City University of New York (CUNY)

CUNY Academic Works

Publications and Research

New York City College of Technology

2019

Land surface temperature variability across India: a remote sensing satellite perspective

Satya Prakash

CUNY New York City College of Technology

Hamidreza Norouzi

New York City College of Technology

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

More information about this work at: https://academicworks.cuny.edu/ny_pubs/468

Discover additional works at: <https://academicworks.cuny.edu>

This work is made publicly available by the City University of New York (CUNY).

Contact: AcademicWorks@cuny.edu

1 **Land surface temperature variability across India: A remote sensing satellite**
2 **perspective**

3

4 **Satya Prakash^{1,*}, and Hamid Norouzi^{2,3}**

5

6 ¹Divecha Centre for Climate Change,
7 Indian Institute of Science,
8 Bengaluru, 560 012, India

9

10 ²New York City College of Technology,
11 City University of New York,
12 Brooklyn, NY 11201, USA

13

14 ³Earth and Environmental Sciences,
15 The Graduate Center,
16 City University of New York,
17 New York, NY 10016, USA

18

19 **Corresponding author's email: sprakash012@gmail.com*

20

21 *Theoretical and Applied Climatology* (online published in Nov 2019)

22 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-019-03010-8>

23

24

Abstract

25 Land surface temperature (LST) plays a key role in the surface energy budget computation and
26 land surface process studies. The Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS)
27 sensors onboard the Aqua and Terra satellites provide comprehensive global LST estimates at a
28 fine spatial resolution. The MODIS products were recently upgraded to Collection 6, and shown
29 to be more accurate than its predecessor Collection 5 products. In this study, LST and its
30 variability have been examined across India from Collection 6 of the Aqua MODIS data at 0.05°
31 spatial resolution for the period of 2003 to 2017. All-India mean LST characteristics show
32 distinctive features as compared to the well-documented mean characteristics of near-surface air
33 temperature. All land cover types except permanent snow & ice, and cold desert areas exhibit
34 bimodal peaks in seasonal variations of daytime LST. The daytime LST over the coldest and
35 high-altitude regions of northern India shows anomalous positive linear relationship with NDVI
36 at a monthly scale. However, monthly domain-mean daytime LST of cropland regions is largely
37 negatively correlated with NDVI as compared to other land cover types. Results reveal that about
38 17% of the Indian landmass received its hottest LST during 2010 followed by 2016. Linear trend
39 analysis for the 15-year period of mean annual LST shows a decrease in diurnal temperature
40 range over most parts of the country due to rather rapid increase in nighttime LST than daytime
41 LST, similar as changes in near-surface air temperature across the country.

42

43 **Keywords:** Land surface temperature; Land cover; Moderate Resolution Imaging
44 Spectroradiometer; Normalized difference vegetation index; Polar-orbiting satellite

45

46

471. Introduction

48 Land surface temperature (LST), also known as land skin temperature, is one of the
49crucial parameters for the study of surface energy budget, land surface processes, urban heat
50islands, and for the retrieval of several atmospheric variables (Li et al., 2013; Norouzi et al.,
512015a; Didari et al., 2017; Deilami et al., 2018; Prakash et al., 2018; Susskind et al., 2019). LST
52also plays a key role in the land surface model data assimilation. LST of a specific location is
53generally controlled by the surface air temperature along with other surface and sub-surface
54parameters such as soil moisture, soil roughness, vegetation type, elevation, shortwave and
55longwave radiations. Although surface air temperature and LST are locally correlated, the
56magnitudes of their diurnal variations have notable differences (Prakash et al., 2019). The
57response of LST to changes in local energy balance is faster than the surface air temperature. An
58adequate knowledge of differences among LST, surface air temperature, and upper layer soil
59temperature is vital for several environmental applications (Prakash et al., 2017; Shati et al.,
602018).

61 Ground-based observations of LST are rather sparse and limited at the global scale, and
62remote sensing satellites provide a cost-effective alternative to measure global and regional LST
63comprehensively. LST measurements from the polar-orbiting satellites are generally used as
64reference for validating LST derived from the geostationary satellites over in-situ data sparse
65regions (Singh et al., 2016). LST estimates from the Moderate Resolution Imaging
66Spectroradiometer (MODIS) sensors onboard the polar-orbiting Aqua and Terra satellites have
67been widely used for various applications at global and regional scales (Li et al., 2013; Prakash
68et al., 2016, 2018; Deilami et al., 2018; Phan and Kappas, 2018; Sharifnezhadazizi et al., 2019).
69MODIS-derived LST estimates were also utilized to assess short-term changes in LST over India

70at local scale (Mathew et al., 2017; Patel et al., 2017). Furthermore, these LST estimates were
71used to identify the hottest spot on the Earth located in the southeast Iran and its variations
72during MODIS-era (Mildrexler et al., 2011). Even though infrared-based LST estimates are more
73accurate and available at finer spatial resolution than those from passive microwave sensors,
74infrared-based LST estimates are available for clear-sky conditions alone. Hence, the synergism
75of infrared and passive microwave estimates of LST using a suitable technique has been
76advocated to achieve comprehensive global estimates of all-weather LST (Li et al., 2013; Prigent
77et al., 2016).

