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Abstract

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a degenerative joint disease and a leading cause of adult disability. While joint replacement surgery is a common treatment option for end-stage disease, non-surgical management is critical for preventing disability and maintaining quality of life. Although therapeutic ultrasound, which applies mechanical and may also apply thermal energy in the form of sound waves, is widely used to treat various musculoskeletal disorders such as bone fractures, tendinopathy, and muscle contusions, its symptom- and disease-modifying effects on osteoarthritis have not been clearly demonstrated. Recent clinical evidence indicates therapeutic ultrasound is capable of relieving OA-associated pain and improving function of diseased joints. Furthermore, in vitro and in vivo studies are beginning to emerge which suggest ultrasound may exert chondroprotection, such as enhancing anabolic activity, suppressing proteolytic enzyme-mediated degradation of the cartilage matrix, preventing chondrocyte apoptosis and modifying the endocrinology of adipose tissue that may potentially contribute to OA disease initiation and progression. Therefore, ultrasound may have great potential to serve as an effective and non-invasive therapeutic treatment for osteoarthritis.

Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) affects over 27 million Americans, is a leading cause of pain and disability [1,2], and is a significant economic burden in the United States with over $185.5 billion in annual medical care expenditures [3]. While OA is a disease of the entire synovial joint, and affects the underlying bone, synovium, meniscus, ligaments/tendons, and articular cartilage [4,5], erosion of articular cartilage is the pathological hallmark of osteoarthritis, and cartilage is a major target for exploring disease-modifying treatment [4,6-8]. Cartilage lines the ends of the bones, allowing for the articulation of opposing joint surfaces. Destruction of articular cartilage leads to bone-on-bone contact, causing stiffness, pain, and ultimately, loss of movement in the joints [9].

There is currently no cure for OA. Therapies, which mainly address OA-related symptoms such as pain and dysfunction, have no demonstrated effect on slowing or arresting its progression [6,10]. End-stage disease often requires surgical intervention such as a total joint replacement. At earlier stages of OA, however, non-surgical management is critical for preventing disability and maintaining quality of life. Non-pharmacologic interventions, including mechanical-based therapies, are commonly recommended to OA patients [11].

Recent clinical trials show therapeutic ultrasound such as low-intensity ultrasound can improve OA-associated pain and dysfunction [12], although its effects in modifying disease progression require to be further studied. In this review, we will first provide a brief overview regarding the concept of therapeutic ultrasound and its current use in musculoskeletal tissue repair and disorders. We will then discuss recent clinical evidence of ultrasound in modifying OA-associated symptoms and mechanisms-based evidence that supports the concept of using ultrasound in chondroprotection and OA treatment.

Therapeutic Ultrasound and its Use in Musculoskeletal Tissue Repair and Disorders

Therapeutic ultrasound treatment, such as those using low-intensity ultrasound wave energy, are widely used to treat pain and various musculoskeletal disorders including bone fractures, shoulder pain, pressure ulcers, and muscle soreness [13]. Upon penetrating the biological tissue, these low-intensity ultrasound waves generate acoustic vibrations that cause local movement of cell membrane, fluid and macromolecules [14]. This produces mechanical stimulation that subsequently changes the physical and biological properties of the cells, such as cell membrane permeability, fluid movement and exchange of intracellular and extracellular ions, all of which eventually alter cell growth and metabolism [15].

The actual biological effect of ultrasound therapy varies with the energy that is delivered to the tissue. The energy of ultrasound is expressed as sonic intensity (SI; W/cm²) that is proportional to sonic pressure square. Low-intensity ultrasound uses ultrasound with intensities less than 3W/cm² and is usually used as physiotherapy to stimulate cell proliferation and tissue repair [15]. On the contrary, high-intensity ultrasound approaches use focused ultrasound probes that concentrate the wave energy in a smaller tissue region, reaching intensities higher than 5 W/cm², which can cause coagulative necrosis of tissues due to thermal absorption, and is normally used as an ablative agent to destroy target tissues [16]. Depending on the energy and way the ultrasound is delivered, the biophysical effects of ultrasound are traditionally separated into thermal and non-thermal effects. Thermal effects are caused by vibration or rotation of macromolecules in the tissue, which result in frictional heat and a rise in temperature. Non-thermal effects are characterized by the
formation of tiny gas bubbles (stable cavitation) and the movement of liquid around the vibrating bubbles (acoustic streaming) in the tissue. Heat increases are predominately observed in tissues exposed to continuous high intensity ultrasound. In tissues treated with low-intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS), the non-thermal effects are dominant [15].

