

SUBJECT TO SENATE APPROVAL

MINUTES OF THE ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY-SIXTH PLENARY SESSION
OF THE UNIVERSITY FACULTY SENATE OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

May 19, 1987

Chair Wedeen called the session to order at 7:10 p.m. in Room 1700 at the Graduate School and University Center. Present were: Senators Bank, Barbanel, Baumrin, Beck, Beheshti, Berkowitz, Binder, Blancher, Bleyman, Bloom, Bohigian, Brower, Buianouckas, Carro-Kowalcyck, B. Cohen, M. Cohen, Constantinides, Cooper, Cravats, Cravzow, Crump, Dauben, Davidson, Depas, Donleavy, Donoghue, Eisenberg, Feldman, Galub, Gerber, Ginsberg, Gram, Greenbaum, Grossman, Gura, Hayon, Henderson, Huang, Jaffe, Jiji, Johnson, Karan, Lea, Lundeen, Lytra, Matthews, McCall, McCullers, Meyers, Muehlig, Muller, Otte, Parkhurst, Picken, Plissner, Riley, Rodriguez, Rosen, Shaffer, Sheridan, Simor, Singleton, Sohmer, Speidel, Stern, Taylor, Timoni, Trefousse, Umolo, J. Walter, Wasser, Wedeen, Weiss, White, Wurmfeld, Yousef, and Zades; Alternate Senators Anderson, Baker, Bishop, Cantwell, De Moose, Forstall, Geach, Genn, Hill, Ladimer, Maynard, Oldham, Schuyler, Sessions, Lu Suri, and Youkeles. Former Senators Seguire and Thaxton, former Alternate Senator Blanks and UFS Executive Director Ellis also attended as did Mrs. Bronstein, UFS Senior Staff Member. The absences of Senators Baxter, Boylan, Danziger, MacLennan, Segal, and Zaneteas were excused.

I. Approval of the Proposed Tentative Agenda: The proposed tentative agenda was moved, seconded and approved.

II. Approval of the Minutes of the 155th Plenary Session (April 28, 1987): The minutes were approved with the following correction: Senator Grossman was present.

III. Introduction of New Senators and Alternate Senators: Chair Wedeen noted that this organizational meeting was held annually and was the occasion when re-elected and newly elected members of the Senate took their seats for the first time. During the Spring semester Senators and Alternate Senators elect were invited to attend meetings as observers but this was the initial meeting in which they would participate fully. She asked too that as she introduced the new members each rise and that the continuing members hold their applause until all of the introductions were completed. Chair Wedeen then introduced the new members: From Baruch - Re-elected Senators Cecilia McCall (Compensatory Programs) and George Otte (English), Newly-elected Senators Elsie Green (Germanic, Hebrew and Oriental Languages) and Paula Walter (Law), Re-elected Alternate Senator George Hill (Music) and Newly-elected Alternate Senator Roger Milsap (Psychology); From Borough Manhattan, Re-elected Senator Marvin Jaffe (Science) and newly-elected Alternate Senators Emily Anderson (Social Science) and Charlotte Bishop (Secretarial Science); from Bronx Community College - re-elected Senator Francis Buianouckas (Mathematics), newly-elected Senator Louise Squitieri (Biology), and re-elected Alternate Senators Michael Glass (Mathematics) and Agnes Sobieraj (Biology); from Brooklyn - re-elected Senators Barbara Gerber (Comparative Literature), Charles Parkhurst (Speech) and myself, and newly-elected Alternate Senators Zita Cantwell (Education), Lionel Forstall (Educational Services) and Mary Scavuzzo (Mathematics); from City - re-elected Senator Ruth Grossman (Elementary Education) and newly-elected Senators Gladys Carro-Kowalcyk (English as a Second Language) and Eleanor Lundeen (Nursing); from the Graduate School - Newly-elected Alternate Senator Thomas Wesselkamper (Computer Science) and re-elected Alternate Senator Bogdan Denitch (Sociology); from Hostos - newly-elected Alternate Senators Edward Maynard (Africana Studies) and Patricia Oldham (Behavioral and Social Science); from Hunter - re-elected Senator Ruth Rose (Academic Skills) and newly-elected Senator Hope Wurmfeld (Art); from John Jay - re-

