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“We must cultivate a sense of international responsibility for the child…. As confident internationalists ... do we dare extend our love to the very ends of the earth and remove the limits of our charity?”1 With this valiant challenge, Raoul Delgrange, the president of the Bureau international catholique de l’enfance (BICE), a Francophone Catholic social organization, greeted the 1955 BICE International Congress in Venice, praising the work of “1,008 International Organizations” that would transform Christian charity in light of a new “global reality ... with an emboldened sense of global need.”2 In that same year, Father Thomas Mongo, an African priest who had been named the successor to Monsignor Pierre Bonneau, the French bishop of the diocese of Douala in Cameroon, was facing a more local reality: purges and uprisings in the region as part of a violent nationalist insurgency.3 To reconcile his people and his congregants and to inspire benevolence in the midst of anticolonial political upheaval, Mongo drew up plans to establish a local pilgrimage site and build new churches, and, critically, began preaching more intensively on defining charity as “the love of your neighbor.”4

Analyzing humanitarianism during the years of decolonization reveals a marked divergence between local social patterns and transnational ideology. The Catholic Church at this juncture fostered two humanitarian cultures: a culture of local charity, based on intimate compassion that was inspired by piety and strong social relations; and a cosmopolitical humanitarian culture that emphasized a mass-based emancipatory form of Christian consciousness that sought to widen solidarities and transform possibilities.5 This article explores how the idiom of charity was envisioned and enacted by African and European agents in the last decade of colonial rule and illuminates the process
by which these parallel and competing interpretations of compassionate work gained greater or lesser currency among distinct publics.

During the 1950s, the BICE and the French trust territory of Cameroon were two spheres of intensive contemplation on the subject of charity where African and European ecclesiastical leaders considered the commitments to which Christianity and its institutions were bound in the rapidly emerging postcolonial world. In Europe, Vatican representatives and clerical and lay directors of the BICE were actively considering Pope Pius XI’s 1927 conceptual formulation of “political charity,” in which he called for the Church to move beyond a bounded “social and economic charity” toward “a more vast charity” that could liberate entire societies and fight against “remote and foundational causes” of evil and injustice throughout the world. During the 1950s, the Church implemented “political charity” as the framework for a new postwar strategy intended to widen its solidarity and stimulate a new sense of responsibility among European Catholics. Another factor influencing the enactment of this mandate was the fact that Vatican and European Catholic leaders perceived the dismantling of empire as the dawn of new international political rivalries and sought to expand international Catholic organizations as a forceful means of countering the influences of Communist, as well as secular and Anglo-Saxon Protestant values in education, health, social assistance, and poor relief.

In the political context that emerged in Cameroon after World War II and the 1944 Brazzaville Conference, African Catholic leaders were forced to confront nationalist and Communist ideologies that politicized spiritual life and responded in part by reinvigorating the role of local charitable action. As part of this strategy, Monsignor Thomas Mongo espoused “intimate charity”: a human closeness that could cure social ills. Mongo’s vision, which African Catholic leaders later extended, was based on a Pauline conception of charity, which linked private religious devotion to neighborly compassion. Organized through village networks in the interwar period and later through territory-wide Catholic Action—a socio-religious movement originally launched through the French missions, which emphasized collective responsibility for alleviating human suffering—“intimate charity” typified poor relief and social work in Cameroon’s villages, towns, and cities. “Political charity” and “intimate charity” were not strict terminologies applied to respective European or African conceptualizations of religious work in the years leading up to national independence in Africa, but they do provide a rhetorical baseline from which historians can perceive idealized abstractions and locate evidence of concrete action resulting therefrom.