78 Elevation and normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) have shown to be closely
79related with the maximum LST (Khandan et al., 2018). Nonetheless, the changes in LST are also
80controlled by the vegetation types (Parida et al., 2008; Mildrexler et al., 2011). A negative linear
81relationship between LST and NDVI, and a positive correlation between LST and elevation was
82found over an urban area of the western India (Mathew et al., 2017). In general, LST is positively
83correlated with NDVI over the higher latitudes and elevations where energy is the limiting factor
84for vegetation growth, whereas there is a negative correlation between LST and NDVI over the
85regions where water is the limiting factor for vegetation growth (Karnieli et al., 2010). Moreover,
86the strength of correlations between LST and NDVI primarily depends on the season and land
87cover type (Marzban et al., 2018).

88 In the global climate change context, substantial changes in surface air temperature and
89precipitation extremes from the second half of twentieth century at global and regional scales
90have been reported using observations and climate models (Hao et al., 2013; Schmidt et al.,
912014; Turco et al., 2015). Near-surface warming has been found to be the largest over desert
92regions, resulting in desert amplification due to combined effect of warming and moistening of

93the troposphere (Wei et al., 2017). As annual maximum LST estimates are capable to
94characterize the changes in land cover and extreme climate events such as droughts and heat
95waves (Mildrexler et al., 2018), a comprehensive assessment of the variability in annual
96maximum LST at regional scale is vital for socio-economic benefits. Using daytime LST
97Collection 5 data from the Aqua MODIS sensor for 2003-2014, Mildrexler et al. (2018) showed
98large-scale directional shifts in the global maximum LST that indicated change in the surface
99energy balance. A significant warming of LST (~ 0.28 K decade⁻¹) between 2003 and 2013 was
100evident due to deforestation over the tropical regions (Li et al., 2016). Recently, Zhao et al.
101(2019) utilized LST products from the MODIS sensors to assess the trends in mean annual LST
102and annual maximum LST over the central Himalayan region, and reported that these two
103parameters are increasing at a faster rate during daytime than nighttime. A considerable decrease
104in forest cover in central and northeast India, and growth of cropland area in western India were
105also noticed between 1985 and 2005 using satellite-derived decadal land use/land cover data
106(Roy et al., 2015).

107 Recently, MODIS products have gone through major improvements and Collection 6
108products were released. The Collection 6 of MODIS products were proven to be more accurate
109than their predecessor Collections (Wan, 2014; Duan et al., 2019). As India is one of the largest
110countries in the world and comprises of varied topography and land cover (e.g., Figure 1), it
111becomes imperative to assess the variations in LST across the country for several environmental
112applications and policy planning. The ground-observations of LST across the country are very
113sparse and generally not accessible publicly. The objective of this study is to comprehensively
114assess the variations in LST across India from the Aqua MODIS product for a 15-year period
115spanning from 2003 to 2017.

116

1172. Data used

118 The MODIS sensors, mounted at two polar-orbiting satellites – Aqua and Terra, measure
119 atmospheric, oceanic, and land surface parameters at fine spatial resolution. MODIS sensors
120 have 36 visible and infrared channels which operate between the spectral ranges of 0.4 μm and
121 14.4 μm (Justice et al., 1998). The equatorial crossing local times of the Terra satellite are about
122 10:30 and 22:30, whereas these are 01:30 and 13:30 for the Aqua satellite. As LST observations
123 around 13:30 local time are closer to the daily maximum LST of a given location
124 (Sharifnezhadazizi et al., 2019), LST observations from the Aqua MODIS were used in this
125 study. The Aqua satellite, with six sensors onboard, was launched on May 4, 2002 by the
126 National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) with a goal to better understand the
127 global water and energy budget (Parkinson, 2013). This satellite was the first member of the
128 Afternoon Constellation or A-Train.

129 The cloud-free daily LST product – MYD11C1 Collection 6 (Wan et al., 2015) for
130 January 2003 to December 2017 has been considered in this study. Collection 6 LST products
131 showed notable differences from Collection 5 products, particularly over the global arid regions
132 (Prakash et al., 2018). The accuracy of Collection 6 LST products was shown to be better than
133 that of Collection 5 products due to substantial improvement in the retrieval algorithms (Wan,
134 2014; Duan et al., 2019). Monthly Aqua MODIS NDVI dataset – MYD13C2 Collection 6
135 (Didan, 2015) and annual land cover dataset from the combined Terra and Aqua MODIS –
136 MCD12C1 Collection 6 (Friedl and Sulla-Menashe, 2015) for the 15-year period were also used
137 in this study. Collection 6 land cover products have shown to be more accurate than Collection 5
138 due to refinements in the algorithm (Sulla-Menashe et al., 2019). These three MODIS-derived
139 products are available globally at 0.05° (~5.6 km at the equator) climate modeling grid. MODIS-

derived LST and NDVI products along with precipitation and soil moisture datasets were already shown to be promising for drought monitoring across India (Prakash, 2018).