elected Senator Maria Rodriguez (SEEK) and newly-elected Alternate Senator Mavis Aldridge (Communication Skills); from Kingsborough - re-elected Senator Murray Plissner (Student Development), newly-elected Senator Geralyn Crump (Physical Science) and re-elected Alternate Senators Barbara Ginsberg (Physical Education/ Recreation) and Merrill Youkeles (Behavioral Sciences and Human Services); from La Guardia - re-elected Alternate Senator Gail Baker (Natural and Applied Science); from Lehman - re-elected Senators Barbara Cohen (Nursing) and Eve Zarin (English), re-elected Alternate Senators Barbara Geach (Nursing) and George Weightman (Sociology) and newly-elected Alternate Senator Patricia Thompson (Specialized Services in Education); from Medgar Evers newly-elected Senator Mary Umolo (Humanities) and Alternate Senator Iola Thompson (Health Sciences); from Mount Sinai - re-elected Senators Samuel Bloom and David Dreiling and re-elected Alternate Senators Irving Ladimer and Max Levitan; from New York City Tech - re-elected Senator Shirley Beheshti (Mathematics) and newly-elected Alternate Senators Mary Lu Suri (Secretarial Science) and Ranjani Selvadurai (Biology); from Queensborough - re-elected Senator Paul Weiss (Health, Physical Education, and Dance), newly-elected Senator Elyn Feldman (Health, Physical Education, and Dance) and re-elected Alternate Senators Maxine Genn (Library) and Sylvia Svitak (Mathematics); from Staten Island - re-elected Senators Fred Binder (History) and Stamos Zades (Student Services) and re-elected Alternate Senators Norman DeMoose (Psychology, Sociology, and Anthropology) and Vivian Sessions (Library); and from York - newly-elected Alternate Senators James Como (Speech) and Jane Schuyler (Fine Arts). Elections, Chair Wedeen concluded, have not been completed at Queens College or at the CUNY Law School. She then asked for a round of applause and the membership responded willingly.

IV. Communications from the Chair: Chair Wedeen said that she was sorry to have to announce at this time that one of the Senate's members, Walter Newman, of the Graduate School Senate Delegation, had died this morning and she introduced Professor Speidel (Geology, Queens) to comment further. Professor Speidel said that he had the sad duty to report that one of the Senators, Walter Newman, who was based at Queens but represented the Graduate School as a University Faculty Senator, died this morning after a relatively brief illness. Walter, he continued, was the editor of one book, author of approximately 45 scientific articles and another 25 published abstracts. One of the true ironies is that on Friday he received an \$85,000 grant for his ongoing research from the National Science Foundation. Professor Speidel requested that the Senate rise for a moment in honor of Professor Newman. The Senate responded and Professor Speidel thanked the body.

Professor Wedeen resumed her communications. As you recall, she said, the last time the English Only Issue was brought up and it was referred to the Executive Committee. The Committee has been working on it, she continued. The reason it was not back to the Senate today with a specific suggestion for a resolution was that it has many different pieces to look at. Usually, it's written up rather simply. It's the interpretations from state to state that vary so drastically. The Committee therefore felt that it would like additional time, because it sent away for the copies from different places so that it might go over them and bring to the body the best it knew how. That effort will remain. continued.

Professor Wedeen noted also that additional communications from her were, as usual, available in writing on the table at the rear of the room.

V. Reports of Faculty Members of Board of Trustees' Committees: Chair Wedeen reminded the members that these reports were available on the table at the rear of the room.

VI. Nomination and Election of the Treasurer of the University Faculty Senate: Chair Wedeen said that the body had reached one of the major items on the evening's agenda - the election of officers and members-at-large of its Executive Committee. She said that each member should have a packet of ballots and that those voting members who did

not have packets should report to the registration desk and obtain them; alternates who knew that a Senator was not present should report to the desk and follow the procedures required to obtain a packet and vote in the Senator's place. Chair Wedeen announced that she had asked Senators Zades (Student Services, Staten Island) and Galub (Social Sciences, Bronx) to serve as tellers this evening. She said too that nominations for each position listed on the agenda would be made from the floor as each item came before the body. Each nominator would be allotted two minutes for a nominating speech. She reminded all nominators that the consent of the nominee must have been obtained and that all members of the Executive Committee must be able to arrange his or her college schedule to permit attending regular Tuesday morning meetings.