While scholarship on humanitarianism as part of the legitimation of empire has expanded considerably in recent years, fewer historians have analyzed the humanitarian aspirations of the Catholic Church in the transitional years of decolonization. Some scholars, including Michael Barnett and Gregory Mann, have remarked that the postwar period ushered in a new style of humanitarianism in which nongovernmental and religious organizations engaged seriously with world affairs and transformed the boundaries of
empathy. Other historians have suggested paying closer attention to Christian solidarities that arose during the late colonial period to better understand the emergence of non-national identities that shaped debates on human rights and questions of sovereignty. Catholic organizations, sponsored by missionary societies, the Vatican, and national churches, had become critical sub-state agents working in Africa throughout the years of intensifying colonialism. After World War II, Pope Pius XII invited Catholics to “collaborate internationally” in the service of creating a “more active Church in the world” with a greater influence in intergovernmental and nongovernmental organizations, and the BICE took this message particularly to heart. As Mann has revealed, these transnational agents offering aid, social science, and activism to Africa soon grew powerful enough to assume functions previously located within the state and local political authority. This “international-mindedness,” as Mark Mazower has termed it, formed part of the expansion of partnerships between government and international institutions that deployed science and ethical universalisms to forge a true international community in which they would be the global governors. Critically, this globalist sensibility had serious consequences for decidedly civic, communal, and fraternal forms of humanitarian relief maturing in Africa, and particularly in French Cameroon.

This article’s presentation of the rival visions for Catholic humanitarian practice at the end of empire begins with an analysis of the BICE as a critical force in faith-based humanitarian work in the postwar decades. It then presents the longstanding traditions of mutual support among African Catholics in Cameroon, which confronted and eventually lost ground to the BICE’s new charitable frameworks. In the long run, the BICE’s vision of a supranational Church united through international relief efforts bolstered the European clergy’s resistance to decolonizing Church institutions in Cameroon, which they believed required “a new phase of evangelization.” Concerns about Africans’ “patriotic preoccupations,” “demographic hemorrhage,” and the “disruption of village piety” at the end of empire produced a nearly unanimous sense among European Catholics that Africans would very soon become “a people without religion, without spiritual leaders, who are ready to become the prey of communism in the near future.” The remaining sections of this article reveal how European skepticism of African religious conviction and pious work was constructed in the final years of colonial rule, as well as how it directly resulted in the BICE’s paradoxical modernity project to spiritually and morally rehabilitate Africans through a new charitable paradigm based on international coordination rather than fraternal assistance and mutual aid. This ideological development is revealed in part through an analysis of the 1957 International Catholic Conference on African Childhood held in Yaoundé, Cameroon, which was sponsored by the BICE and attended by social scientists, government authorities, ecclesiastical leaders, and representatives of the United Nations International Children’s Fund (UNICEF), the Centre international de l’enfance (CIE), and the World Health Organization (WHO).
This conference was the culmination of over a decade of international Catholic deliberation on the future of both the faith and compassionate work in the world, and sought to build consensus around strategies for rendering religious power more transformative in decolonizing regions. By examining this conference, as well as the years leading up to its convening in Yaoundé, this article presents a skeptical European lay and ecclesiastical Catholic leadership, who, rather than promoting African leadership in the Church in Cameroon, posited that a “universal fatherhood” and an “international brotherhood” in the form of transnational Catholic organizations should direct religious work in the fragile new nation. Building on Mann’s study of “nongovernmentality” and the power of international agencies to effectively capture forms of political rationality in Africa during decolonization, this article examines how nongovernmental lay and Catholic ecclesiastical agents devised religious rationales for eroding African sovereignty over the Catholic Church in Cameroon and banished African influence in late twentieth-century Christian humanitarian philosophies.

The BICE and Catholic Universality

Founded in 1948 as an organization devoted to “using Christ’s message to justify international humanitarian aid for children,” the BICE noted at its inception that the “era of radical change” in which it operated required new commitments that would engender revolutionary progress in support of child welfare. The BICE’s mission and strategies reflected interwar and postwar European Catholicism’s emphasis on recasting its religious identity through the creation of organizations that would operate as the social and cultural axis of human rehabilitation. In the years of waning colonial rule, the BICE’s leadership, largely comprised of Francophone clergy and laity, called for “worldwide child protection” and the creation of a consortium of “moral training organizations” that would be tasked with translating the Catholic faith into sustained social action “for others.” These “others” were notably the children of Africa, as well as the “unadapted” and “deficient” children of Europe.