Monthly cloud cover product from the Aqua MODIS (Platnick et al., 2015) for 2003 to 2017 was also used. Additionally, GTOPO30 dataset (Gesch and Larson, 1996) was used to characterize the distinct topographical features across India. GTOPO30 is a global digital elevation model produced by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) with a horizontal grid spacing of 30 arc seconds (~1 km at the equator).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Topography and land cover across India

Figure 1(a) shows the spatial distributions of topography across India using GTOPO30 dataset. It can be seen that the country comprises of highly varied topographical features. The northern parts of India have high mountains with elevation greater than 3 km (e.g., the Himalayan mountain range), whereas the western India and the Himalayan foothills regions have elevation less than 300 m. The southern and central parts of India have elevations ranging from 100 m to about 1 km due to existence of several mountain ranges, valleys and plateaus. The northeast India itself showed a wide variation in elevation such as high elevation in northern and southern parts, and lower elevation in central parts. Such diverse topographical characteristics along with unique geography lead to distinct weather patterns with large spatio-temporal variability across the country.

The spatial distributions of MODIS land cover across India for the year 2017 are presented in Figure 1(b). The MODIS land covers were divided into 17 classes according to the International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (IGBP). The first IGBP class of water bodies is not considered in this study, and remaining 16 IGBP classes were further grouped into 9 land

cover classes. Five IGBP land cover classes such as evergreen needleleaf forests, evergreen broadleaf forests, deciduous needleleaf forests, deciduous broadleaf forests, and mixed forests were categorized as one land cover class namely, forest. Closed shrublands and open shrublands were grouped as shrubland, while woody savannas, and savannas were classified as woodland. Similarly, croplands, and cropland/natural vegetation mosaics were grouped as cropland. Cropland covered about 64% of land area across the country. About 9% of land area was covered by forests, whereas woodland and grassland occupied about 16% of land area altogether. Permanent wetlands, and permanent snow & ice covered less than 1% of the Indian land area. All these nine land cover types exhibit considerable interannual variations between 2003 and 2017. A considerable increase in cropland and urban areas, and a significant decrease in forests and wasteland between 1985 and 2005 over India were reported using multi-spectral decadal satellite data (Roy et al., 2015). Although barren land covers 7% of land area across India, they are indeed of two types. The barren land over the western parts of India comes under the range of Thar desert and known as “hot desert”, whereas the barren land over the northern parts of India are known as “cold desert”. These two types of barren land have essentially distinct impacts on land surface processes. Hence, barren land areas were further classified into two groups for subsequent analyses.

181

1823.2 Mean characteristics of LST across India

183 Figure 2 presents the spatial distributions of 15-year mean monthly daytime and
184 nighttime LST across India from the Aqua MODIS product. Highest elevation regions of
185 northern India and northern parts of northeast India show the smallest mean LST during both
186 daytime and nighttime. As expected, highest mean daytime LST of more than 44°C can be seen

187over the desert region of western India. However, the southeastern coastal regions exhibit the
188warmest mean LST during nighttime. In general, southern India shows larger mean LST than
189northern India. The regions of elevation between 500 m to 900 m in the southern India exhibit
190higher mean daytime LST than its surroundings. The difference between daytime and nighttime
191LST, termed as diurnal temperature range (DTR), shows rather smaller magnitude ($<12^{\circ}\text{C}$) along
192the southwest coast, northeast India and over the Himalayan foothills. The barren land and
193shrubland regions of western and northern India show DTR as high as 25°C .

194 The monthly variations of all-India mean LST for the 15-year period are also presented in
195Figure 2. Daytime LST shows its peak during the month of May with rather smaller interannual
196variability. April is the second hottest month followed by June. However, June is the hottest
197month followed by May as far as nighttime LST is concerned. January is the coolest month
198followed by December for both daytime and nighttime LST. All-India DTR is shown to be
199largest during the month of April followed by March. The smallest DTR exhibits during August
200and September. Bimodal characteristics of all-India LST can also be seen for these three
201estimates (e.g., daytime, nighttime and DTR). These mean features of LST over India reveal that
202the characteristics of LST are not exactly same as the mean characteristics of near-surface air
203temperature. For instance, all-India mean DTR of near-surface air temperature shows the
204maximum during March and minimum during July and August. These results also support the
205fact that there are considerable differences between LST and near-surface air temperature
206variability as reported for other parts of the globe (e.g., Prakash et al., 2019).

