounds. *ACS Medicinal Chemistry Letters* 4: 1198–1202. doi: [10.1021/ml400311r](#) PMID: [24900630](#)
19. Rodrigues T, da Cruz FP, Lafuente-Monasterio MJ, Gonçalves D, Ressurreição AS, Siteo AR, et al. (2013) Quinolin-4(1H)-imines are Potent Antiplasmodial Drugs Targeting the Liver Stage of Malaria. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 56: 4811–4815. doi: [10.1021/jm400246e](#) PMID: [23701465](#)
20. Kumar A, Srivastava K, Raja Kumar S, Puri SK, Chauhan PMS (2010) Synthesis of new 4-aminoquinolines and quinoline-acridine hybrids as antimalarial agents. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters* 20: 7059–7063.
21. Shiraki H, Kozar MP, Melendez V, Hudson TH, Ohrt C, Magill AJ, et al. (2010) Antimalarial Activity of Novel 5-Aryl-8-Aminoquinoline Derivatives. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 54: 131–142. doi: [10.1021/jm100911f](#) PMID: [21141892](#)
22. Zishiri VK, Joshi MC, Hunter R, Chibale K, Smith PJ, Summers RL, et al. (2011) Quinoline Antimalarials Containing a Dibemethin Group Are Active against Chloroquinone-Resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* and Inhibit Chloroquine Transport via the *P. falciparum* Chloroquine-Resistance Transporter (PfCRT). *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 54: 6956–6968. doi: [10.1021/jm2009698](#) PMID: [21875063](#)
23. Joshi MC, Wicht KJ, Taylor D, Hunter R, Smith PJ, Egan TJ (2013) In vitro antimalarial activity, beta-haematin inhibition and structure-activity relationships in a series of quinoline triazoles. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 69: 338–347. PMID: [24077524](#)
24. Aguiar ACC, Santos RdM, Figueiredo FJB, Cortopassi WA, Pimentel AS, França TC, et al. (2012) Antimalarial Activity and Mechanisms of Action of Two Novel 4-Aminoquinolines against Chloroquine-Resistant Parasites. *PLoS ONE* 7: e37259. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0037259](#) PMID: [22649514](#)
25. Navarro M, Vásquez F, Sánchez-Delgado RA, Pérez H, Sinou V, Schrével J (2004) Toward a novel metal-based chemotherapy against tropical diseases. 7. Synthesis and in vitro antimalarial activity of new gold-chloroquine complexes. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 47: 5204–5209. PMID: [15456263](#)
26. Rajapakse CS, Martinez A, Naoulou B, Jarzecki AA, Suarez L, Deregnacourt C, et al. (2009) Synthesis, characterization, and in vitro antimalarial and antitumor activity of new ruthenium(II) complexes of chloroquine. *Inorg Chem* 48: 1122–1131. doi: [10.1021/ic802220w](#) PMID: [19119867](#)
27. Glans L, Ehnbohm A, de Kock C, Martínez A, Estrada J, Smith PJ, et al. (2012) Ruthenium(II) arene complexes with chelating chloroquine analogue ligands: synthesis, characterization and in vitro antimalarial activity. *Dalton Transactions* 41: 2764–2773. doi: [10.1039/c2dt12083f](#) PMID: [22249579](#)
28. Basco LK (2004) Molecular Epidemiology of Malaria in Cameroon. XX. Experimental Studies on Various Factors of in Vitro Drug Sensitivity Assays Using Fresh Isolates of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 70: 474–480. PMID: [15155978](#)
29. Briolant S, Parola P, Fusai T, Madamet-Torrentino M, Baret E, Mosnier J, et al. (2007) Influence of oxygen on asexual blood cycle and susceptibility of *Plasmodium falciparum* to chloroquine: requirement of a standardized in vitro assay. *Malaria Journal* 6: 44. PMID: [17437625](#)
30. Ducharme J, Farinotti R (1996) Clinical pharmacokinetics and metabolism of chloroquine. Focus on recent advancements. *Clinical pharmacokinetics* 31: 257–274. PMID: [8896943](#)
31. Li XQ, Bjorkman A, Andersson TB, Ridderstrom M, Masimirembwa CM (2002) Amodiaquine clearance and its metabolism to N-desethylamodiaquine is mediated by CYP2C8: a new high affinity and turnover enzyme-specific probe substrate. *The Journal of pharmacology and experimental therapeutics* 300: 399–407. PMID: [11805197](#)

32. Trager W, Jensen JB. Human malaria parasites in continuous culture. *Science*. 1976 Aug 20; 193(4254):673–5. PMID: [781840](#)
33. Lambros C, Vanderberg JP. Synchronization of *Plasmodium falciparum* erythrocytic stages in culture. *J Parasitol*. 1979 Jun; 65(3):418–20. PMID: [383936](#)
34. Desjardins RE, Canfield CJ, Haynes JD, Chulay JD. Quantitative assessment of antimalarial activity in vitro by a semiautomated microdilution technique. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1979 Dec; 16(6):710–8. PMID: [394674](#)
35. Lee C, Yang W, Parr RG. Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. *Phys Rev B: Condens Matter*. 1988; 37:785–9.
36. Becke AD. Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. *J Chem Phys*. 1993; 98:5648–52.
37. Ditchfield R, Hehre WJ, Pople JA. Self-consistent molecular-orbital methods. IX. Extended Gaussian-type basis for molecular-orbital studies of organic molecules. *J Chem Phys*. 1971; 54:724–8.
38. Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, et al. Gaussian 09, Revision C.01, Gaussian, Inc. Wallingford, CT.2010.
39. Marenich AV, Cramer CJ, Truhlar DG. Universal Solvation Model Based on Solute Electron Density and on a Continuum Model of the Solvent Defined by the Bulk Dielectric Constant and Atomic Surface Tensions. *J Phys Chem B*. 2009; 113:6378–96. doi: [10.1021/jp810292n](#) PMID: [19366259](#)
40. Morris GM, Huey R, Lindstrom W, Sanner MF, Belew RK, Goodsell DS, et al. AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: Automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. *J Comput Chem*. 2009 Dec; 30(16):2785–91. doi: [10.1002/jcc.21256](#) PMID: [19399780](#)
41. Pagola S, Stephens PW, Bohle DS, Kosar AD, Madsen SK. The structure of malaria pigment beta-haematin. *Nature*. 2000 Mar 16; 404(6775):307–10. PMID: [10749217](#)