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Abstract  
Social stress, including bullying during adolescence, is a risk factor for common psychopathologies such as depression. To investigate the neural mechanisms associated with juvenile social stress-induced mood-related endophenotypes, we examined the behavioral, morphological, and biochemical effects of the social defeat stress model of depression on hippocampal dendritic spines within the CA1 stratum radiatum. Adolescent (postnatal day 35) male C57BL/6 mice were subjected to defeat episodes for 10 consecutive days. Twenty-four h later, separate groups of mice were tested on the social interaction and tail suspension tests. Hippocampi were then dissected and Western blots were conducted to quantify protein levels for various markers important for synaptic plasticity including protein kinase M zeta (PKMζ), protein kinase C zeta (PKCζ), the dopamine-1 (D1) receptor, tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), and the dopamine transporter (DAT). Furthermore, we examined the presence of the a-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA)-receptor subunit GluA2 as well as colocalization with the post-synaptic density 95 (PSD95) protein, within different spine subtypes (fipodia, stubby, long-thin, mushroom) using an immunohistochemistry and Golgi-Cox staining technique. The results revealed that social defeat induced a depression-like behavioral profile, as inferred from decreased social interaction levels, increased immobility on the tail suspension test, and decreases in body weight. Whole hippocampal immunoblots revealed decreases in GluA2, with a concomitant increase in DAT and TH levels in the stressed group. Spine morphology analyses further showed that defeated mice displayed a significant decrease in stubby spines, and an increase in long-thin spines within the CA1 stratum radiatum. Further evaluation of GluA2/PDSD95 containing-spines demonstrated a decrease of these markers within long-thin and mushroom spine types. Together, these results indicate that juvenile social stress induces GluA2- and dopamine-associated dysregulation in the hippocampus – a neurobiological mechanism potentially underlying the development of mood-related syndromes as a consequence of adolescent bullying.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction  
Adolescent bullying has become a major risk factor for several psychiatric illnesses (Nansel et al., 2001), including major depressive disorder (Toﬁ, 2015). To gain insight into the neural mechanisms associated with the negative impact of adolescent bullying and the expression of depression-related symptomatology, we used the social defeat stress model of depression (Gottfredson et al., 2015; Kudryavtseva et al., 1991). We selected this preclinical behavioral approach because it can mimic some of the negative emotional and physical aspects of bullying (Bjorkqvist, 2001), resulting in depression-related behavior (Krishnan et al., 2007; Yu...
et al., 2011). In this paradigm, experimental mice are exposed to social and physical conflict by aggressive members of the same species (see section 2.2 for details), resulting in both physical and emotional stress (Krishnan et al., 2008; Warren et al., 2013). Importantly, social defeat utilizes a naturalistic stressor, which provides strong face and pharmacological validity, in contrast to other experimental approaches that use more artificial forms of stress. For example, social defeat induces both neuroendocrine and behavioral modifications (Keeney et al., 2001), as well as neurobiological alterations across several brain regions that are particularly vulnerable to stress, including the hippocampus (Tse et al., 2014). More specifically, it is reported that the CA1 subregion of the hippocampus displays morphological changes as a consequence of stress exposure (Castaneda et al., 2015; Sebastian et al., 2013a); however, this relationship has yet to be examined during the juvenile stage of development, as a function of social stress specifically. Thus, in order to characterize the effects of social stress on hippocampal spine morphology during adolescence, we examined the expression of synaptic markers within CA1 spines.

To do this, we focused on four distinct spine types (filopodia, stubby, long-thin, and mushroom), which are differentially characterized on the basis of their head and neck ratio (Rochefort and Konnerth, 2012; Spiga et al., 2011) and vary in their synaptic capability. For instance, while filopodia and stubby have smaller spine heads than long-thin and mushroom, they respond more quickly to changes in synaptic activity (Bourne and Harris, 2007; Rochefort and Konnerth, 2012), whereas mushroom spines are more efficient for synaptic transmission. Thus, incorporating a more discrete analysis of specific spine subtypes allows for better characterization of spine morphology, which may otherwise be overlooked when examining total spine changes. Given their role in the development of these spine types, we determined the expression of the α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA)-receptor subunit GluA2, the dopamine transporter (DAT), protein kinase C zeta (PKCζ), and protein kinase M zeta (PKMζ).