207 As daytime LST is closely related to the land cover and NDVI (Parida et al., 2008;
208Mildrexler et al., 2011; Khandan et al., 2018), the seasonal cycle of domain-mean daytime LST
209for different land cover types are illustrated in Figure 3. Except permanent snow & ice and cold

210desert areas, all land cover types exhibit bimodal peak in mean LST. The first peak in mean LST
211with largest magnitude associated with the northern hemispheric summer season can be observed
212during April for shrubland, cropland, urban and hot deserts, while it is May for forest, woodland,
213grassland and permanent wetland. The mean LST reaches upto 50°C during April and May for
214hot desert and shrubland. The secondary peak in mean LST is observed during the month of
215October, after withdrawal of the southwest monsoon season. However, the secondary peak is
216found to be rather weaker for forest, woodland, grassland and permanent wetland regions.
217Permanent snow & ice, and barren land of northern India show unimodal peak in mean LST
218during the month of July due to melting of seasonal snow. The interannual variability in LST is
219smallest during the peak months of July and August for permanent snow & ice land cover. All
220land covers show the smallest mean LST during the month of January and December due to the
221northern hemispheric winter.

222

2233.3 Relationship between NDVI and daytime LST across India

224 The spatial distribution of 15-year mean monthly NDVI across India has been shown in
225Figure 4(a). Forest and woodland areas show rather larger magnitude of mean NDVI ranging
226from 0.60 to 0.80, whereas cropland and grassland regions show moderate NDVI ranging from
2270.30 to 0.50. Shrublands have smaller magnitude (e.g., 0.10-0.20) of mean NDVI, and barren
228land areas have mean NDVI closer to zero. However, croplands and grassland areas exhibit
229larger seasonal variation in NDVI as compared to other land cover types.

230 Figure 4(b) illustrates the spatial distributions of correlation coefficient between
231simultaneous monthly NDVI and daytime LST for the study period. The regions having smallest
232mean daytime LST and highest elevations show positive correlation between LST and NDVI.

233 Such positive correlation for high elevation regions were also reported by Karnieli et al. (2010).
234 The vegetation growth over these regions is primarily controlled by energy rather than water.
235 Other regions show negative correlation between daytime LST and NDVI. The major portions of
236 the country are covered by cropland and thus vegetation growth largely depends on the water
237 availability. However, the correlation is smaller for western India covered with barren land and
238 shrubland. The northern part of northeast India shows positive correlation and southern part
239 shows negative correlation with a north-south gradient in magnitude.

240 As the strength of correlations between LST and NDVI largely depends on the land cover
241 type (Marzban et al., 2018), Figure 5 depicts the temporal correlation coefficients between
242 domain-mean monthly NDVI and daytime LST for different land cover types for the study
243 period. The opposite nature of relationship between LST and NDVI can be clearly observed for
244 the northern and western barren lands. Northern barren land shows a high positive correlation of
245 about 0.70 between monthly LST and NDVI, whereas negative and low correlation can be seen
246 for the western barren land. The smallest linear correlations between monthly LST and NDVI are
247 for western desert and shrubland regions due to negligible variations in underlying soil moisture
248 (Norouzi et al., 2015b). The cropland regions show the largest magnitude of negative correlation
249 (~-0.78) between LST and NDVI. The major portions of the county are covered by cropland and
250 thus vegetation growth largely depends on the water availability. Forest, woodland, permanent
251 wetland, and urban areas show a negative correlation of about 0.50 between monthly daytime
252 LST and NDVI.

253

254

255

2563.4 Hottest LST across India

257 Figure 6 shows the interannual variations of all-India mean LST for both daytime and
258 nighttime for the period of 2003 to 2017. Daytime mean LST suggests 2009 as the warmest year
259 followed by 2016, while 2016 was the warmest year followed by 2010 from nighttime LST
260 estimates. If one combines daytime and nighttime LST to approximate the mean LST, 2016
261 would be warmest year for the country during the study period. All-India mean LST during
262 nighttime shows a consistent increase in magnitude. The year 2016 was shown to be the warmest
263 year at a global scale as well (Susskind et al., 2019).

264 The hottest or maximum LST from daily daytime MODIS data during the study period
265 (e.g., 2003-2017) and the year of hottest LST across India are presented in Figure 7. The
266 magnitude of hottest LST is as high as 70°C over the barren land of western India. The western
267 India between 15°N and 30°N received hottest LST of 60°C-65°C, and east coast and the
268 Himalayan foothills received hottest LST of about 50°C. Parts of western India and southern
269 India received their hottest LST during 2016. West and east coasts of southern India received
270 their hottest LST during 2003, while parts of Indo-Gangetic Plain received their hottest LST
271 during 2010. Figure 8 presents the percentage coverage of Indian landmass from hottest LST in
272 each year of the 15-year period. About 17% of Indian landmass received its hottest LST during
273 2010 followed by 2016 (about 13% of Indian landmass). About 60% of the Indian landmass
274 received its hottest LST in five years of 2003, 2005, 2009, 2010 and 2016.