Chair Wedeen announced that nominations for treasurer were now in order. Professor Muller (Economics, Graduate School) nominated Professor Robert Picken (Romance Languages, Queens) who was known to everyone in the Senate. "I take that back," she continued, because a lot of you are new and you don't know him so let me tell you just a little bit about him. At Queens College since 1964 and Chairman of the Department of Romance Languages, Bob Picken has not only served in governance at that school but has been a member of the Senate Executive Committee since 1981 and has served as Treasurer since 1985. Professor Muller noted that her nominee had represented the Senate on the CUNY Construction Fund Board, on the Board of Trustees' committee on Faculty, Staff and Administration and was currently faculty member of the Board Committee on Fiscal Affairs, Facilities, and Contract Review. He had served on numerous Senate committees ranging from Academic Affairs to Structures and Procedures and on a number of University Committees as well including, most recently, the search committee for Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs. He had given consistent and excellent service to the Senate, she said, and she thought it would be great if he were to continue in that capacity. Chair Wedeen asked for a second which was forthcoming. She called for other nominations. Professor Davidson moved that the nominations be closed and his motion was seconded. The Chair asked whether there were a vote to ask the secretary to cast a single ballot for the body and the body so voted without dissent or abstentions. Professor Picken was declared elected.

VII. Nominations and Election of the Secretary of the University Faculty Senate: Chair Wedeen announced that nominations for Secretary were now in order. Professor Jaffe asked to place in nomination the incumbent Secretary, Gil Riley. Professor Riley, he said, for those who were new to the Senate, had been a Senator for eight years, had been on the Executive Board for seven of those years and for the past year had been Secretary of the Senate. "Gil serves at Bronx Community College," he continued, "in the governance body there, has served as Chair of the Department of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, and for those of the members that have been in the Senate a while, very little else I guess has to be said." In his capacity as member of the Executive Board, Professor Jaffe added, Professor Riley had served as an alternate to Board Committees and in his past service on the Executive Board has served as liaison to a number of committees including Remediation and a number of others. Professor Jaffe concluded that he would respectfully nominate Gil Riley for the position of Secretary of the University Faculty Senate. The nomination was seconded and the Chair called for other nominations. A motion was made to close nominations and it was duly seconded and adopted. The Chair asked for a motion to have the Secretary cast a single ballot for Professor Riley. That motion was duly made, seconded and adopted unanimously. The Chair declared Professor Riley elected.

VIII. Nominations and Election of Five Members-at-Large of the Executive Committee: Chair Wedeen said that since five members-at-large were to be elected she would ask the Executive Director to list the nominees on the blackboard as they were presented.

Professor Davidson nominated Professor Marvin Jaffe of Borough Manhattan Community College. "Professor Jaffe," he said, "has served this past year as a member of the Executive Committee and has served with distinction on the Senate for a number of years, has served on very important committees of the Senate and has represented us well throughout his term on the Executive Committee."

Chair Wedeen called for a second nomination. Professor Plissner (Student Development, Kingsborough) said, "This is becoming a habit with me. I think this is about the fourth or fifth time I've nominated Gordon and it's always a pleasure. I won't even attempt to list his committee membership, it's much too lengthy. It's an extremely long list, it's a distinguished list. Almost as distinguished as Gordon himself is. For those of you who were here earlier you heard Gordon so that he doesn't really need too much recommendation. He is the editor of the Newsletter, the infamous, or the famous Newsletter. Gordon is many things. He can be acerbic, as you will find. But he's never unkind. It's been recommended that he write a book -- All the Things You've Wanted to Know About 80th Street but Didn't Have the Cuts to Ask". And he can probably tell you." Professor Plissner continued that he recalled that about three years ago when he nominated Professor Lea, he had made a melodramatic gesture and an allusion about someone on high having heard about him. Just then a clap of thunder came through. He said that he didn't have that kind of help this evening but that he probably wouldn't need it. "I think you'll compliment yourselves if you return Gordon to the position he fills so ably," said Professor Plissner. "He said it's the only game in town. Keep playing, Gordon." The nomination was seconded.

Professor Rosen (Germanic, Hebrew, and Oriental Languages, Baruch) said, "I'd like to nominate a colleague. Professor Lea Bleyman of the Department of Natural Sciences which she served as chairman for a number of years, has been at Baruch College since 1973, has served with distinction on a very important Special Committee on Curriculum which initiated some very meaningful changes. She has been two years ago on a Chancellor's Fellow[ship], has served this year on the UFS Undergraduate Committee and I know her to be very hard-working and sincere and I think she'd make a wonderful addition to the Executive Committee." The nomination was seconded,

Professor Gerber (Comparative Literature, Brooklyn) nominated Professor Plissner and said, "You've heard Murray nominate Gordon Lea, so I think you have a taste of his humor and of his good judgment. Murray has served on the Faculty Senate for nine years; he has just been reelected for a fourth term and among the committees on which he has served are the following: Faculty Interest, Directory, Student Financial Aid, the Governance Committee three times, and he served on the Executive Committee in the spring of '86. I think he is an articulate member of our Senate, a very forceful one, and you could always do with a sense of humor." The nomination was seconded.