PKMζ, a specific autonomously active form of the atypical isozyme PKCζ (Hernandez et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 1994), has been shown to function in concert with GluA2 during synaptic plasticity (Ling et al., 2002; Yao et al., 2008). As the trafficking of the GluA2 receptor subunit increases in the synapse during plasticity, clusters of PKMζ/GluA2/PSD95 proteins develop (Shao et al., 2012), preventing AMPA receptors from undergoing endocytosis. Stabilization of AMPA receptors within the synaptic membrane is important for increasing mushroom spine heads (Sebastian et al., 2013a), which in turn facilitates synaptic plasticity. Additionally, the GluA2 subunit is the rate-limiting factor for calcium influx after activation (Isaac et al., 2007), and thus plays an important role in modulating synaptic activity as well (Schmidt et al., 2010). GluA2 is highly expressed in the hippocampus, and is expressed in the form of two heterodimers, GluA1/GluA2 and GluA2/GluA3 (Wenthold et al., 1996), which are important for learning (Joels and Lamprecht, 2010) and long-term memory processes (Braun et al., 2014; Henley and Wilkinson, 2013; Migues et al., 2010; Sebastian et al., 2013b). Of increasing interest is that the GluA2 subunit has been shown to play a functional role in stress-induced depression (Bai et al., 2003; Bleakman et al., 2007), as traditional antidepressants (i.e., imipramine and fluoxetine) increase phosphorylation of AMPA receptors (Du et al., 2007; Svenningsson et al., 2002). This suggests that AMPA receptor stabilization may underlie the therapeutic efficacy of antidepressants that involve monoaminergic- and glutamatergic-related signaling (Berton and Nestler, 2006; Manji et al., 2001; Skolnick et al., 2009).

Interestingly, the dopamine system is subject to change following social defeat stress. Studies have identified social defeat stress-induced decreases in dopamine in the medial prefrontal cortex (Watt et al., 2009), as well as reduced DAT levels within the striatum (Isovic et al., 2001). Additionally, dopamine receptor distribution is altered after social defeat, as evidenced by increased D1 receptor binding in the caudate putamen and prefrontal cortex (Avgustinovich and Alekseyenko, 2010), enhanced mesocorticolimbic dopamine response (Carb et al., 2000; Tidey and Miczek, 1996), and increased dopamine neuronal activity in the ventral tegmental area (VTA; Razzoli et al., 2011). However, no studies have investigated the expression of hippocampal dopamine receptors across different spine types, as a function of social defeat exposure during adolescence — the developmental stage when the first incidence of major depression is most often reported (Paus et al., 2008). Thus, we investigated the expression of the D1 receptor, TH (a marker for dopamine), and DAT within this brain region, as a function of juvenile social defeat stress exposure.

Our results show that social defeat induces a depression-like phenotype in adolescent male C57BL/6 mice — a behavioral response that correlates with increases in hippocampal cytosolic dopamine markers (DAT and TH), and decreases in synaptic GluA2 levels. Also, social stress induced changes in spine morphology within the CA1 stratum radiatum (i.e., decreases in stubby along with increases in long-thin spine subtypes). Further analyses within hippocampal CA1 spines indicated that defeat stress reduced the colocalization of GluA2 and PSD95 within long-thin and mushroom spines. Together, these data identify a potential neurobiological mechanism involving hippocampal dopamine- and AMPA receptor-associated deregulation in the expression of mood-related syndromes as a consequence of bullying during the adolescent stage of development.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

A total of 55 male, postnatal day (PD) 35, C57BL/6 mice were obtained from the Department of Psychology mouse breeding colony at California State University San Bernardino (CSUSB). Since the social defeat model of depression (i.e., resident/intruder paradigm) involves conflict stress (i.e., physical threat) from a more dominant resident counterpart (Golden et al., 2011; Kudravyteve et al., 1991), we purchased CD1 male retired breeders from Charles River Laboratories to be used as aggressors for this investigation (Parmigiani et al., 1999). Prior to social defeat stress exposure, CD1 aggressors were single housed, and C57BL/6 mice were housed with littermates in groups of 3–4, in standard polypropylene cages containing wood shavings. Mice were maintained in a colony room with a 12 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 7:00 h), and with access to food and water ad libitum. This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals developed by the Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, as well as the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at CSUSB.

