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INTRODUCTION

Andrea McArdle†

City University of New York School of Law (“CUNY Law”) has long nurtured a tradition of engaged social justice scholarship that has supported its mission to prepare lawyers to practice “law in the service of human needs.” CUNY Law’s commitment to educating lawyers for public interest practice is anchored by a curriculum that encourages critical doctrinal analysis, innovative approaches to problem solving, and an appreciation of the power of language to engage and focus our attention, and, in inspired moments, to move and persuade us. This socially engaged intellectual practice among CUNY Law faculty, students, and graduates, and the linkage of that practice with writing, is pervasive. It is reflected in the faculty’s incorporation of a writing- and writer-centered pedagogy across the curriculum,1 and in the substantial record of social justice scholarship produced by CUNY Law students.2 It is manifested in the faculty’s contributions to progressive publications,3 blogs
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and commentary, symposia, faculty- and student-drafted amicus briefs to federal courts and international tribunals, the work of


faculty-led law centers, and the distinguished work of CUNY Law’s graduates as practitioners, scholars, and members of the bench.

This engaged scholarly tradition within the CUNY Law community is evident in the issue of the *City University of New York (“CUNY”) Law Review* that I am privileged to introduce, an issue that commemorates the Law School’s thirtieth anniversary. Typically, the *Law Review* features the work of public interest scholars, practitioners, and students representing a broad swath of institutional and professional affiliations. The current issue, however, is distinguished by the fact that the scholarship it showcases consists almost entirely of the work of CUNY Law faculty, graduates, and students. In terms of subject areas, the range of the articles and published remarks is broad. Yet, in a number of dimensions, the crosscutting nature of this work is particularly notable.


7 CUNY School of Law currently hosts three centers that promote scholarly exchanges and serve as clearinghouses for data and research concerning issues of social justice and equity: *Center for Diversity in the Legal Profession, CUNY School of Law*, http://www.law.cuny.edu/academics/social-justice/cdlp.html (last visited Apr. 10, 2013) (Professor Pamela Edwards, Director) (dedicated to studying diversity within the legal profession as well as the issues faced by people of color who practice or wish to teach law); *Center on Latino and Latina Rights and Equality, CUNY School of Law*, http://www.law.cuny.edu/academics/social-justice/clore.html (last visited Apr. 10, 2013) (Associate Judge Jenny Rivera of the New York Court of Appeals, former Director) (focusing on issues affecting the Latino community in the United States, with the goal of developing progressive strategies for legal reform); *Center for Urban Environmental Reform, CUNY School of Law*, http://www.law.cuny.edu/academics/social-justice/cuer.html (last visited Apr. 10, 2013) (Professor Rebecca Bratspies, Director) (promoting full participation of communities in environmental decisions that affect them).

8 For instance, CUNY School of Law graduate Jonathan Libby successfully argued before the Supreme Court a challenge on First Amendment grounds to the Stolen Valor Act, which criminalized falsely stating that one is a recipient of a military medal. For additional background on the case, see Nina Totenberg, *Can ‘I Earned The Medal Of Honor’ Get You Jailed?*, NPR (Feb. 22, 2012, 12:01 AM), http://www.npr.org/2012/02/22/147211850/can-i-won-the-medal-of-honor-get-you-jailed.


10 Examples of CUNY School of Law alumni who have become judges include the Hon. Bryanne Hamill, Hon. Rita Mella, and Hon. Edwina Richardson-Mendelson.

11 As a past Associate Dean for Academic Affairs at CUNY Law School, Professor Gomez-Velez has been especially attentive to identifying the connections that exist between the Law School’s academic program and post-graduate initiatives that share an emphasis on professional education for excellent social justice lawyering. In memorializing these connections in writing, this article contributes to the dissemination of knowledge about innovative educational practices that CUNY Law School has long participated in.
Law School’s LaunchPad for Justice and other approaches to partnering with courts and communities incorporates the concept of the longitudinal law school: it recognizes that a law school dedicated to preparing students for social justice lawyering—even a school such as CUNY Law that has a robust lawyering and clinical education program—must continue its support of students beyond graduation day. Particularly for those graduates who establish law practices and provide legal support to underserved persons and communities, the initial learning curve concerning doctrinal law, an appropriate lawyering model, and law office business practices can be steep, and the process of learning is ongoing.

Thus, the need among recent graduates for mentoring, continuing legal education, practical advice, and opportunities to realize economies of scale through shared access to resources requires a law school to take steps to help sustain a justice-driven legal practice over the long term. Professor Gomez-Velez’s article illuminates how CUNY Law’s Community Legal Resources Network (“CLRN”) has spearheaded such efforts to support experiential education beyond the conferral of the law degree. Its LaunchPad for Justice project combines immersion of recent CUNY Law graduates in New York City Housing Court practice with access-to-justice aims. In addition, CLRN’s Incubator project helps novice lawyers develop a business as well as a lawyering model, and connects small firm work with larger justice initiatives.