Scholarly clergymen, high-ranking bishops, and lay technical experts like Henri Bissonier, chair of psychopathology at the Université catholique de Louvain, Margaret Bédard of the American Catholic Sociological Society, and Abbé Charles-Édouard Bourgeois, a Quebecois priest and medical doctor, among others, managed the BICE throughout the 1950s. Linkages between Catholic universities, research institutes, and the Vatican grew stronger in this period, and the BICE developed a press organ to publish collaborative results—the technical journal L’Enfance dans le monde—and hosted international congresses as a means of strengthening European Catholic consensus on what constituted a western common culture on child protection. The BICE’s work reflected the increasingly transnational dimension of social research, which
was informing legislation, societal debate, administrative practices, and professionalization pathways in postwar European nation-states. In this period, a plethora of industries, ministries, foundations, and church organizations instilled new scientific norms for social welfare in western countries and structured the knowledge regimes in these domains.30

Increasingly throughout the 1950s, the BICE was keenly interested not only in organizing charitable efforts but also in producing social scientific knowledge on which benevolent work would be based. Describing itself as “neither a federation of national organs nor a national movement,” but rather, a “technical bureau,” the organization gained consultative status at UNESCO, the UN Economic and Social Council, and UNICEF.31 The BICE distinguished itself by pressing for the “heroic support of children” and professed “an at times prophetic devotion to a cause” of identifying children as the most worthy benefactors of the gifts of specialists, researchers, experts, and consultants.32 It fully acknowledged that this emphasis forswore adults, who the BICE deemed “unchangeable,” in favor of those “whose souls are more open to the world.”33 Even more importantly, by upholding the child as a pure innocent and the only incontestable rights-bearer, the organization could segregate morally deserving from undeserving publics. This remissive formulation of charitable entitlement was used to establish ideologies of a “universal fatherhood,” who would be responsible for social and humanitarian work for children, rather than kin and communities.

“Universal fatherhood” as BICE leaders and Monsignor Angelo Dell’Acqua, their strongest advocate in the Vatican, explained, was a means of envisioning Pope Pius XI’s call for “political charity” by advocating for a “united human family,” with a “sense of responsibility among the men from the farthest regions for men in the poorest.”34 Père Marie-Dominique Chenu, a BICE affiliate and Catholic theologian, reasoned that “man should not withdraw into inter-individual charity,” but rather recognize what can be done “for the common good of the world.”35 This form of charitable boundary crossing was couched as a form of Christian transcendence and moral liberation, rationalized through the determinism of crisis.36 According to the BICE, Africans had, through lack of social reform and their “natural mutualism,” brought about proletarianism and failed to foster a “favorable climate for Christianity.”37 Thus, to accomplish truly transformative work on the continent, where polygamy, malnutrition, illiteracy, poverty, women’s subjugation, and unemployment continued to deprive children of their rights, a more effective form of charity based on Catholic internationalism would be deployed.38

**Intimate Charity**

In colonial-era Cameroon, African priests, catechists, and nuns were the most common and effective messengers of scripture and doctrine, as well as leaders
of pious works, in part because missionary societies suffered from a dearth of foreign workers in every decade in which the Christian churches were present.\textsuperscript{39} In the early 1920s, the Nlong Mission in southern Cameroon succeeded in building a Catholic community of roughly five thousand Africans through the voluntary work of Ewondo and Bassa catechists who translated scripture, said mass, and heard confessions for their respective ethno-linguistic communities. Nlong catechists organized so much devotional work that they often complained of being overburdened with responsibilities, which included teaching, ministering the sick, visiting the faithful, assisting with religious services, organizing construction for churches, chapels, and seminaries, and even disciplining sinners.\textsuperscript{40} As much as they organized voluntary networks and recruited aids, they could not keep up with the level of need. After several “interminable” meetings with French missionaries in 1927, the Nlong catechists decided to expand the ranks of Catholic volunteers by starting a Bassa chapter of the Confrérie du Très Saint Sacrement, a pious fraternal organization that would assist in construction work and organizing Bassa Eucharistic ministry, as well as schedule rotations for village visits and employ catechumens and postulants\textsuperscript{41} to make bricks and lead prayer sessions, which would free senior catechists to attend to higher level religious work.\textsuperscript{42}