2.2. Social defeat stress and experimental design

The adolescent social defeat stress paradigm was performed as previously described (Iniguez et al., 2014b). To do this, CD1 retired breeders with reliable attack latencies (<30 s on three consecutive screening tests) were housed in cages containing perforated Plexiglas separators, which divide the cage into two separate compartments (for specific details on all aspects of the social defeat paradigm see Golden et al., 2011). For each stress session (10 min per day), defeated mice were placed into the same compartment as
the CD1 aggressor. Following each 10 min session, the defeated mice were housed for 24 h in the compartment adjacent to their respective CD1 aggressor. This procedure ensured that defeated mice were exposed to a novel CD1 aggressor each day, for 10 consecutive days (PD35-44). In the event that the CD1 aggressor exhibited repeated forceful attacks (i.e., continuous biting even after the experimental mouse displayed submissive posturing), the defeat bout was immediately terminated (Golden et al., 2011; Iniguez et al., 2014a). Conversely, if the aggressor did not display a consistent attack towards the experimental C57BL/6 mouse, the aggressor was removed and replaced by a novel CD1 mouse. Non-stressed (control) mice were handled daily and housed in similar cages, one on each side of the perforated Plexiglas separator. Immediately after the last stress episode (i.e., PD44), all C57BL/6 mice were single housed. Twenty-four h later (PD45; see Fig. 1(a)), separate groups of experimental mice were tested in either the social interaction or the tail suspension test. This approach was taken to avoid possible testing carry-over effects (see Table 1 for experimental groups). Behavioral testing was conducted between 10:00 and 14:00 h. Animals were euthanized (live decapitation) 40 min after behavioral assessment or transcardially perfused (see below for details on brain tissue collection).

2.3. Social interaction test

The social interaction test is used to assess social behavior (Berton et al., 2006). This is a two-step procedure conducted under red light conditions (Krishnan et al., 2008). In the first 2.5 min session, the experimental C57BL/6 mouse is allowed to freely explore an open field arena (40 cm length × 40 cm width × 40 cm height). Along one side of the arena is a circular (9 cm diameter) wire cage (Stoelting Co., Wood Dale, IL) that remains empty during the first trial (target absent condition). The C57BL/6 mouse is then removed from the testing arena for 30 s (into a separate holding cage), and a novel CD1 male mouse is placed into the wire cage. In the second 2.5 min trial (target present condition), the experimental C57BL/6 mouse is reintroduced into this arena now containing a social target (unfamiliar CD1 mouse) within the circular wire cage. Time (sec) spent in the interaction zone (8 cm wide corridor surrounding the circular wire cage) in the presence of the social target, as well as the time (sec) spent in the corners (10 × 10 cm) of the testing arena (Iniguez et al., 2014b), served as dependent variables. Additionally, we recorded the distance traveled (cm) during the first 2.5 min of the social interaction test to examine whether basal locomotor activity was influenced by social stress exposure (Table 1, group 1). Behavioral outcomes were scored via an automated video tracking system (Noldus, Asheville, NC).

2.4. Tail suspension test

The tail suspension test is a behavioral procedure in which rodents are placed in an inescapable stressful condition, where mice are hung by their tail for 6 min (Steru et al., 1985). Initially, mice engage in escape-directed behaviors but eventually adopt a posture of immobility – however, antidepressant treatment can significantly increase their escape-directed behaviors, an effect that has been correlated with pharmacological antidepressant efficacy in humans (Cryan et al., 2005). Conversely, an animal that spends more time immobile is considered to be more sensitive to the effects of inescapable stress (Iniguez et al., 2010). The total time (sec) spent immobile during the last 5 min of the test was the dependent variable. Observers that were blind to the experimental conditions scored behavioral outcomes (Table 1, group 2).

2.5. Tissue fractions

Forty min after the social interaction test (Table 1, group 3), bilateral hippocampi were microdissected on dry ice, and stored at −80 °C until processed. The tissue was prepared into two fractions, cytosolic and synaptic (Braren et al., 2014). Hippocampi were thawed from frozen and homogenized in 200 μl buffer containing TEE (Tris 50 mM; EDTA 1 mM; EGTA 1 mM), SigmaFast protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma Aldrich) diluted to contain AEBSF (2 mM), Phosphoramidon (1 μM), Bestatin (130 μM), E-64 (14 μM), Leupeptin (1 μM), Aprotinin (0.2 μM), and Pepstatin A (10 μM). Homogenates were centrifuged at 3000 g (5 min at 4 °C), to remove unhomogenized tissue. The resulting supernatant was centrifuged at 100,000 g for 30 min. After ultracentrifugation, the supernatant was collected and stored as the cytosolic fraction. The remaining pellet was resuspended in 100 μl of homogenizing TEE buffer containing 0.001% Triton X-100, incubated on ice for 1 h and then centrifuged at 100,000 g for 1 h at 4 °C. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 50 μl of TEE buffer and stored as the synaptic fraction (Braren et al., 2014). The Pierce bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA; Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) was used to determine protein concentration for each sample. Samples were reduced with 4× Laemmli sample buffer equivalent to 25% of the total volume of the
sample and then boiled and stored frozen at –80 °C.

