City University of New York (CUNY)

CUNY Academic Works

International Conference on Hydroinformatics

2014

Development Of A Model-Based Decision Support System For Water Treatment In Smart Micro Water Grid

Hyeongrak Cho

Jungwoo Jung

Sangho Lee

Soo Kwen Chae

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

More information about this work at: https://academicworks.cuny.edu/cc_conf_hic/441 Discover additional works at: https://academicworks.cuny.edu

This work is made publicly available by the City University of New York (CUNY). Contact: AcademicWorks@cuny.edu

DEVELOPMENT OF A MODEL-BASED DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR WATER TREATMENT IN SMART MICRO WATER GRID

HYEONGRAK CHO¹, JUNGWOO JUNG¹, SANGHO LEE¹, SOO KWEN CHAE², JAESOON SEO³

- (1): School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Kookmin University, College of Engineering, 77 Jeongneung-ro, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul, 136-702, Republic of Korea
- (2): Eulji University, 553 Sanseong-daero, Sujeong-gu, Sengnam-Si, Gyeonggi-do, 451-632, Republic of Korea
- (3): Wacon Co., Ltd, Jeongwang-dong 1277-5, Siheung-Si, Kyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea

A multi-criteria decision support system (DSS) based was designed and developed to select optimum combinations of water treatment unit processes in micro water grid (MWG).

INTRODUCTION

Micro water grid (MWG), which is a subset of smart water grid (SWG), is a novel concept for decentralized water management system for residential, industrial, commercial, and institutional buildings or towns [1, 2]. MWG aims at achieving specific local goals, such as reliability, diversification of water sources, and cost reduction. Unlike micro electricity grid, MWG has not been well-established, leading to a problem of initial design and operation. Thus, a MWG system requires a "smart" infrastructure for water treatment, water distribution, and system control

In this study, a decision support system (DSS) was designed to select optimum combinations of water treatment unit processes in MWG. To begin, key questions on water treatment in MWG systems were identified. Then, the knowledge-based system was developed based on the inputs from experts in related research fields and the prediction of treatment efficiency from theoretical model.

MODELING APPROACH

A multi-criteria decision analysis for MWG was carried based on a scoring method [3, 4]. The Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) was applied to calculate the scores for each alternative based on pairwise comparisons. Three types of MWG systems were initially considered, including residential, commercial, and recreational applications. The weighting factors were estimated from a survey to a group of experts in academia and industry.

A decision-tree was created for an expert system of water treatment combinations in MWG systems. Treatment efficiency of pollutant by each water treatment combination was used for developing the knowledge base. The expert system was then developed using a web-based

platform, allowing an easy access to people who needs assists for design and operation of water treatment system in MWG.




Figure 1. Multi-criteria analysis for strategic decision making of MWG

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A series of experiments were carried out to obtain the model parameters for each unit process (i.e. media filtration, microfiltration, GAC, nanofiltration, chlorination, UV). Figure 2 shows the schematic diagram of experimental equipment for obtaining data on treatment efficiency by water treatment combinations. The 1st stage is used for removal of suspended solids and the 2nd stage is used for removal of organic matters. The 3rd stage, which is optional depending on the application, is used for disinfection.

Various feed waters containing rainwater, secondary effluent, ground water, and river water were used. Table 1 summarizes the examples for combinations of water treatment unit processes.




Figure 2. Combinations of water treatment unit process for MWG

Table 1. Summary of water treatment combinations considered in this study

Name	1 st stage	2 nd stage	3 rd stage
Feed	-	-	-
SD	Sand filtration	-	-
MF	Microfiltration	-	-
SG	Sand filtration	GAC	-
MG	Microfiltration	GAC	-
SN	Sand filtration	NF	-
MN	Microfiltration	NF	-
MGC	Microfiltration	GAC	Chlorination
MGU	Microfiltration	GAC	LPUV

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To begin, the multi-criteria decision analysis was performed to obtain the weighting factors. It was found that the weighting factors were different for different applications. For example, the increase in water resource was found to be the most important for residential MWG while water security and stability was the most important for industrial MWG.

The experiments were carried out to obtain the performance data for each water treatment combination. For example, Figure 3 shows how the optimum water treatment system was selected based on the results of treatment efficiency and the target water quality. Although the treatment efficiency is same, the optimum treatment system may be different for different targe water quality.




Figure 3. Selection of the optimum water treatment systems based on the concentration ratio of treated water quality to target water quality. Feed water: rainwater : groundwater : river water : wastewater = 1:1:1:1 (a) target water quality: TDS < 300 mgL; conductivity < 250 $\mu S/cm$; UV254 < 0.2; TOC < 2000 $\mu g/cm$; SS < 5 mg/L (b) target water quality: TDS < 300 mgL; conductivity < 250 $\mu S/cm$; UV254 < 0.2; TOC < 2000 $\mu g/cm$; SS < 5 mg/L

Based on all the results, the decision support system was developed as a form of web-based expert system. Figure 4 show the fundamental aspects of this system.




Figure 4. A web-based expert system for decision-supporting of water treatment combinations in MWG

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, a decision support system was designed developed based a scoring method and experimental results. A rule-based system was also created. This system has potential to provide useful information for optimum selection of water treatment combinations depending on the type of application and target water quality. Studies are ongoing to reflect the effect of capital and operation costs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by a grant (12-TI-C01) from Advanced Water Management Research Program funded by Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport of Korean government.

REFERENCES

- [1] Qi, W., J. Liu, and P.D. Christofides, *A distributed control framework for smart grid development: Energy/water system optimal operation and electric grid integration.* Journal of Process Control, Vol.21 No.10, (2011), p.1504-1516.
- [2] Ramos, H.M., et al., Energy recovery in SUDS towards smart water grids: A case study. Energy Policy, Vol.62 No.0, (2013), p.463-472.
- [3] Chen, Z., et al., Multi-criteria analysis towards the new end use of recycled water for household laundry: A case study in Sydney. Science of The Total Environment, Vol.438 No.0, (2012), p.59-65.
- [4] Zagonari, F. and C. Rossi, A heterogeneous multi-criteria multi-expert decision-support system for scoring combinations of flood mitigation and recovery options. Environmental Modelling & Software, Vol.49 No.0, (2013), p.152-165.