By 1946, roughly five hundred thousand Catholics and catechumens across Cameroon networked through 258 religious associations and 2,200 villages with a Catholic affiliation to organize, deliberate, and execute necessary actions for the improvement of social welfare, which included battling illness, unemployment, judicial corruption, spouselessness, polygamy, and widow inheritance, among other challenges.\textsuperscript{43} The most popular Catholic collective in Cameroon was the Confrérie de Sainte Marie (\textit{Ekoan Maria} in Ewondo), a pious confraternal organization originally launched by the German Pallottine mission that built community through public prayer, social discourse, and charitable works.\textsuperscript{44} Its success launched other pious associations including \textit{Ekoan Anna}, \textit{Ekoan Agnès}, \textit{Ekoan Joseph}, the Confrérie de l’Adoration réparatrice (\textit{Ekpa-Elugu}), the Confrérie du Saint-Sacrement, and others, including the Association of Saint Louis de Gonzague, which recruited young boys to be catechists, teachers, builders, and masons in rural congregations.\textsuperscript{45} The interwar period witnessed the largest expansion of pious collectives and confraternal organizations, which described themselves as “public associations in the service of the Catholic Church for a more human society.”\textsuperscript{46} Collectives such as the Confrérie des cinq plaies de Jésus led dangerous and subversive initiatives in the realm of humanitarian intervention such as rescuing brides from polygamous or forced marriages, uniting spouses without parental consent, and challenging chiefs and judges in tribunals.\textsuperscript{47}

In 1938, Cameroon’s French missionary workers were pulled from rural mission stations by the \textit{mobilisation générale}. In the years that followed in which Europeans were called to the front, African priests and catechists rose to lead congregations and new spiritual collectives, celebrating all the sacraments
and developing charitable consciousness. Thomas Mongo began his ministry during the war years in southwestern Cameroon, a placement that revealed to him the diversity of Christian faith, experience, and adversity among Cameroon’s diverse ethno-regional societies, and inspired his passion for direct and localized social engagement. After witnessing the suffering of lepers, he and a group of African Catholic nurses and French volunteers responded by founding the Léproserie de Dibamba and the Léproserie Saint Michel de Nden. These clinics were not solely managed by priests and missionaries, as Mongo organized the Fraternité des lépreux croyants, a religious confraternity for lepers that assisted in healing and hospice work to strengthen solidarity and promote mutual aid among the afflicted.

Expanding pious brotherhoods and lay and ministerial associations of Catholics whose goals included evangelization, social support, marriage reform, and poor relief was Mongo’s most intensive sphere of Catholic action. He was the leader of the Petits frères et petites sœurs du Père de Foucauld, whose members went on to launch their own movements for children’s education and catechism (Mouvements de l’enfance), and was instrumental in leading Ekoan Maria in Douala. Mongo also believed that charity was most needed in responding to the challenges of young adults who faced unemployment, poverty, and despair, which is what prompted his leadership in the Young Catholic Worker movement, or Jeunesse ouvrière chrétienne (JOC), which was launched in Cameroon in 1954. The success of African Catholic youth movements in accomplishing change both in outward signs of faith and in social renovation was noted at the 1957 BICE Conference, where French doctors and social workers sought to put the movements’ social networks and rural-urban linkages to use to launch new initiatives.

The Church and the Politics of Skepticism

Although the 1950s in Cameroon were marked by an increase in pilgrimages, outdoor liturgies, and religious festivals attended by nearly one million baptized African Christians, the European ecclesiastical hierarchy expressed acute anxiety about the rise of liberalism and the attenuation of spirituality as a result of what one French Social Catholic termed “the regrettable crisis of progress.” Gloomy predictions about Catholicism’s future in Cameroon stirred tensions between the indigenous and foreign clergy as well as between Church leaders and African believers. European leaders of the Catholic Church ignited controversy by repudiating the radical anticolonial Union des populations du Cameroun party, which enjoyed considerable support, and by openly doubting whether African Catholics possessed the moral steadfastness and spiritual earnestness required to sustain the Church in times to come.