Professor Trefousse (History, Brooklyn) nominated Professor Greenbaum (History, Queensborough). He said, "I think you all know Fred. He's been at Queensborough since 1961, served as chairman of the Department of History between 1968 and 1974 and has been on the Executive Committee several times and now, in fact, is an incumbent member. He has served on search committees, especially on one for the CUNY Chancellor and has been very active in the PSC, in the Delegate Assembly from 1974 to the present, as Chapter Chairman from 1977 to the present and has been an AFT delegate from 1974 to the present. In addition, he is quite a scholar, I myself edited one of his books, and liked it very much, on Bob La Follette. He is also a perfect gentleman - you can differ with him and still remain friends. He is also very articulate, and at the present moment is trying to put us in charge of the CUNY BA program, which I think he will do very well indeed, and I think he will be a most excellent spokesman for us." The nomination was seconded.

Professor Binder (History, Staten Island) nominated Professor Sandi Cooper (History, Staten Island). "I have no notes," he said, "if you don't know Sandi, I guarantee you soon will. She is and has been a leader of the faculty at our college and the University for many years, having served on the Executive Committee. She is a historian, a scholar, a leader in the peace studies movement, a leader in the women's studies movement, a fighter for faculty causes, and we're very fortunate to have had her and I think we will be fortunate to continue to have her serve on the Executive Committee." Professor Cooper's nomination was seconded.

Professor Wasser (English, Staten Island) nominated Professor Baumrin (Philosophy, Graduate School). He stated that he and Professor Baumrin went back a long way, that they disagreed and that they agreed and that he would indicate why he was nominating him. "Stefan Baumrin is a man of great experience in the Senate," he explained. "He has served on various Board Committees. He served as chair of the Research Committee. I've noticed his activity in the Graduate Council as well as in the Senate. And I suppose what I respect most of all with Stefan is his ability to ask probing questions, to pursue it on almost any matter. And I've noticed him over the years with respect to the Chancellor -- and I happen to think that's one of the most important functions that this can happen in the Senate and in the Executive Committee - the ability to pursue knowingly, with knowledge, the Chancellor on issue after issue after issue. Because we learn a great deal, but also we can put pressure on him, and I find that on that particular matter, an important matter, Stefan Baumrin has been certainly superior to many in the Senate over the past, but not to all, and I would also indicate that the present Executive Committee has been superb in doing that too." Professor Wasser concluded that these were the particular reasons why he wished to nominate Professor Baumrin. The nomination was seconded.

Professor Grossman (Elementary Education, City) nominated Professor Donleavy (Specialized Services to Education, Lehman). "Rita has been a member of this University Faculty Senate for a number of years," Professor Grossman said, "she has been on many committees. She has spoken out very much on several different issues, has a diversity of interests, has been an active supporter of faculty rights and responsibilities in governance at City University, a concern I share deeply too, and I think all of us do. Her field of specialization has been in organizational dynamics, group dynamics and organizational structures, and I think that she would bring to the Faculty Senate here a great knowledge of how to improve our methods of working, which is something we have been concerned about, and our Priorities Committee has said is important. I feel she is going to be a very dynamic member of the Committee if she is elected and will work tirelessly in the interests of the University Faculty Senate, and I am very happy and proud to nominate her. "

Professor Jiji (Engineering, City) nominated Professor Sohmer (Mathematics, City). "Bernie has been with the Senate for many years, and he has been on the Executive Committee for several years too, I don't know how many. Bernie is extremely knowledgeable about The City University. He knows a great deal of facts about the workings of the University; he is known to have challenged Chancellors, Presidents - and he is very direct. Only last time he asked the Chancellor, a month ago, about a line which the Chancellor appropriated for City College without funds. And, in two minutes, he managed to get from the Chancellor a promise to allocate the appropriate funds for that line. That is a small example of what he knows and how to go about getting things done. I strongly suggest that we vote for him. Thank you." The nomination was seconded.