The most common use of therapeutic ultrasound is for the facilitation of bone fracture healing. In 1983, LIPUS was found to heal 70% of non-unions in patients with lower extremity fractures [17]. Eleven years later, a randomized double-blinded controlled study was conducted by Heckman et al. [18]. Among 67 patients with closed or grade-1 open fractures of the tibial shaft, 33 received LIPUS treatment and the average healing time in these patients was significantly decreased when compared with controls (86 vs. 114 days). Consistent with these results, another multicenter, prospective, randomized, double-blind and placebo-controlled clinical trial revealed significant acceleration of dorsal radius fracture healing in patients treated with LIPUS (61 vs. 98 days) [19]. In a large-scale efficacy assessment, successful healing rates of LIPUS in the treatment of delayed unions and non-unions were 91% and 86%, respectively [20].

Ultrasound is also used to treat tendon conditions such as tendinopathy and tendonitis [21,22]. In vitro studies demonstrate ultrasound enhances the proliferation and migration of tendon-reparative cells, and collagen synthesis in tendon cells, suggesting it may improve tendon healing [23]. In animal models of Achilles tendon rupture, daily ultrasound accelerated the healing process [24], and improved collagen alignment and mechanical strength in healing tendons compared to untreated controls [25]. However, clinical trials so far have not clearly demonstrated that therapeutic ultrasound improves treatment outcomes in tendon conditions such as patellar tendinopathy [22], but may accelerate the initial phase of the tendon-bone healing process after rotator cuff repair [26].

Experimental evidence supporting the use of therapeutic ultrasound for skeletal muscle contusions is mixed. Ultrasound pulses (1.5 W/cm², 20% duty cycle, 3MHz frequency) applied to 12 adult female Sprague-Dawley rats with experimental right calf contusion injury resulted in significant satellite cell proliferation in the early phase of muscle regeneration [27]. However, the overall effect of ultrasound therapy on muscle regeneration was not significant due to unaffected recapillarization and myotube production. Studies by Karnes et al. revealed that continuous ultrasound therapy improved force production of injured muscle 7 days after injury [28]. Two subsequent randomized controlled trials of 100 male Wistar rats with contusion muscle injury found no evidence to support the effect of ultrasound therapy on muscle regeneration [29,30]. However, one recent study suggests LIPUS can enhance the regeneration of myofibers in both in vitro and in vivo muscle laceration models [31]. While these findings suggest ultrasound could improve muscle injury outcomes, more studies are needed to evaluate its therapeutic efficacies.

OA-Symptom Modification

Joint pain and dysfunction are two major symptoms that OA patients experience. A systemic review with meta-analysis compared outcomes including joint pain and function in six controlled trials where OA patients received ultrasound or a sham treatment [12]. In all six studies, ultrasound was applied at a 1 MHz frequency, but with varying intensities and dosing schedules. Overall, low-intensity pulsed ultrasound at doses < 150 Joules/cm² significantly reduced patient-reported pain using a Visual Analog Scale (VAS) [Standard mean difference (Confidence Interval) = -0.49 (-0.79, -0.18), P = 0.002]. Self-reported function with the Lequesne index or WOMAC (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index) score showed ultrasound intervention generally led to improvements in function, although differences were not statistically significant. Two of these studies monitored adverse events, and both reported no major complications. This review [12] concluded that ultrasound appears to be effective in decreasing OA-associated pain, and may improve function in patients with knee OA. However, more adequately powered and higher-quality clinical trials are needed to further confirm these conclusions.

Subsequent clinical trials assessing the efficacy of low-intensity ultrasound intervention on OA have come to similar conclusions. A small trial was conducted with 12 OA patients who had been diagnosed with OA for an average of 5 years [32]. Continuous ultrasonic waves (1MHz frequency, 0.8W/cm² power with a 5-cm diameter applicator) were applied to the medial and lateral parts of the knee for 3-4 minutes, 2 days/week, for 12 weeks. Patients reported reduced disability, according to the WOMAC scores (decrease from 53.5±12.2 to 28.8±14.8, P=0.0002), and improved function, as assessed by a six-minute walking test, after the ultrasound intervention (mean improvement of 14.1±22.5%, P=0.04), when compared to assessments taken before ultrasound treatment [32].