French pessimism after the war sharply contrasted with optimism and respect regarding African piety in earlier decades. For these skeptics, the
Douala riots of 1945 were the first indication of moral failure and the decline of Christianity in Cameroon.\textsuperscript{59} Then, the UPC’s openly hostile stance against the Catholic Church and its alliance with the Confédération générale du travail (CGT) further confirmed African moral backsliding. Cameroonian nationalist and leftist newspapers like \textit{Le Patriote kamerunais}, \textit{La Voix du peuple}, and \textit{Le Crabe noir} published vitriolic letters and editorials calling for Marxist revolution, total social and economic upheaval, and the expulsion of all Catholics, which deeply unsettled the European clergy.\textsuperscript{60} Catholic press organs responded with harsh denunciations of African labor agitators, calling them dupes and “a mass of malcontents … who believe those who spread calumnies.”\textsuperscript{61}

Criticism and cynicism also marked exchanges between the leadership of the Congregation of the Holy Ghost, or Spiritain Mission, in Cameroon and the Sacred Congregation for the Propagation of the Faith\textsuperscript{62} in Rome regarding the appointment of Africans as bishops in the territory.\textsuperscript{63} African priests faced new suspicion of their spiritual fidelity and their capacity to command authority in a volatile postwar Africa, and were overlooked for leadership positions even though the Vatican insisted on diversifying the African episcopate according to the exhortations of Pope Pius XII, who was in favor of a truly global and universal Church fully embedded in local culture.\textsuperscript{64} Even though Pope Leo XIII had been the first to officially accept nationalism as a value compatible with Catholic doctrine in 1891 and by 1951 had Pius XII’s encyclical \textit{Evangelii Praecones} called for complete decolonization, the European clergy in Cameroon, and particularly Archbishop René Graffin, considered the African clergy too nationalist and repeatedly provided evidence of African priests’ and lay ministers’ “Marxist sentiments” to strategically retain white authority over Catholic Cameroon.\textsuperscript{65}

Academic scholarship in the 1950s seemed to concur with the European clergy’s concerns regarding the state of society in Africa. European social scientists and the French media published ominous accounts of postwar retrogression in Cameroon’s growing urban sectors. From studies on rising poverty and declining public health to articles on the falling birth rate and social fragmentation exhibited in divorce courts and orphanages, the worldly priests and missionaries of Cameroon could stay well informed of the deterioration of their pious flocks.\textsuperscript{66} Reports circulating among the leadership of the Congregation of the Holy Ghost repeated that Africans’ faith was “not profound,” that the laity was “not transformed,” and that their “superficial” attachment to Christ and the sacraments meant that their moral training was incomplete.\textsuperscript{67} Furthermore, they reasoned, the divorce, adultery, out-of-wedlock births, polygamy, alcoholism, crime, and gender inequality present in Cameroonian society was strong evidence of a populace with an intractable “pagan soul.”\textsuperscript{68} Although Christian Europe provided ample illustrations of these very same social ills, African Catholics faced growing suspicion of their capabilities to reform their environment and behaviors, which eventually
manifested itself in the Catholic elite’s internationally coordinated humanitarian strategies for more vigorous moral improvement.

The 1957 BICE Conference

Given these social and political realities, the context of Cameroon as the site of the 1957 BICE International Catholic Conference on African Childhood is salient. The conference organizing committee, including Monsignor Charles-Édouard Bourgeois, the head of the BICE administrative council, Archbishop René Graffin, and a constituency of French Spiritain leaders, chose the territory because of its “international status” and its recent history of political transformation and religious disruption.\(^69\) At the 1949 and 1956 conferences of the French Cameroon missions, which laid much of the groundwork for the 1957 BICE conference, the clergy equivocated over how effective African Catholic social movements were at fundamentally adapting communities, and whether new systems and institutions were required in coming years to reinforce moral imperatives.\(^70\) Advocates for and against Catholic Action and African Christian youth movements’ undertakings did not fall cleanly along racial lines. Bishop Bonneau and Abbé Jean Noddings, a Catholic Action leader from Lille, ardently pressured the Cameroon episcopate and the apostolic delegate for French Africa for more resources to continue their “profound work.”\(^71\) Mongo also emphasized the findings of the French Social Catholic researcher, Joseph Wilbois, director of the École d’administration et d’affaires, who had concluded that social action in Cameroon had led to significant improvements in conditions for women, children, and married couples.\(^72\)