Chair Wedeen asked if there were any other nominations. It was moved and seconded that nominations be closed and the motion was unanimously approved. Chair Wedeen asked the Senator to take the ballots and vote. She was asked whether the

candidates might be presented to the body - for the benefit of new members and the chair acquiesced and suggested that the Executive Director read the names of the nominees in the order they were presented. She asked the nominees please to stand one at a time and introduce themselves and if they wished to come out with a statement or two at the time of introduction, she said, that would be fine. The Executive Director presented Professor Jaffe who said that he thought his nominator had spoken for him. Next, she presented Professor Lea who said he was the acerbic one who wasn't too often unkind. Professor Bleyman, who was next, said she was the new face in the crowd. Professor Plissner followed, having been prodded to come forward with the suggestion that he pretend he was parading for the "Mr. America" contest. Professor Greenbaum who was next said, "We don't have to wear our bathing suits or show our talents." The next nominee, Professor Cooper, said, "I'm the feminist, pacifist ideologue." Professor Baumrin said, "As parliamentarian this year, I've said enough." Professor Donleavy said "Hello, what else can I say? Everybody's saying these wonderful things so I have nothing else to say." Finally, Professor Sohmer stood for the body.

The Chair then instructed the members on voting procedures and asked the tellers to collect the ballots and complete the tally. The Executive Director replied to a question concerning the Chair's authority to break a tie, should the tallying produce that result and explained that, in the case of the members-at-large this was the Charter provision. She read the pertinent section from the Charter to confirm her response.

The Chair said that the next item on the printed agenda was the Chancellor's report but, she said, that the Chancellor had been uninvited for this meeting because sometime the elections went at great length and the real interest at the meeting was the election and it was the custom not to have him at this organizational meeting although he was expected to attend all others and usually did.

She announced the results of the tally then. The new members-at-large of the Executive Committee are:

Stefan Baumrin
 Sandi Cooper
 Fred Greenbaum
 Gordon Lea
 Bernard Sohmer

The Senate then applauded the new leadership.

VII. New Business: The Chair said that at the last Plenary Session the issue of the honorary degree and Brooklyn College was raised. She said there was further progress or lack of progress on that issue. Since the Chairman of Brooklyn College's Faculty Council happened to be one of Brooklyn's Senators, he was present this evening, so she would like him to fill the Senate in on what has occurred since the last Plenary Session since she had fulfilled that role due to his absence at the last meeting.

Professor Gura (Speech, Brooklyn) said that he could tell the Senate that at the meeting of May 5, Faculty Council, after a discussion of almost two hours, voted 65 to 21, to recommend to the Board of Trustees the awarding of the honorary degree to Francis Mugavero. Bishop Mugavero has refused the degree.

There was a request that the Chair - or a member of the Senate - clarify the correct procedure for nominations or recommendations for honorary degrees. The

Chair said that she would. She noted that there were slight variations from campus to campus but that one of the requirements at Brooklyn College was that a name, before it was submitted for an honorary degree to be voted on by the faculty, must go to the President of the College who then asked the honoree whether he or she would be available to receive the degree at commencement. Therefore, the candidate knew in advance that the name was being considered. In addition, prior to the faculty vote, she continued, the name was cleared by the President and the Board as to whether the name would be acceptable. Chair Wedeen described further the procedures at Brooklyn College and reviewed them in relation to the presentation of Bishop Mugavero's name for consideration by the Brooklyn Faculty Council in April. Several Senators compared Brooklyn's procedures with those at their own institutions and one inquired whether he had heard correctly the Chair's statement that the faculty actually does vote and must vote on the award. The reply was affirmative and the inquirer said that having searched the Bylaws, he could not find a separate section on honorary degrees and asked whether there were such a section. There was discussion on this point and some disagreement whether there was or not with some maintaining that there was and others insisting that, in any event, since the authority to vote on degrees, the award of honorary degrees was subsumed under that power. The chair suggested that this was done in most colleges but that some might follow an alternative procedure. Professor Baumrin suggested that the Graduate Council approved the awarding of honorary degrees and that, knowing what he did about the governance at the Graduate School, he was certain that, if there were an alternative method, the School would have chosen it.