2.6. Western blotting

Whole hippocampal samples (20 μg) were loaded onto a Tris/Gly 4–20% mini gel to resolve glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, 37 kDa), PKMζ (55 kDa), PKCζ (70 kDa), GluA2 (102 kDa), TH (58 kDa) DAT (50 kDa) and D1 (48 kDa). Every gel contained 3–4 lanes loaded with the same control sample, all brain sample (ABS). ABS was used to standardize protein signals between gels. Gels were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes in the iBlot® Dry Blotting System (Life Technologies; Carlsbad, CA) for 9 min. Nitrocellulose membranes were then incubated in blocking solution containing 5% sucrose in Tris Buffered Saline with Tween-20 (TBST; 0.1% Tween-20 in TBS) for 30 min at room temperature (J.C. Sebastián et al., 2013a), and placed in a 3% solution containing 5% sucrose in Tris Buffered Saline with Tween-20 (TBST; 0.1% Tween-20 in TBS) for 30 min. For the next step, membranes were incubated for 3 h in a solution of 3% bovine serum albumin 0.1% Tween-20 in TBS. Membranes were transferred to a fresh Golgi-Cox Solution for an additional 14 days. The Golgi-Cox solution consisted of 5% potassium chromate, 5% potassium dichromate, and 5% mercuric chloride. Following 2 days of incubation, the brains were transferred to a 30% sucrose solution for 2 days; repeated measure) as sources of variance, followed by Tukey post hoc tests. Student’s t-tests were used for analyses implicating two-group comparisons (tail suspension test, Western blots, and spine-type density analyses). Golgi-IHC data were analyzed using ANOVA techniques, with spine type (absent vs. present; repeated measure), and day of defeat (10 days; repeated measure) as sources of variance, followed by planned post hoc comparisons (independent t-test). Spine density was normalized by branch length, and spine IHC data were normalized by number of spines on each branch (data was averaged across

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Defeat age</th>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Control n = 10 Defeat n = 10</td>
<td>PD35-44</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>Social interaction</td>
<td>Fig. 1(b)–(d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Control n = 6 Defeat n = 9</td>
<td>PD35-44</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>Tail suspension test</td>
<td>Fig. 1(e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Control n = 4 Defeat n = 7</td>
<td>PD35-44</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>Social interaction/western blot</td>
<td>Figs. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Control n = 4 Defeat n = 5</td>
<td>PD35-44</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>Social interaction/immunohistochemistry</td>
<td>Figs. 4 and 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PD, postnatal day.
animals so that each animal was only represented once per dependent measure). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Social interaction

The effects of social defeat stress on adolescent social behavior are shown in Fig. 1(b)–(d). A two-way ANOVA, with stress (control vs. defeat) and presence of social target (absent vs. present) as independent variables, indicated that the time (sec) spent in the interaction zone was influenced by stress exposure (main effect: \( F_{1,36} = 14.42, p < 0.05 \)), the presence of the social target (main effect: \( F_{1,36} = 6.93, p < 0.05 \)), as well as their interaction (\( F_{1,36} = 32.44, p < 0.05 \)). Specifically, Fig. 1(b) displays how defeated mice (\( n = 10 \)) spent less time in the interaction zone in the presence of the target (target absent vs. present, \( p < 0.05 \)), or when compared to non-stressed controls (between group comparison, \( p < 0.05 \)). Fig. 1(c) demonstrates how this stress-induced avoidance-like phenotype is also evident when assessing the time spent in the corner zones (stress × target interaction: \( F_{1,36} = 36.29, p < 0.01 \)). Not surprisingly, non-defeated controls (\( n = 10 \)) spent significantly less time in the corner zones in the presence of the target (within group comparison, \( p < 0.05 \)). Conversely, defeated mice spent more time in the corners in the presence of the target (within group comparison, \( p < 0.05 \)), as well as when compared to non-stressed controls (between group comparison, \( p < 0.05 \)). When assessing distance traveled (cm) during the first 2.5 min interaction trial (i.e., target absent condition), no differences were evident as a function of defeat stress (\( t_{13} = 0.51, p > 0.05 \)), thus, indicating that adolescent social stress exposure did not influence general locomotor activity or exploratory behavior (Fig. 1(d)).