275 As western India is the region getting warmest annual maximum LST across the country
276 (e.g., Figure 7a), spatial distributions of annual maximum LST of this region are shown in Figure
277 9. The selected region is a subset of the whole Indian region and comes under the Rajasthan
278 state. The western tip receives hottest LST of India, varying from 62°C-70°C. This region comes

under the Thar desert range and consists of barren land and shrubland (see Figure 1b). The maximum LST recorded by the MODIS product was more than 70°C during four years of 2006, 2007, 2013 and 2016. These LST values are quite larger than the reported LST of the hottest spot on the Earth, the Lut desert of the southeast Iran. Mildrexler et al. (2011) analyzed the Aqua MODIS LST Collection 5 product for 2003 to 2009, and found the maximum LST greater than 70°C during only one year of 2005 (70.7°C) over the Lut desert in southeast Iran. The reason behind this discrepancy is the use of recent Collection 6 of MODIS LST product in this study, which provides 2°C-4°C higher LST than Collection 5 estimates over the arid regions (Prakash et al., 2018). The evaluation of both Collections of LST showed notable improvement in Collection 6 estimates over Collection 5 estimates due to several refinements (Wan, 2014; Duan et al., 2019). The largest area of this region (i.e., 23.42% area of the selected region) received annual maximum LST of greater than 65°C during 2016 followed by 2009 (20.13% area of the selected region). The smallest land coverage of about 3% of the specified region by annual maximum LST of more than 65°C was observed during 2004 and 2015. Overall, the annual maximum LST did not show any systematic change over this region during the study period.

294

3.5 Changes in LST between 2003 and 2017 across India

In this section, changes in LST across India are investigated for the 15-year period. Figure 10 shows the spatial distributions of linear trends in mean annual LST for daytime, nighttime and DTR. The southern tip and northeast India show an increase in daytime LST, whereas western and southwestern parts of the country exhibit a decline. The nighttime LST shows an increasing trend over most parts of the country. The magnitude of increase in nighttime LST is larger ($\sim 2^{\circ}\text{C decade}^{-1}$) over the western and northeast India. As nighttime LST is

302increasing at faster rate than daytime LST, most parts of the country show a decrease in annual
303DTR. These results are similar as the linear trends of DTR in near-surface air temperature over
304India (Vinnarasi et al., 2017).

305 In addition, the short-term changes in daytime and nighttime LST have been investigated
306for each month separately. Figures 11 and 12 show the spatial distributions of linear trends in
307daytime and nighttime LST across the country, respectively. The decline in daytime LST is
308prominent over the western and southwestern India during January to March, whereas increase in
309LST over the western India and over the Himalayan foothills region is pronounced during May
310and June. Nighttime LST also shows a distinctive trend during each month. The increase in
311nighttime LST over the northern parts is largest during the May, June and October months.

312 It is worthy to mention here that these trend analyses results are based on 15-years of
313MODIS datasets and are not sufficient for a robust climatic trend analysis. However, these results
314provide a preliminary guidance to recent changes in LST across India for several environmental
315applications. Furthermore, MODIS provides LST for clear-sky conditions only. Figure 13
316illustrates the all-India mean cloud cover from the Aqua MODIS for the period of 2003-2017. It
317clearly indicates that 70-90% area of the country used to be covered with clouds during the
318southwest monsoon season (e.g., June-September). Therefore, mean LST estimates and its trend
319over India during these months might have larger uncertainty.

320

3214. Conclusion

322 This study investigated the mean LST and its variability across India exclusively from the
323Aqua MODIS data at 0.05° spatial resolution for the period of 2003 to 2017. The mean features
324of LST averaged over the country were not essentially same as the mean features of near-surface

325air temperature. In general, all land cover types exhibited bimodal peaks in mean monthly
326daytime LST except for permanent snow & ice, and cold desert areas. Permanent snow & ice,
327and barren land of northern India showed unimodal peak in mean LST during the month of July.
328The linear relationship between simultaneous monthly mean daytime LST and NDVI were also
329assessed for different land cover types across the country. The high-altitude regions of northern
330India showed the smallest mean daytime LST and anomalous positive correlation with NDVI at
331monthly scale. The monthly domain-mean daytime LST of cropland regions were highly
332negatively correlated with NDVI as compared to other land cover types. The analysis of daytime
333LST indicated that about 17% of Indian landmass received its hottest LST during 2010 followed
334by 2016. Additionally, the annual maximum LST recorded by the MODIS product was greater
335than 70°C for the western India during 2006, 2007, 2013 and 2016. The spatial coverage of
336annual maximum LST greater than 65°C over this arid region was largest during 2016 followed
337by 2009. The linear trend analysis for the 15-year period showed an increase in nighttime LST
338over most parts of the country, while daytime LST showed a decline over the western and
339southwestern India. However, both daytime and nighttime LST exhibited distinctive features of
340linear trends for each month.