Monsignor Paul Bouque, Bishop of Nkongsamba, as well as Graffin, however, concluded that more had to be done “in order to influence everyday life.” What was required was to do more than expand the ranks of African priests, or even create what Graffin termed “secular apostles” to lead lay organizations for charity and outreach. Eliminating “adultery, paganism, gambling, bride price, laziness, non-spousal cohabitation, polygamy” and other deeply sinful habits required the support of new allies.\(^73\) It also demanded that Africans demonstrate more submission to the demands of the Church. At the 1954 conference, Graffin suggested that bishops and parish priests require new demonstrations of allegiance from their congregants, including public pledges to stay true to their faith, renounce polygamy and bride price, and completely refute communism.\(^74\) In addition, Catholic social work would be beholden to a new consequentialism that promoted the maximization of good. In deciding financial allocations, Graffin and his associates agreed to demand “evidence” of medical or social change, pedagogical improvement, psychological transformation, or the elimination of deficiency or delinquency. The Church would combat threats against the faith with verifications of its own progress.\(^75\)
At the 1957 BICE conference, invited scholars like Paul Verhaegen, a Belgian neurologist and psychologist based in Belgian Congo, discussed new methods of studying the psychology of the African child and the “social evolution” of the African adult. The results of these technical experiments, argued Verhaegen and his supporters, could be used to measure the impact of Catholic social work in urban centers and rapidly industrializing zones in Africa. Verhaegen’s work, as well as that of other European social scientists such as Marcelle Geber, Jenny Aubry, and Jacques Lacan, was sponsored by the WHO for the purposes of better comprehending the relationships, hierarchies, psychological attachments, and standard behaviors of the African family, with particular emphasis on the mother-child relationship, the intellectual and social development of the African child in a rural milieu, and the transitions of African families and children in rapidly burgeoning urban milieus. 

At the conference, European clergymen enthusiastically embraced the scientific discoveries and technical reports produced by psychologists, psychiatrists, and biologists about the intellectual capability, biological state, and moral nature of African children and the adults who cared for them. Special committees discussed the latest findings on the psychomotor development of the African child, which scientists attributed to the standard of maternal care, the psychological stability of the African mother, or the proletarian status of the African father. Maternal stress, the “jealousies and rivalries” of the polygamous home, “obstructive” grandparents, or other family deficiencies could also have a direct impact on child intelligence, conference reports concluded. Despite their disparaging assessments of African cultural and family life, BICE conference attendees also reached a sanguine conclusion: a solution was at hand. Ecclesiastical leaders and organizational directors extrapolated from scientific findings of intelligence scales, personality studies, and infant aptitude tests that there was no genetic difference between Africans and Europeans, only social distinctions, which could be overcome with greater educational and technical investments. Africans were ultimately capable of “intelligence” per the tautology of European tests, and through cultural adaptation, they could enjoy the benefits of modernity. Citing the work of psychologist Henri Piéron, Verhaegen and others forwarded the theory of “cultural adaptation corresponding to a change of milieu” that would allow for an “authentic assimilation of [European] culture.”

In these results were the scientific substantiations for progressive and technically advanced humanitarian action in Africa. Local charity and compassionate work could indeed be useful, but it was not the deeply transformational liberation from sin and need that rectors like Graffin sought, and of which Africans were potentially capable. In partnering with social scientists working in Africa, the Catholic Church wished to dovetail charitable missions with medical and scientific investments that would not only improve stan-
dards of living, but also instill the moral disciplines of modernity among Africans: nuclear family building, educational advancement, and career placement. Adherence to behavioral codes, rather than spiritual expression, constituted the basis of Christian progress according to the dogmas of emergent partnerships between science and religion.

**Consequences for African Catholic Social Work**

The radical transformation of pre-existing charitable forms in Cameroon was most apparent in the process by which international agendas for the reform of health and welfare transitioned away from a longstanding approach of compassionate assistance for all who faced hardship to a new culture of maximizing results in the best interest of selected groups. The 1957 conference presaged the departure of European support for indigenously managed charity grounded in mutual aid, confraternal organization, and rural and urban evangelism toward a new methodology for human progress based on scientific humanitarianism, development planning, and international coordination of technical experts for the benefit of “innocent” victims. In 1959, just before the majority of African colonies gained independence, the BICE actively participated in drafting the Declaration of the Rights of the Child, paying particular attention to the denigrating conditions experienced by children in Africa. The suffering child, then, would not only come under the guardianship of the international order, but his condition implied that a revised trusteeship system in Africa should continue as a moral necessity.