3.2. Tail suspension test

Fig. 1(e) shows how social defeat stress increases sensitivity to behavioral despair measures, as inferred by the tail suspension test, in adolescent male C57BL/6 mice. Here, when compared to non-stressed controls (\( n = 6 \)), defeated mice (\( n = 9 \)) spent significantly more time (sec) in the immobile position (\( t_{13} = 3.75, p < 0.01 \)).

3.3. Body weight

Fig. 2 shows the effects of adolescent social defeat stress on body weight in male C57BL/6 mice. Body weight was recorded prior to the initiation of each defeat episode (PD35-44), as well as before behavioral testing (PD45). A mixed-design repeated measures ANOVA indicated that body weight (g) changed as a function of stress exposure (between group main effect: \( F_{1,53} = 7157.0, p < 0.0001 \)), day of defeat episode (repeated measure main effect: \( F_{10,530} = 156.5, p < 0.0001 \)), and a stress by day of defeat interaction (\( F_{10,530} = 20.4, p < 0.0001 \)). Post hoc analyses further revealed that when compared to control mice (\( n = 24 \)), defeated mice (\( n = 31 \)) displayed lower body weight as of the fourth day (i.e., PD38) of stress exposure (\( p < 0.05 \), respectively). Twenty-four h after the last defeat episode (i.e., PD45), adolescent mice exposed to defeat stress weighted significantly less than control mice (\( t_{53} = 4.1, p < 0.001 \)).

3.4. Western immunoblot analysis

Fig. 3 shows the protein expression differences between social defeat (\( n = 7 \)) and control (\( n = 4 \)) conditions for TH, DAT, D1, GluA2, PKM\(^*\), and PKC\(^\gamma\), in adolescent C57BL/6 mice. Social defeat stress increased cytosolic TH (\( t_{9} = 3.49, p < 0.01 \)) and DAT (\( t_{9} = 2.67, p < 0.05 \)) in the adolescent hippocampus of male C57BL/6 mice, when compared to controls (Fig. 3(a) and (b)). No differences in synaptic D1 levels were observed between the groups (\( p > 0.05 \), Fig. 3(c)). The protein expression for the AMPA receptor subunit GluA2 (Fig. 3(d)) was significantly decreased as a function of social defeat stress (\( t_{9} = 2.39, p < 0.05 \)). Lastly, there were no differences in synaptic PKM\(^*\) or PKC\(^\gamma\) levels (\( p > 0.05 \), respectively) as a function of social defeat stress (Fig. 3(e) and (f)).

3.5. Spine morphology analysis

Fig. 4 shows the effects of social defeat stress on spine density and morphology (filopodia, stubby, long-thin, and mushroom) within the CA1 region of the adolescent hippocampus, in male C57BL/6 mice. Fig. 4(a) shows that there was no difference in overall spine density (voxels/spine) as a function of stress exposure between the groups (\( p > 0.05 \)). Conversely, when examining spine density across spine morphology (Fig. 4(b)), a significant decrease in stubby spines (\( t_{28} = 2.90, p < 0.001 \)) with a concommitant increase in long-thin spines (\( t_{28} = 5.72, p < 0.001 \)) was observed. Lastly, social stress did not influence the total number of filopodia (\( p > 0.05 \)), or mushroom (\( p > 0.05 \)) spine types between the groups.

3.6. Spine immunohistochemistry analysis

Fig. 5 shows the effects of social defeat stress on the expression of GluA2, PSD95, and their colocalization, as a function of spine-type within the adolescent CA1 region of the hippocampus. A two-way ANOVA with stress (control vs. defeat) and spine-type (filopodia, stubby, long-thin, mushroom) as sources of variance indicated that the number of spines expressing GluA2 (main effect: \( F_{1,28} = 6.66, p < 0.01 \)), as well as the colocalization of GluA2 and PSD95 (main effect: \( F_{1,28} = 17.43, p < 0.001 \)) varied as a function of stress exposure (control, \( n = 4 \): defeated, \( n = 5 \)). Planned comparisons further indicated that the defeated mice displayed decreases of GluA2 (Fig. 5(a)) as well as the colocalization of GluA2 and PSD-95 (Fig. 5(c)) within long-thin and mushroom spine subtypes, when compared to non-stressed control mice (\( p < 0.05 \), respectively).

