City University of New York (CUNY)

CUNY Academic Works

Publications and Research

CUNY Graduate Center

2018

On the “Bubble” of Burnout's Prevalence Estimates

Eric Laurent

Université Bourgogne - Franche-Comté

Irvin Sam Schonfeld

CUNY Graduate Center

Renzo Bianchi

Université de Neuchâtel

Laura Hawryluck

Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Peter G. Brindley

Critical Care Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada.

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

More information about this work at: https://academicworks.cuny.edu/gc_pubs/476

Discover additional works at: <https://academicworks.cuny.edu>

This work is made publicly available by the City University of New York (CUNY).

Contact: AcademicWorks@cuny.edu

CORRESPONDENCE

On the “bubble” of burnout’s prevalence estimates

Discussion on: Psychological burnout and critical care medicine: big threat, big opportunity

Eric Laurent¹, Irvin Sam Schonfeld², Renzo Bianchi^{3*} , Laura Hawryluck^{4,5,6} and Peter G. Brindley^{7,8,9,10,11}

© 2018 Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature and ESICM

Initial correspondence from Drs. Laurent, Schonfeld and Bianchi

Dear Editor,

Hawryluck and Brindley [1] recently addressed the issue of burnout—a syndrome thought to be induced by job stress—among critical care medicine (CCM) practitioners. Although we agree that the practice of CCM can be stressful, relying on burnout as an indicator of the practitioners’ response to occupational adversity is unwarranted.

Despite its popularity, burnout remains poorly defined [2]. Disconcertingly, investigators have widely relied on the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) for “diagnosing” burnout in spite of the fact that the MBI is *not* a diagnostic instrument [2, 3]. Experiencing fatigue or distancing oneself from one’s work—what burnout is about—is not a sign of ill-being in itself [2]. Unfortunately, the MBI does not allow investigators to determine *when* fatigue and distancing reach clinically relevant levels. The nosological blur surrounding burnout leads to endless speculations regarding the prevalence of the phenomenon and the “threat” it may represent, a state of affairs that bears on interventional resource allocation and public health policy-making [2, 3]. In many respects, current research on burnout’s prevalence looks like a “bubble,” in which ever-increasing estimates are spotlighted in the absence of any clear link to clinical reality.

Instead of speculating on the prevalence of burnout, we recommend that occupational health specialists

focus on depressive disorders, that is to say, on diagnosable stress-related afflictions [2]. A close follow-up of CCM practitioners would be crucial in order to identify improvements or deteriorations in CCM practitioners’ health status over time as a function of working conditions.

Reply from Drs. Hawryluck and Brindley

We wish to thank Drs. Laurent, Schonfeld and Bianchi for their response to our article on psychological burnout (1). We agree the Maslach Burnout Inventory is not diagnostic and does not permit identification of when psychological and physical symptoms affect professional performance. However, we do feel that the inventory can be a useful warning tool for professionals who rarely take the time to discuss their own feelings or recognize their own stress, which too many seem to view as either private or as a sign of weakness.

We also agree that many of us will have times when we experience fatigue and need to distance ourselves from work as we seek to re-equilibrate the work-life balance. These moments are not necessarily problematic. Yet profound disengagement, disenchantment, disrespect, a lack of empathy and understanding are serious problems when patients and families need to connect to the person within the professional, to feel the humanity within the science and technology. The signs and symptoms of burnout cannot be completely encompassed in existing depressive and stress-related disorders. While we absolutely agree that critical care professionals are not immune to mental illness and more attention must be paid to such issues, we would argue that failure to acknowledge burnout as distinct does CCM a disservice by: (1) seeking to medicalize all challenges of clinical

*Correspondence: renzo.bianchi@unine.ch

³ Institute of Work and Organizational Psychology, University of Neuchâtel, Émile-Argand 11, 2000 Neuchâtel, NE, Switzerland
Full author information is available at the end of the article

practice and (2) by undermining much needed efforts at its prevention which must encompass both self-care, and address leadership, bullying, gender related issues and intra-team conflicts within group clinical practice.

Author details

¹ Laboratory of Psychology (EA 3188), Bourgogne Franche-Comté University, Besançon, France. ² Department of Psychology, The City College of the City University of New York, New York City, NY, USA. ³ Institute of Work and Organizational Psychology, University of Neuchâtel, Émile-Argand 11, 2000 Neuchâtel, NE, Switzerland. ⁴ Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada. ⁵ Physician Lead Critical Care Rapid Response Team, Toronto Western Hospital, Toronto, Canada. ⁶ Corporate Chair Acute Resuscitation Committee, University Health Network, Rm 411N, 2nd MCL, 399 Bathurst St, Toronto, ON M5T2S8, Canada. ⁷ Critical Care Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada. ⁸ Anesthesiology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada. ⁹ Dosseter Ethics Centre, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada. ¹⁰ Intensive Care Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada. ¹¹ Intensive Care Society UK, London, UK.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflicts of interest

None.

Received: 23 January 2018 Accepted: 31 January 2018

Published online: 21 February 2018

References

1. Hawryluck L, Brindley PG (in press) Psychological burnout and critical care medicine: big threat, big opportunity. *Intensive Care Med.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-018-5063-6>
2. Bianchi R, Schonfeld IS, Laurent E (in press) Burnout: moving beyond the status quo. *Int J Stress Manag.* <https://doi.org/10.1037/str0000088>
3. Schears RM (2017) Defining physician burnout, and differentiating between burnout and depression—II. *Mayo Clin Proc* 92(9):1455–1456. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2017.07.006>