Yang et al. conducted a clinical trial involving 100 OA patients, who had been diagnosed with OA for an average of 2.8 years, and subjected them to ultrasound or mock treatment [33]. The ultrasound treatment parameters were not reported, consisted of 15 minutes of ultrasound application with three applicators which simultaneously stimulated the lateral and medial compartments, and medial joint space. Following 5 days of treatment, patients in the ultrasound group reported lower VAS and Lequesne scores (VAS efficacy index, mean = 0.3640, SD =0.2806, P = 0.000; Lequesne efficacy index, mean = 0.3080, SD = 0.42076, P = 0.000) [33].

A randomized, placebo-controlled double-blind study investigated the short-term efficacy of ultrasound therapy in 90 OA patients [34]. Patients were randomly assigned to three groups: continuous ultrasound (1 Mhz frequency and 2W/cm² power with a 5-cm diameter applicator) for 5 minutes, pulsed ultrasound (1 Mhz frequency and 2W/cm² power with a pulsed mode duty cycle of 1:4) for 5 minutes, or sham treatment for 5 minutes. Treatments were applied once a day, 5 days/week for 2 weeks. At the end of this study, patients in the pulsed ultrasound group showed the greatest reduction in pain (from 6.89 ± 1.39 to 5.25 ± 1.90, VAS score, p<0.05) and WOMAC score (from 43.43 ± 8.26 to 35.61 ± 8.73, p<0.05). Furthermore, walking time in a 20-meter test was shortened most significantly in the pulsed ultrasound group (from 22.57 ± 2.08 to 20.00 ± 1.94 seconds, p<0.05) [34].

The mechanisms mediating the symptom-modifying effects of ultrasound on OA are not well established, largely because processes linking pain with OA are not well understood [35]. Of notice, recent evidence shows pro-inflammatory cytokines promote pain in OA by interacting with other biological mediators [36]. For example, pro-inflammatory cytokine interleukin (IL)-1β has been reported to stimulate nociceptors directly through intracellular kinase activation, and indirectly through the production of pro-inflammatory mediators.
including prostanooids [37]. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α also has been demonstrated to activate sensory neurons directly [37,38], and anti-TNF-α treatment reduced OA-associated pain symptoms [39]. These mechanisms are of interest because LIPUS has been reported to reduce the inflammatory activity of synovitis in vivo, which was associated with a decrease in the number of cells expressing pro-inflammatory mediator cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) [40]. In vitro, LIPUS reduced levels of IL-1 and TNF-α in rat Schwann cells [41]. Together, these studies suggest therapeutic ultrasound may alleviate OA-associated pain by reducing inflammatory activity.

**Potential for OA-Disease Modification**

While recent clinical studies provide evidence that supports ultrasound exerting OA-symptom modifying effects, it is not clear whether ultrasound exerts effects on disease-modification, such as arresting or slowing OA disease progression. Interestingly, recent preliminary evidence suggests ultrasound may be used for chondroprotection by enhancing anabolic activity, suppressing catabolic activity, preventing chondrocyte apoptosis, and altering obesity-related inflammatory metabolism.

The anabolic effect of ultrasound has been previously demonstrated in small animal studies. In New Zealand rabbits with full-thickness osteochondral defects, daily LIPUS treatment significantly improved the morphologic features and histologic characteristics of the repaired cartilage [42,43]. Subsequent studies in a canine model further demonstrated a positive effect of LIPUS treatment on cartilage repair [44]. In an in vitro 3D agarose gel culture model, LIPUS stimulated aggrecan and type II collagen synthesis but did not affect the proliferation of human chondrocytes [45]. Results from an in vitro 3D alginate bead model showed LIPUS increased the number and size of glycosaminoglycan-positive lacunae and cellular organelles in human chondrocytes [46].