4. Discussion

Our data show that social defeat stress induces a depression-like
behavioral phenotype in adolescent male C57BL/6 mice, as inferred from decreased social behavior in the social interaction test (Fig. 1(b) and (c)), increased time spent immobile in the tail suspension test (Fig. 1(e)), and decreases in body weight (Fig. 2). As such, this behavioral profile indicates that social stress (i.e., bullying), during adolescence, mimics some of the core symptoms of depression (social avoidance, despair, and weight fluctuation). Consequently, by including the tail suspension test as a despair measure, our experimental approach provides additional face validity for the social defeat model (Chaudhury et al., 2015) in juvenile...
mice (Iniguez et al., 2014b).

Preclinical, clinical, and postmortem studies suggest that various hippocampal-signaling molecules implicated in the remodeling of neuronal processes (Duric et al., 2013), including glutamate and dopamine receptors, play a critical role in the etiology of depression (Hashimoto, 2011; Leggio et al., 2013). Therefore, we selected to examine how juvenile social defeat stress influences receptors associated with dopamine and glutamate signaling (D1 and GluA2) and the expression of distinct spine types within the hippocampus. This approach was taken given that the hippocampus is a brain region that is undergoing volumetric changes during adolescence (Andersen and Teicher, 2008; Meyer et al., 1978), the stage of development when the first incidence of depression is most often reported (Paus et al., 2008).

4.1. Adolescent social defeat decreases stubby spines and increases long-thin spines in CA1

Our results show that social defeat stress alters the morphology (Fig. 4(b)), but not the density of dendritic spines (Fig. 4(a)), 24 h post last defeat, in adolescent male C57BL/6 mice. This is distinct from other reports, using adult rodents, where they have identified significant decreases in overall spine densities within the hippocampus after social defeat stress (Jiang et al., 2015). Specifically, our data demonstrate that CA1 dendrites of adolescent defeated mice exhibit a decrease in spines lacking a neck (stubby) and an increase in spine with a long neck (long-thin). It is possible that these spine-type specific changes (Fig. 4(b)), as a function of social defeat stress, are age-dependent, given that the adolescent hippocampus is undergoing substantial overproduction and pruning of synapses during this time (Andersen and Teicher, 2008). Indeed, it has been demonstrated that other forms of stress can dysregulate the signaling molecules that influence the developmental pattern of dendritic spines in the hippocampus (Bath et al., 2013). An increase in long-thin spines with a concomitant decrease in stubby spines identifies a shift in spine stability as a consequence of social defeat stress. Large spines form stronger, longer lasting synapses, while small spines are generally transient, forming weaker synapses (Kasai et al., 2003; Sebastian et al., 2013a). We hypothesize that this dynamic switch between stubby and long-thin spines may underlie the expression of the depression-related behavior observed in the current study. This interpretation is consistent with our behavioral data showing increased avoidance and despair-like responses after juvenile exposure to stress (Fig. 1(b)-(e)) – a behavioral phenotype that is regulated in a bidirectional manner by stress and antidepressants in a hippocampus-circuit-dependent manner (Bagot et al., 2015; Duman and Aghajanian, 2012; Snyder et al., 2011).

Due to the lack of a restrictive neck on stubby spines, a loss of these spine types should negatively influence the excitability of its parent dendrite. Two-photon microscopy studies on CA1 pyramidal neurons have shown that the length of the spine neck restricts the amount of calcium influx into the dendritic shaft (Noguchi et al., 2005; Takasaki and Sabatini, 2014), thereby reducing the spread of calcium within the dendrite following stimulation, which is necessary for action potential generation. The idea that social defeat is altering the excitability of the hippocampus by altering spine morphology is further supported by studies showing that the length of the spine neck is negatively correlated with membrane potentials in the dendritic shaft, as well excitatory postsynaptic potentials generated within the spine head (Araya et al., 2006). The potential decrease in hippocampal excitability after social defeat stress may be an underlying mechanism for the endophenotypes associated with adolescent depression. Interestingly, this process (i.e., decrease of stubby spines along with increases in long-thin spines) may be a neurobiological factor of the juvenile social defeat model (i.e., social avoidance, despair, and weight