341 There are two limitations of this study. First, 15-year data is not sufficient for a
342conclusive climatic trend analysis. Secondly, MODIS essentially provides LST estimates during
343clear-sky conditions only and India gets substantial cloud cover (~70-90%) during the southwest
344monsoon season. There is a need to develop a suitable technique to estimate global LST for all-
345weather conditions by the synergistic use of infrared and microwave measurements. The
346evaluation of MODIS LST products with ground-based observations showed reasonably good
347agreement over the selected global validation sites (Wan, 2014; Duan et al., 2019), but the errors

348in the satellite-based daytime LST estimates were shown to be rather larger over several stations
349of the North America (Prakash et al., 2019). The lack of ground-based observations of LST at a
350global scale limits the comprehensive evaluation of satellite-based LST estimates. Needless to
351say that the augmentation of ground-based observations of LST would certainly improve the
352accuracy of satellite-based LST estimates at global and regional scales.

353

354**Acknowledgement:** The authors would like to thank the editor and anonymous reviewer for their
355constructive comments. The MODIS data products were obtained from the online Data Pool,
356courtesy of the NASA Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center (LP DAAC),
357USGS/Earth Resources Observation and Science (EROS) Center, Sioux Falls, South Dakota,
358https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/data_access/data_pool.

359

360References

361Deilami K, Kamruzzaman M, Liu Y (2018) Urban heat island effect: A systematic review of
362 spatio-temporal factors, data, methods, and mitigation measures. Int J Appl Earth Obs Geoinf
363 67:30-42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2017.12.009>.
364Didan K (2015) MYD13C2 MODIS/Aqua Vegetation Indices Monthly L3 Global 0.05Deg CMG
365 V006. NASA EOSDIS LP DAAC.<https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MYD13C2.006>.
366Didari S, Norouzi H, Zand-Parsa S, Khanbilvardi R (2017) Estimation of daily minimum land
367 surface air temperature using MODIS data in southern Iran. Theor Appl Climatol 130:1149-
368 1161. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-016-1945-0>.

369 Duan S-B, Li Z-L, Li H, Gottsche F-M, Wu H, Zhao W, Leng P, Zhang X, Coll C (2019)
370 Validation of Collection 6 MODIS land surface temperature product using in situ
371 measurements. *Remote Sens Environ* 225:16-29, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.02.020>.

372 Friedl M, Sulla-Menashe D (2015) MCD12C1 MODIS/Terra+Aqua Land Cover Type Yearly L3
373 Global 0.05Deg CMG V006. NASA EOSDIS Land Processes
374 DAAC. <https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCD12C1.006>.

375 Gesch DB, Larson KS (1996) Techniques for development of global 1-kilometer digital elevation
376 models. In *Pecora Thirteen, Human Interactions with the Environment – Perspectives from*
377 *Space*, Sioux Falls, South Dakota.

378 Hao Z, AghaKouchak A, Phillips TJ (2013) Changes in concurrent monthly precipitation and
379 temperature extremes. *Environ Res Lett* 8:034014. [https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/034014)
380 [9326/8/3/034014](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/034014).

381 Justice CO, Vermote E, Townshend JRG, Defries R, Roy DP, Hall DK, Salomonson VV, Privette
382 JL, Riggs G, Strahler A, Lucht W, Myneni RB, Lewis P, Barnsley MJ (1998) The Moderate
383 Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS): Land remote sensing for global change
384 research. *IEEE Trans Geosci Remote Sens* 36:1228-1249.

385 Karnieli A, Agam N, Pinker RT, Anderson M, Imhoff ML, Gutman GG, Panov N, Goldberg A
386 (2010) Use of NDVI and land surface temperature for drought assessment: Merits and
387 limitations. *J Clim* 23:618-633. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2900.1>.

388 Khandan R, Gholamnia M, Duan S-B, Ghadimi M, Alavipanah SK (2018) Characterization of
389 maximum land surface temperatures in 16 years from MODIS in Iran. *Environ Earth Sci*
390 77:450. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-018-7623-z>.