With the collaboration of European Catholic leaders in Africa and Europe, the BICE sought to commandeer humanitarian implementation in emerging African nations. Ironies abounded as part of this initiative, as European religious leaders accused Africans of lacking faith and hence of being unfit to lead a religious institution, while they themselves nonetheless used their own skepticism and scientism to legitimize their authority over the Church. Moreover, while decrying the rise of secularism and materialism in Africa, Catholic humanitarian agencies became enamored of projects that eschewed the phenomenological and the charismatic (the sharing of spiritual gifts) as well as the healing dimensions of community building and the development of religious solidarities, in favor of projects that glorified the empirical and created new logics that defined economic development as part of the “progress of Christianity” in the postcolonial age.

Mongo and his compatriots both witnessed and warned against the reconceptualization of charity into internationally coordinated professional philanthropy. In the last years of French rule in Cameroon, Mongo assumed the lead in the fight to retain control over indigenous Catholic humanitarian strategies that stood apart from the progressive and revisionist humanitarian vision offered by the European high clergy and their colleagues in the BICE as well as
in medicine and international governance. Mongo was convinced of Christian-
ity’s deep roots in the episteme and ethnopractice of Cameroon’s societies, and,
as a result, believed strongly in the impact of “intimate charity.” In a plenary
lecture at the 1957 conference and in a later report, Bishop Mongo emphasized
his people's longstanding tradition of charity and social action—what he termed
“human centered renovations”—which were not motivated by ideological pre-
suppositions, but rather intimate understandings of social turmoil. For nearly a
century, African catechists had organized Christian followers and in attending to
their concerns, shaped perceptions of who was deserving of sympathy, compas-
sion, and assistance. For these communities, the child was neither the principal
victim, nor the bedrock of futurist imaginings for society and state in Africa. As
Mongo informed the 1957 conference attendees, “the child is the raison d’être of
the household … but the Universal Church promotes human persons and
human societies, molding disciples and developing all whom it touches." Mongo
portended that misplaced notions of Christian love that excluded
African adults “subject to passions and crimes like all other humans” and
focused exclusively on economic development in the name of the evolution of
the child had an “air of condescension” that would “risk arousing suspicion and
turning the hearts” of African Christians away from their agenda. Moreover,
Bishop Mongo emphasized that a “qualified African laity” had already built a
religious and moral infrastructure that made humanitarian gestures “more than
an imported product … or an agent of imperialism.” What these local efforts
had accomplished was “authentic progress,” which was recognized as such by
those they affected. Furthermore, by developing the impetus to remedy and
assist as part of Christian morality, young African Christians recognized them-