Ultrasound has also been reported to reduce catabolic activity in chondrocytes. In OA joints, proteolytic enzymes, such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs)-1, -3, -13, and ADAMTS (a disintegrin-like and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin type 1 motifs), are overactivated. These enzymes directly cleave the cartilage matrix, leading to a homeostatic imbalance and cartilage breakdown [47-49]. Ito et al. found in vitro, LIPUS (0 to 120 mW/cm²) reduced MMP-13 expression in an intensity dependent manner, with the greatest decrease seen at 120 mW/cm² [50]. The authors also reported LIPUS downregulated expression of MMP-3 and MMP-13 in porcine cartilage explants [50]. Li et al. assessed the efficacy of LIPUS on preventing OA in a surgically-induced model (transsection of the anterior cruciate ligament) in rabbits [51]. Immediately after surgery, animals were treated with LIPUS at 3 MHz, 20% duty cycle, 40 mW/cm² for 20 minutes/day, 6 days/week, for 6 weeks. Sham-treated animals were handled in the same manner as the LIPUS group, but not subject to ultrasound. At six-weeks following treatment, it appears that LIPUS exerted an OA disease modification effect, because LIPUS-treated animals had a significantly lower histopathological cartilage score compared to sham-treated animals (sham treatment: 10.33 ± 2.66, ultrasound treatment: 6.67 ± 1.21, P<0.05, Mankin grading system). Consistent with this observation, a reduced level of MMP-13 was also observed in the cartilage of LIPUS-treated animals [51].

In osteoarthritis, the fate and function of chondrocytes is altered, as evidenced by their abnormal proliferation, senescence, and cell death [4,52]. In a study to determine whether ultrasound can be used to prevent chondrocyte apoptosis in OA, OA was first surgically-induced in rabbits using the anterior cruciate ligament transaction model [53]. For the experiment, LIPUS was applied at six weeks following surgery, at an intensity of 300 mW/cm² at 1 MHz, 20% duty cycle for 10 minutes/day for 2 weeks. At the end of the ultrasound treatment, microscopic morphologic grading showed the ultrasound-treated group had a significantly lower OA score compared to untreated controls (control: 2.75 ± 0.50, ultrasound-treated: 1.67 ± 0.52, P=0.002). There was also a trend for a lower percentage of apoptotic chondrocytes in animals treated with LIPUS, although the difference was not significant [53].

**Adipose Modification and Chondroprotection**

Obesity is one of the risk factors for OA initiation and disease progression [54]. Studies suggest that obesity contributes to OA through mechanical overloading and metabolic alteration [55]. Excessive adipose tissue increases mechanical stresses on weight-bearing joints and generates an imbalance in the secretory profile of adipokines, including leptin, adiponectin, visfatin, and resistin [56]. Together, such conditions create a low-grade systemic inflammation, as evidenced by a significant increase, as much as 10-fold, in the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α [57,58]. These pro-inflammatory cytokines can then turn upregulate expression of MMPs and ADAMTS, leading to cartilage breakdown [59].

Randomized controlled clinical trials show weight loss is associated with reductions in knee OA pain, increased mobility and physical function [60,61]. Evidence shows each pound of weight lost results in a 4-fold reduction in the compressive forces through the load-bearing joints [62]; losing less than 5% body weight results in some joint pain relief, while moderate to large clinical improvements in joint pain are observed with at least 10% reductions in body weight [63].

Although the efficacy of ultrasound in osteoarthritis has not been studied in the context of obesity, recent studies suggest high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) is an effective method for breaking down fat cells [64-66]. HIFU is delivered through the skin and ultrasound energy absorption within the focal zone induces high temperatures at the focal point, causing coagulative necrosis and almost instantaneous cell death [67]. After the treated adipose tissue is destroyed, chemotactic signals activate the body’s normal inflammatory response mechanisms. Macrophage cells engulf the lipids and cellular debris, and they are cleared via the lymphatic system, leading to a reduction in adipose tissue [64]. Taken together, by targeting adipose tissue, ultrasound may exert chondroprotection by both directly reducing mechanical overloading stress, and rebalancing the altered inflammatory metabolism.

**Perspectives and Conclusion**

Therapeutic ultrasound is widely used for various musculoskeletal disorders, but its use for osteoarthritis treatment is still limited. Recent clinical trials suggest ultrasound improves OA-associated symptoms, including pain and joint dysfunction. However, well-designed and higher powered clinical studies are needed to confirm these effects. Furthermore, while disease-modifying effects of ultrasound have not been reported in OA patients, supportive data from in vitro and in vivo studies suggest a chondroprotective role of ultrasound, which includes enhancing anabolic activity, lowering levels of catabolic activity, and preventing apoptosis in chondrocytes. In addition, adipose tissue,
which creates an inflammatory endocrine environment and may be a driver of OA initiation and progression, can be targeted by ultrasound (e.g. high-intensity focused ultrasound). Collectively, we propose ultrasound as a potential intervention for OA symptom- and disease-modification (Figure 1). In summary, therapeutic ultrasound may exert effects not only on symptom-modification but also has a strong disease-modifying potential in OA.
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