391 Li Y, Zhao M, Mildrexler DJ, Motesharrei S, Mu Q, Kalnay E, Zhao F, Li S, Wang K (2016)
 392 Potential and actual impacts of deforestation and afforestation on land surface temperature. J
 393 Geophys Res - Atmos 121:14372-14386. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD024969>.
 394 Li Z-L, Tang B-H, Wu H, Ren H, Yan G, Wan Z, Trigo IF, Sobrino JA (2013) Satellite-derived
 395 land surface temperature: Current status and perspectives. Remote Sens Environ 131:14-37.
 396 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.12.008>.
 397 Marzban F, Sodoudi S, Preusker R (2018) The influence of land-cover type on the relationship
 398 between NDVI-LST and LST- T_{air} . Int J Remote Sens 39:1377-1398.
 399 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2017.1402386>.
 400 Mathew A, Khandelwal S, Kaul N (2017) Investigating spatial and seasonal variations of urban
 401 heat island effect over Jaipur city and its relationship with vegetation, urbanization and
 402 elevation parameters. Sustainable Cities Soc 35:157-177.
 403 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2017.07.013>.
 404 Mildrexler DJ, Zhao M, Cohen WB, Running SW, Song XP, Jones MO (2018) Thermal
 405 anomalies detect critical global land surface changes. J Appl Meteorol Climatol 57:391-411.
 406 <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-17-0093.1>.
 407 Mildrexler DJ, Zhao M, Running SW (2011) Satellite finds highest land skin temperatures on
 408 Earth. Bull Amer Meteor Soc 92:855-860. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2011BAMS3067.1>.
 409 Norouzi H, Temimi M, Prigent C, Turk J, Khanbilvardi R, Tian Y, Furuzawa FA, Masunaga H
 410 (2015b) Assessment of the consistency among global microwave land surface emissivity
 411 products. Atmos Meas Tech 8:197-1205. <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-1197-2015>.
 412 Norouzi H, Temimi M, AghaKouchak A, Azarderakhsh M, Khanbilvardi R, Shields G,
 413 Tesfagiorgis K (2015a) Inferring land surface parameters from the diurnal variability of

414 microwave and infrared temperatures. Phys Chem Earth 83-84:28-35.
 415 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2015.01.007>.

416 Parida BR, Oinam B, Patel NR, Sharma N, Kandwal R, Hazarika MK (2018) Land surface
 417 temperature variation in relation to vegetation type using MODIS satellite data in Gujarat
 418 state of India. Int J Remote Sens 29:4219-4235. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160701871096>.

419 Parkinson CL (2013) Summarizing the first ten years of NASA's Aqua mission. IEEE J Selected
 420 Topics Appl Earth Obs Remote Sens 6:1179-1188.
 421 <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2013.2239608>.

422 Patel S, Joshi JP, Bhatt B (2017) An assessment of spatio-temporal variability of land surface
 423 temperature using MODIS: A study of Gujarat state, India. Geog. Compass 11:e12312.
 424 <https://doi.org/10.1111/gec3.12312>.

425 Phan TN, Kappas M (2018) Application of MODIS land surface temperature data: A systematic
 426 literature review and analysis. J Appl Remote Sens 12:041501.
 427 <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JRS.12.041501>.

428 Platnick S, Hubanks P, Meyer K, King MD (2015) MODIS Atmosphere L3 Monthly Product
 429 (08_L3). NASA MODIS Adaptive Processing System, Goddard Space Flight Center, USA.
 430 https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MYD08_M3.006.

431 Prakash S, Shati F, Norouzi H, Blake R (2019) Observed differences between near-surface air
 432 and skin temperatures using satellite and ground-based data. Theor Appl Climatol 137:587-
 433 600. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-018-2623-1>.

434 Prakash S, Norouzi H, Azarderakhsh M, Blake R, Prigent C, Khanbilvardi R (2018) Estimation
 435 of consistent global microwave land surface emissivity from AMSR-E and AMSR2

436 observations. J Appl Meteorol Climatol 57:907-919. [https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-17-](https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-17-0213.1)
 437 [0213.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-17-0213.1).
 438 Prakash S (2018) Capabilities of satellite-derived datasets to detect consecutive Indian monsoon
 439 droughts of 2014 and 2015. Curr Sci 114:2362-2368.
 440 <https://doi.org/10.18520/cs/v114/i11/2362-2368>.
 441 Prakash S, Norouzi H, Azarderakhsh M, Blake R, Khanbilvardi R (2017) Potential of satellite-
 442 based land emissivity estimates for the detection of high-latitude freeze and thaw states.
 443 Geophys Res Lett 44:2336-2342. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL072560>.
 444 Prakash S, Norouzi H, Azarderakhsh M, Blake R, Tesfagiorgis K (2016) Global land surface
 445 emissivity estimation from AMSR2 observations. IEEE Geosci Remote Sens Lett 13:1270-
 446 1274. <https://doi.org/10.1109/LGRS.2016.2581140>.
 447 Prigent C, Jimenez C, Aires F (2016) Toward “all-weather”, long record, and real-time land
 448 surface temperature retrievals from microwave satellite observations. J Geophys Res - Atmos
 449 121:5699-5717. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024402>.
 450 Roy PS et al. (2015) Development of decadal (1985-1995-2005) land use and land cover
 451 database for India. Remote Sens 7:2401-2430. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs70302401>.
 452 Schmidt GA, Shindell DT, Tsigaridis K (2014) Reconciling warming trends. Nature Geosci
 453 7:158-160. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2105>.
 454 Sharifnezhadazizi Z, Norouzi H, Prakash S, Beale C, Khanbilvardi R (2019) A global analysis of
 455 land surface temperature diurnal cycle using MODIS observations. J Appl Meteorol Climatol
 456 58:1279-1291. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-18-0256.1>.