The article by CUNY Law graduates Karen Gargamelli and Jay Kim contextualizes the idea of the longitudinal law school. It is offered as a Public Interest Practitioner Section (“PIPS”) piece, a unique editorial feature of the CUNY Law Review that supports development of articles by practitioners engaged in innovative legal work in the tradition of CUNY Law’s commitment to social justice lawyering. In it, the authors describe the evolution of Common Law, an organization they founded that provides group legal education and more tailored individualized legal services to support pro se litigants and facilitate community organizing. Their article illustrates the importance of innovative post-law school projects such as CLRN’s Incubator program. This initiative offered Common Law’s founders the physical and intellectual space to develop a lawyering model supporting foreclosure defense and providing a critical educational perspective that connects clients’ individual legal proceedings with systemic abuses in the mortgage and financial services sector.

The community education that Common Law’s CUNY-trained
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lawyers provide continues a long-established practice in CUNY Law’s clinical programs and is a key component of community lawyering. In her Note on wage theft, CUNY Law alumna Lauren Dasse offers a further example of the utility of community education as an instrument of social justice lawyering. Wage theft is a constellation of exploitative practices that disproportionately affect low-income workers. Analyzing the enhanced enforcement provisions of New York’s recently adopted Wage Theft Prevention Act, the Note addresses the need for lawyers to supplement judicial and administrative enforcement efforts with educational outreach to other advocates, social services staff, and workers themselves to ensure the efficacy of the new law.

In its focus on enlightened legislation, Lauren Dasse’s Note highlights the importance of legislative remedies in the social justice lawyer’s toolkit. Bronx Defenders Managing Attorney Justine Olderman’s remarks from the CUNY Law Review-sponsored panel, “Bail: Incarcerated Until Proven Guilty,” address the work that social justice lawyers must do when legislative protections are not properly enforced. It is particularly apt that the Law Review feature Ms. Olderman’s participation in this panel: The Bronx Defenders and the CUNY Law School Clinics share a special focus in taking a holistic approach to representing clients, including attention to the collateral social and legal consequences of being arrested. Moreover, CUNY Law graduates currently serve as staff attorneys and/or have interned at The Bronx Defenders, and The Bronx Defenders attorneys have taught as adjunct faculty at CUNY Law. Ms. Olderman’s discussion considers legislation that was adopted in New York decades ago to ensure that bail determinations would not become a mechanism through which a person who is charged with a crime remains incarcerated during the pendency of a case, with all the attendant consequences that incarceration can visit upon an accused, simply because he is without resources. Olderman observes that although the criteria for bail determinations in the current law appropriately focus on the likelihood that

---

an accused would return to court, not the risk of re-offending or of being a danger to the community, courts routinely fail to apply these criteria. Thus, she emphasizes the need for advocacy and education to ensure that the bail statute is enforced according to its letter.

The ameliorative potential of reform legislation for social justice lawyers is examined as well in Amy Robinson-Oost’s analysis of New York State’s proposed SAFE Parole Act. Arguing that the state’s Parole Board currently operates with too much discretion, this Note demonstrates why proposed amendments that would remove as factors for parole consideration the severity of a parole applicant’s offense and the applicant’s prior convictions are more reflective of the goals of a parole system: to evaluate one’s rehabilitation and readiness for re-entry into society.

The work featured in this issue demonstrates the range of scholarship that engages the public interest. CUNY Law Adjunct Professor Michael Macchiarola’s assessment of the Security and Exchange Commission’s practice of entering into consent judgments certainly falls within that purview. Although recognizing that courts typically give deference to the determinations of administrative agencies, Professor Macchiarola argues that courts that are asked to oversee a consent judgment must have sufficient access to the underlying facts of cases proposed for settlement to enable these courts to evaluate whether the settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the “public interest.” Thus, a more robust level of judicial review than simple deference is appropriate.

As this brief summary indicates, the articles published in this issue exemplify engaged scholarship in a social justice tradition. Social justice scholarship is in part concerned with empowering communities, and for this endeavor education and outreach are crucial lawyering tools. Social justice lawyering is also proactive with respect to the workings of public institutions—courts, legislatures, and administrative agencies—in the effort to support clients and client communities effectively. That the CUNY Law community is so well represented among the authors of articles examining the role of both public institutions and civil society is telling; it reflects the extent to which this engaged community values scholarly writing as a crucial component of its social justice work. That valuing, I would suggest, is linked to the very sustainability of social justice lawyering, which over time needs the intellectual space that justice-driven scholarship affords for both advocacy and reflection.
The scholars, teachers, students, and practitioners from CUNY Law are committed to engaged social justice work over the long term and have claimed that intellectual space, as the scholarship in this issue makes abundantly clear.