---

**Fig. 5.** Effects of social stress on GluA2, PSD95, and their colocalization across spine types in the CA1 region of the hippocampus in adolescent C57BL/6 male mice. Social defeat stress decreased (a) GluA2 expression within long-thin and mushroom spines ("p < 0.05"); (b) No changes in PSD95 were observed across spine types ("p > 0.05") as a function of stress exposure. Conversely, (c) the number of spines expressing the colocalization of GluA2 and PSD95 was reduced in long-thin and mushroom spines ("p < 0.05"). Representative images of a dendritic branch from a control (d–e) and socially defeated animal (f–g). Scale bar = 5 μm for d and f and 2.5 μm for e and g. Red arrows indicate stubby spines. Blue arrows indicate long-thin spines. White voxels represent GluA2/PSD95 colocalization. Data are represented as mean voxels per spine (mean ± SEM). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
fluctuation). Thus, this paradigm may be useful when examining additional features of depression that are hippocampal-dependent, such as memory impairment that may result from stress-induced hypoexcitability. Indeed, recent reports demonstrate that adult patients suffering from depression who perform poorly on various memory-related tests display decreased blood oxygen-level dependent (BOLD) activity within this brain region (Mline et al., 2012). However, whether these observations would extend to the adolescent human population remains to be investigated. To date, only long-term effects on memory performance have been examined at the preclinical level with the use of the juvenile social defeat model (Novick et al., 2013), and thus, caution should be practiced when extending our results to the clinical population.

4.2. Adolescent social defeat stress increases corticosterone: a mechanism for spine remodeling

It is plausible that there is a role for corticosterone in the observed juvenile stress-induced spine remodeling. Results from our previous work show that adolescent social defeat stress increases blood serum corticosterone (Iniguez et al., 2014b), which we hypothesize may play a role in spine remodeling. It has been demonstrated that corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) receptor 1 (CRHR1) is located on dendritic spines of pyramidal neurons (Chen et al., 2004; Van Pett et al., 2000). Acute psychological stress induces release of hippocampal CRH activating the CRHR1 (Chen et al., 2006; Rejofo et al., 2005), and leads to a rapid reduction in dendritic spines (Chen et al., 2008). Not surprisingly, memory deficits induced by social defeat stress are reversed in a CRHR1-dependent manner (Wang et al., 2011). As such, these findings point to the possibility that a sustained elevation of endogenous CRH during social defeat stress may play a role in spine remodeling, and thus, underlie the increases in sensitivity to behavioral despair measures (tail suspension test), as well as the decreases in social behavior observed in adolescent mice. However, whether this proposed CRH-mediated increase in sensitivity to mood-related behaviors, after social defeat stress, is specific to the juvenile stage of development requires future detailed investigation – given that the deleterious effects of stress on hippocampal dependent behaviors are not always similar across age (Barha et al., 2011; Eiland and Romeo, 2013; McCormick and Green, 2013).

4.3. Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) and dopamine transporter (DAT) increase after social defeat exposure during adolescence

Our results demonstrate that the levels of TH and DAT were both significantly elevated after social defeat indicating elevated dopamine activity within the adolescent hippocampus following stress. This is likely the case, as it has been shown that increased levels of VTA-dopamine are mediated through the activation of the corticotropin releasing factor receptor-2 in the prefrontal cortex (Holly et al., 2015), which in turn, could activate projections to CA1 (Goldman-Rakic et al., 1984). Dopamine has been shown to decrease low frequency signals while enhancing high frequency signals in CA1 specifically (Ito and Schuman, 2007). This may suggest that dopamine modulates the interaction between cortical activity following stress exposure, and hippocampal frequency-dependent synaptic plasticity. Indeed, a recent study has shown that decreases in dopamine D1 receptors within the prefrontal cortex are associated with increased social avoidance after social defeat stress (Huang et al., 2016). Not surprisingly, because the hippocampus is important for learning and memory processes, this study also showed that social stress led to impaired recognition memory, in a somewhat similar fashion as in D1 knockout mice (El-Ghundi et al., 1999). Thus, the cortical-related dopamine frequency signals to the hippocampus may be important for the expression of cognitive deficits that are associated with stress-induced illnesses like depression (Pittenger and Duman, 2008). It is possible that the increases in TH and DAT (independent of D1 receptor expression; Fig. 3(a)–(c)) observed within the hippocampus in the current study may be mediated by altered cortical frequency signals induced by social stress, thus leading to increased depressive-like outcomes.