457 Shati F, Prakash S, Norouzi H, Blake R (2018) Assessment of differences between near-surface
 458 air and soil temperatures for reliable detection of high-latitude freeze and thaw states. *Cold*
 459 *Regions Sci Technol* 145:86-92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2017.10.007>.
 460 Singh R, Singh C, Ojha SP, Kumar AS, Kishtawal CM, Kiran Kumar AS (2016) Land surface
 461 temperature from INSAT-3D imager data: Retrieval and assimilation in NWP model. *J*
 462 *Geophys Res - Atmos* 121:6909-6926. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD024752>.
 463 Sulla-Menashe D, Gray JM, Abercrombie SP, Friedl MA (2019) Hierarchical mapping of annual
 464 global land cover 2001 to present: The MODIS Collection 6 land cover product. *Remote*
 465 *Sens Environ* 222:183-194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.12.013>.
 466 Susskind J, Schmidt GA, Lee JN, Iredell L (2019) Recent global warming as confirmed by AIRS.
 467 *Environ Res Lett* 14:044030. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aafd4e>.
 468 Turco M, Palazzi E, Hardenberg J, Provenzale A (2015) Observed climate change hotspots.
 469 *Geophys Res Lett* 42:3521-3528. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL063891>.
 470 Vinnarasi R, Dhanya CT, Chakravorthy A, AghaKouchak A (2017) Unravelling diurnal
 471 asymmetry of surface temperature in different climate zones. *Sci Rep* 7:7350.
 472 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07627-5>.
 473 Wan Z, Hook S, Hulley G (2015) MYD11C1 MODIS/Aqua Land Surface
 474 Temperature/Emissivity Daily L3 Global 0.05Deg CMG V006. NASA EOSDIS LP
 475 DAAC. <https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MYD11C1.006>.
 476 Wan Z (2014) New refinements and validation of the collection-6 MODIS land-surface
 477 temperature/emissivity product. *Remote Sens Environ* 140:36-45.
 478 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.08.027>.

479 Wei N, Zhou L, Dai Y, Xia G, Hua W (2017) Observational evidence for desert amplification
480 using multiple satellite datasets. Sci Rep 7:2043. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02064-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02064-w)
481 [w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02064-w).

482 Zhao W, He J, Wu Y, Xiong D, Wen F, Li A (2019) An analysis of land surface temperature
483 trends in the central Himalayan region based on MODIS products. Remote Sens 11:900.
484 <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11080900>.

485

486

487

488**Fig. 1** Spatial distributions of (a) GTOPO30 topography (m), and (b) MODIS land cover types
489for 2017 across India

490

491

492

493**Fig. 2** (Upper panel) Spatial distributions of mean land surface temperature (°C), and (lower
494panel) monthly variations in all-India mean land surface temperature for daytime and nighttime
495and their differences for the period 2003-2017. The error bars present interannual variations.

496

497

498**Fig. 3** Monthly variations in domain-mean daytime land surface temperature (2003-2017) over
 499India for different land cover types. The error bars present interannual variations.

500

501

502**Fig. 4** Spatial distributions of (a) mean NDVI, and (b) correlation coefficient between monthly
 503NDVI and daytime LST across India for the period of 2003-2017

504

505

506

507**Fig. 5** Correlation coefficients for each land cover type over India after comparing domain-mean
508monthly NDVI and daytime LST for the period 2003-2017

509

510

511
512**Fig. 6** Interannual variations of all-India mean LST during daytime and nighttime for the period
5132003-2017

514

515

516

517**Fig. 7** Spatial distributions of (a) hottest LST (°C) between 2003 and 2017, and (b) year of
518hottest LST across India

519

520

521

522**Fig. 8** Percentage coverage of Indian landmass from 15-year hottest or maximum land surface
523temperature

524

525

526

527**Fig. 9** Spatial distributions of annual maximum LST (°C) for each year over arid regions of
528northwest India for the period 2003-2017. Hottest LST within the specified region for each year
529is also mentioned.

530

531

532

533**Fig. 10** Spatial distributions of linear trends in annual mean LST (°C decade⁻¹) during daytime,
534nighttime and their difference between 2003 and 2017

535

536

537
 538 **Fig. 11** Spatial distributions of linear trends in daytime LST ($^{\circ}\text{C decade}^{-1}$) for each month
 539 between 2003 and 2017

540

541

542**Fig. 12** Spatial distributions of linear trends in nighttime LST (°C decade⁻¹) for each month
 543between 2003 and 2017

544

546**Fig. 13** Monthly variations in all-India mean cloud cover from Aqua measurements for the
547period 2003-2017. The error bars represent the interannual variability.