The Senate debated possible procedures to maintain confidentiality and at the same time to insure that sufficient information about the proposed honoree was known to the faculty body that recommended candidates for honorary degrees since too small a group, for example, might not include persons sufficiently acquainted with the candidates' qualifications. Professor Wasser reminded the Senate that the issue was larger than that now being debated, that veterans in the Senate would recall a battle when the University as University decided to award honorary degrees without input from the faculty. The Senate objected and won a partial victory in the sense that from that point forward the faculty must participate in the nomination of candidates for University honorary degrees. Such degrees, he noted, may be proposed by University officials or by the Board but also by a president of a two-year college - the two-year colleges not being chartered to award honorary degrees. With respect to honorary degrees awarded by a college, he said, that the Senate, he thought, must now battle on the issue of a uniform policy with respect to the awarding of such degrees. One college that he would not identify but which he knew well followed the practice of the president's selecting the nominees with no faculty input at all. At several others, as indicated in the debate this evening, the faculty did have input. He thought it was crucial for the University to have a uniform policy and suggested that the Senate might propose such a policy to the Board since it might avert a crisis such as the one that has arisen at Brooklyn College. He believed further that that crisis was one for which the college faculty ought not to be blamed. Professor Wasser's suggestion was greeted with cries of "Hear" "hear". The Chair said that she was going to take it as the sense of the house that the Executive Committee put this item on its agenda.

A further question on the accuracy of the statement that a degree could in fact be awarded without faculty recommendation led to further discussion and the suggestion from Professor Greenbaum that the issue was really broader - the rights of faculty throughout the University. He suggested that a committee be formed to review the whole question of the rights and responsibilities of faculty in terms of governance of colleges and the University.

Continued discussion of the issue led to reiteration of points already made at the session or at the Senate's last session. Two new suggestions were made: that the practice of awarding honorary degrees should be abolished since there were colleges and universities that did not award them and since there are established alternatives at CUNY such as the Chancellor's Medal, Presidents' Medals and the like; and that the matter be referred either to a special ad hoc committee or to the Senate governance committee for study.

A second item of new business was raised by Professor Baumrin for possible consideration by the Executive Committee. He said that when the Faculty Advisory Council to the Research Foundation met last week to review the budget for the Research Foundation that was considered by the Foundation's Board of Directors the following day, the Council discovered an ambiguity in the budget with the following parameters. CUNY has received a grant of some \$6 million plus for something called the City University Research Plan and Graduate Education or vice versa [the official title is: The City University research and graduate education development plan] and it turns out that there actually isn't a plan. Of the \$6 million plus, \$1.75 million is a kickback of money which normally goes from the Research Foundation back to the State as a charge against the Foundation by the State Budget Office. The money is being charged off against the Foundation but being returned to the University in the \$6 million plus. Consequently, he continued, there are two stages to this question - consequently the pro rata portion of this thing which is called a tithe, the \$1.75 million which is coming from the colleges back to the State over here and then coming back to the University over here, a pro rata portion of that comes from each college and essentially reduces the presidents' discretionary funds. But there is no plan afoot to funnel the \$1.75 million that's coming back into the \$6.4 million to replenish the college presidents' fund. Chair Wedeen interjected to ask whether there was a point Professor Baumrin wished to make since she did not think the Senate's mood was to be educated at that hour. Professor Baumrin said that there was a point, i.e. that the \$6 plus million will be divided in accordance with requests from the colleges pursuant to research and graduate education and not on a pro rata basis. Therefore, if a college did not make an adequate proposal for these funds the college will not get its pro rata share. Finally, said Professor Baumrin, the discussion by the Board of Directors suggested that many of the presidents are themselves unaware of these facts. Professor Wedeen thanked Professor Baumrin and said she was certain there were enough representatives present to bring it back to the various units. Professor Muller said that she would like to underscore Professor Baumrin's remarks and to emphasize that the concern of the Senate had to be with faculty input into the decisions about the allocation of this money. The point was also made that the kickback to the State is not new but that the difference this year is that it is being returned to the University and that the real concern was the use to be made of the CUNY fund and how it is was to be allocated and insuring faculty input.

Professor Bohigian expressed the wish that the Executive Committee would take under consideration the possibility of procedures that would insure the kind of full attendance that occurred at the election meeting and that his suggestion of the past that a rule requiring a minimum attendance throughout the year to establish eligibility to vote in elections be considered. Chair Wedeen said that it would be placed on the Committee's agenda.

There was then a motion to adjourn and the Chair wished everyone a good summer and adjourned the session.

Respectfully submitted,
Jean Ellis
Executive Director