4.4. GluA2 and its colocalization with PSD95 is decreased in long-thin and mushroom spines after adolescent social defeat stress

A decrease in GluA2 expression within long-thin and mushroom dendritic spines after social defeat stress is consistent with reports that identify altered MAPK signaling after chronic social defeat (Ito et al., 2011; Iniguez et al., 2010). MAPK is an upstream marker of GluA2 trafficking, suggesting that the downstream expression involving the synaptic trafficking of GluA2 would also be decreased. Given that the GluA2 subunit is responsible for mediating the majority of excitatory neural transmission, the decrease in this subunit may reduce the efficacy of synaptic transmission within various spine types. This finding is corroborated by the western blot findings identifying an overall decrease in GluA2 levels within a synaptic fraction (Fig. 3(d)). Other studies support these data, showing decreases in AMPA receptor number and function in CA1 after chronic unpredictable stress (Kellarackal et al., 2013). Low levels of AMPA receptors containing GluA1 have also been associated with increased vulnerability to depression-like behavior (Schmidt et al., 2010). Reductions in GluA2 levels, specifically, have been shown to also decrease spine densities in a synaptic scaffolding molecule (S-SCAM)-dependent manner (Danielson et al., 2012). Here, we extend these findings by showing alterations across specific spine types (long-thin and mushroom) in adolescent male mice (Fig. 5(a)).

Elevated corticosterone induced by adolescent social defeat stress (Iniguez et al., 2014b) may be a mechanism by which we observe reductions in GluA2 containing spines. Although corticosterone does not affect the level of AMPA receptor subunit mRNA (Liu et al., 2006), it has been shown to decrease synaptic AMPA receptor trafficking and mobility (Martin et al., 2009). However, the reduced levels of GluA2 did not influence the synaptic levels of either PKMζ or PKCζ, suggesting that the social defeat effects are restricted to receptor trafficking.

Future studies will be needed to determine whether these trafficking mechanisms are affected by the ability of the subunit to interact with the cytoskeletal architecture of the spine. Indeed, previous reports examining post-mortem tissue (dorsolateral prefrontal cortex) from patients with depression have shown a dysregulation in the phosphorylation of spectrin, clathrin, and synapsin (Martins-de-Souza et al., 2012) – all which are involved in the expression of transmembrane protein, cell morphology, and synaptic transmission. Clathrin in particular has been shown to be involved in NMDA receptor dependent internalization of GluA2 (Anggono and Huganir, 2012), which may underlie the observed decrease in GluA2 levels.

It remains to be determined whether the levels of cytosolic PKMζ and/or PKCζ are altered, which could be a contributing factor in reduced synaptic levels of GluA2 (Yao et al., 2008). Thus, lower levels of GluA2 containing spines suggest that it may also disrupt dopamine function. Dopamine bursting activity is independent of baseline firing rates and, as such, can produce transient periods of high frequency activity that require glutamatergic input (Grace and Bunney, 1984). The number of dopamine neurons active at a given time is largely regulated by the hippocampus (Floresco et al., 2001; Lodge and Grace, 2011), indicating that GluA2 and dopamine...
activity are intimately linked during hippocampal function potentially modulating depressive-like behavior (Bagot et al., 2015).

4.5. Concluding summary

Our results highlight a role for dopamine and AMPA receptors, within the hippocampus, in the mediation of juvenile social defeat-induced depression-like behavior. The observed hippocampal reduction in GluA2 expression within long-thin and mushroom spines, along with a concomitant increase in TH and DAT represents potential dysfunction associated with mood-related illnesses, such as depression (Korte et al., 2015; Lodge and Grace, 2011). Antidepressants have been found to reverse AMPA dysfunction in chronically stressed animals (Kallarakal et al., 2013) and further increase AMPA phosphorylation and surface expression (Martinez-Turrillas et al., 2002; Svenningsson et al., 2002). Specifically, fluoxetine, a selective reuptake inhibitor, increases AMPA-induced currents in pyramidal cells via activation of D1 receptors in the prefrontal cortex (Bjorkholm et al., 2015) and reverses behavioral signs of depression by increasing them (Kobayashi et al., 2012). Future studies will need to delineate whether the alterations in hippocampal spine morphology may be restored within the CA1 via dopamine and glutamate-dependent antidepressant mechanisms. Collectively, these data provide novel insight into the potential neurobiological factors that underlie the expression of stress-induced depression symptomology in the juvenile population, as a result of social stressors by bullying.
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