Mongo rejected science’s validation of cultural prejudice, arguing that
“technical competence is not sufficient” because it lacked a Christian con-
science and a sense of responsibility. Moreover, “scientific proof” of the dele-
terious effects of African patriarchy, tribal structures, family arrangements, and
the “general state of misery of the black race” assumed that at this moment “on
suppose que nous partons de zéro” (you are assuming that we are starting from
scratch). This supposition, he stated, would be quite prejudiced and even fatal
for the cause of moral formation in Africa. “So no,” said Mongo, “thank God,
we are not starting from scratch.” For African priests and lay African Christian
leaders, instructions regarding topics like nutrition, sexuality, communication,
hygiene, and technical education appeared—in the words of the Congolese
philosopher V.Y. Mudimbe—to be particular to one culture, but paradoxically
claimed to be fundamental for all humanity. Predating Bruno Latour’s thesis
by several years, Mongo perceived European science not as a technical pro-
dure or objective set of principles, but rather, a culture. And by having to
interface with scientific “truth,” which emphasized alterity and solidified bina-
ries of civil and uncivil realms of human existence, Mongo foresaw the excom-
munication of Africans from the realm of modern Catholic social action.
Mongo eschewed consequentialist ethics because he believed that utilitarian ambitions often lacked a spiritual foundation. Mongo’s deontological ethics sought not to maximize a particular definition of the good, but rather to “civilize and liberate ... in a climate of fraternal and non self-interested cooperation.”\textsuperscript{96} Although to be “civilized” had assumed a politically controversial inflection in the last years of French rule in Cameroon, Mongo determinedly employed the term to assure the Catholic faithful that he meant those with a “conscientious and active faith,” which allowed them “to be more profoundly and authentically Cameroonian.”\textsuperscript{97} Rather than expressing apprehensive admonitions regarding national independence, Mongo associated the forthcoming nation-state of Cameroon as a sacred space of earned autonomy where Christian principles could be enshrined in law, and where colonial extraction and “self-interested philanthropy” would recede to make way for even more local Christian social movements.\textsuperscript{98} Although arguably overly optimistic for the prospects of broad Catholic engagement in politics and society in postcolonial Cameroon, Mongo’s November 1959 pastoral letter affirmed that the “competent, lucid, and responsible laity in Cameroon are called to assume total responsibility for the local Church.”\textsuperscript{99} With this rhetorical volley, Mongo demanded that both African Christians and foreign ecclesiastical leaders recognize the local spirit that animated charity on the ground, which had “a unifying role” and embodied “a concrete program of action ... that oriented responsibility toward the common good.”\textsuperscript{100}

**Conclusion**

In a moment when Africans were considering the prospect of liberation from colonial rule, the development of new aid agendas by the international community does not seem surprising. New development paradigms and experts’ recommendations inspired European Catholic leaders and prompted their renewed commitments to relief work.\textsuperscript{101} To many African religious leaders in Cameroon, however, new humanitarian agendas seemed utterly divorced from Christ’s model of compassion. The indigenous charitable complex, which had served Cameroon for decades, embodied an arguably more “universal” vision of charity than that of the BICE. Decentralized African systems had consistent intentions—to better the lives of local unmarried men, widows, prostitutes, polygamists, lepers, alcoholics, the sick, unemployed youth, young mothers, victims of abuse, and others who suffered.\textsuperscript{102} Catholic charitable culture and public expressions of piety thrived in interwar as well as postwar Cameroon, even in dynamic experimental moments when discussions of Marxism, radical anticolonialism, and nationalism enlivened village meetings and youth group reunions.\textsuperscript{103}

Europeans’ preponderant focus not only on technical sophistication, but also on the rights of the African child seems cynical in that it marginalized the
great majority of baptized believers on the continent. However, this cynicism served a useful purpose: justifying foreign ecclesiastical leaders’ continued roles as “fathers” in Africa. Child welfare ideologies reoriented international agendas toward strategies rooted in empiricism and metrics that addressed the needs of “innocent” victims, rather than empowering those who had a stake in creating or moderating conditions in which people suffered. In the end, Thomas Mongo and his African colleagues recognized that a spiritually coherent African future based on local, nontechnical charitable and communitarian organizing for a diverse public was incompatible with the BICE’s formulation of a global, transnational Catholic modernity.

In the decade following the Second World War, Cameroon was a shared cultural space in which differing concerns over the same problems emerged simultaneously. The records of the 1957 BICE Conference, as well as internal discussions within the Catholic Church and its foreign missions in the decade after World War II reveal the Janus face of a European clergy confronting modernity, which priests and missionaries defined simultaneously as a new epoch of possibility as well as a cataclysmic turning point. The modern public sphere with its competing ideas about truth and freedom, the market system with its unrelenting pressure to produce and consume, and ideas of citizenship, which compelled individuals to become part of the mechanics of the nation-state, were all deeply unsettling to the European Catholic clergy, who broadly attributed these phenomena to the unfixed experience of secularism. And yet, while thoroughly criticizing prevalent forms of both spiritual and political expression in Africa and claiming a need for the rescue of Christianity’s mission on the continent, the European Catholic clergy and its lay partners in humanitarian organizing moved toward an analytical approach to charitable assistance unconstrained by religious doctrine. In doing this, they compromised the very basis of their moral authority and sabotaged any legitimacy they claimed as leaders of a universal brotherhood.
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