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**FACULTY MEETS — SUPPORTS BOYCOTT — REFUSES TO GIVE BINDING POWER TO COLLEGE COMMITTEE OF TWENTY-SIX**

**Pres. Weaver Appoints 13 Faculty Members To Committee of 26... To Meet Student Reps.**

President Robert C. Weaver announced, after the general faculty meeting, that he had appointed thirteen faculty members to fill the vacancies on the Committee of 26. The President said that these members would be appointed temporarily so that they could meet with the students immediately and that it would be up to the faculty at their meeting today to decide whether to make them permanent or not. This move was seen by students as an attempt by the President to do something about the faculty indecision that was evident at their meeting yesterday. The thirteen members are Professors Berenson, Kweal, Vaisnisky, Elbert, Mann, Lechawi, Lenovenstein, Halboth, Puryear, Plesier, Gayle, Gutman and Dena.

The Student Coalition of Baruch later made their choices of representatives. The structure of the student choices consists of five students from Day Session, five from Evening Session and three from the Graduate Division. These picked were, from Day, Tom Swift, Alan Schoenberg, Leon Tancy, Mark Cohen, and Steve Hornberger. From Evening Session were Stanley Pomerantz, Earle Price, Frank Rodger, Robert Samuelson and John Richberg. Graduate students selected were Herb Rothman, Janet Epstein and Val Cavalier.

One demand of the student committee members, which was agreed upon, was that the committee meetings begin no earlier than 3:30 PM so that the Evening and Graduate students would be able to attend.

**Faculty Adopts Resolution Opposing The General Fee Increase And Supporting Student Protest Efforts...**

Professor Aaron Levenson opened the emergency general faculty meeting by introducing a resolution, which was subsequently adopted by the 218 assembled faculty members, that the faculty notify the Board of Higher Education that it was opposed to the proposed increase in the general fee, as it was actually tuition in disguise, and that the faculty supported any recognized, legitimate student groups in any non-violent, legal means that they use to protest the proposed increase.

When asked if the adoption of this resolution meant that faculty members should be elected from the body or appointed by President Weaver, this was interpreted as meaning that boycotting faculty would not be punished.

The emergency meeting was called to discuss the demand of the Baruch Student Coalition that a twenty-six member committee be formed to discuss and act upon student grievances dealing with the college. The students asked that this committee, to be composed of thirteen students and thirteen faculty members, be given decision-making power that would be binding on both the students and faculty. The first order of business that the faculty performed in regard to this motion was to decide whether the thirteen faculty members should be elected from the body or appointed by President Weaver. For this reason, and also so that the faculty could discuss the amount of power they would give the committee, a recess was called, at which time the student representatives left the meeting to discuss the matter with their colleagues.

This was presented to the faculty by the Day Session representatives, Tom Swift, Steve Hornberger, Leon Tancy and Joel Redman.

Before the recess, however, the Evening Session Student Coalition presented their platform. The faculty, a day later, went to work. (Continued on Page 2)

**FACULTY MEETING AT 3:30**
Faculty Indecision

The first reaction that many students had to yesterday's Emergency Faculty Meeting was that it was a poor joke. Petty squabbling over by-laws and the indecision—that was shown on the matter of how they would select their representatives to the Committee of 26 were barely noticeable actions that would warrant students' confidence in that group of people. However, upon reflecting on the whole situation, one comes to realize that the concern was typical of any group of people. An Student Senate meeting, or club meeting, or any meeting one can think of, even when the most important of issues are at hand, there is bound to be a certain amount of frivolous and idle blabbering. Our faculty holds no exclusive right on this characteristic, and therefore it is not unique to Baruch. As President Weaver said to THE TICKER, "It took the students fourteen days to come up with their proposal. We can't expect the faculty to act in three hours." Please remember students, faculty members are just as human as you. They need time to get their heads together. Let's give them a chance. But the faculty might just be thinking that the students are human, just as you need time to read, remember, don't get mad, and try to save those exams and get caught up in this, because another thing true to human is that they can get interested up to a point and then when that point is reached, they may say, ' enough is enough.' So don't wear faculty and students confronting each other. We urge the faculty to be aware of students and what President Weaver has appointed to his committee. Now is the time for serious, constructive dialogue. Let us work together.

Copping Out

When it came time for the faculty to vote on how it would select its representatives to the Committee of 26, only 100 members were there. When the meeting started, there were over 200 members in attendance. What happened to the other 100? We don't know. The time has come to that there was no excuse for any faculty member to leave that meeting. Baruch College was being discussed, and for anyone to be present. I glass is, or to classes, to be present. The next day, according to the consensus, the committee had reached the following decisions:

- It was decided to have another faculty meeting this Wednesday in order to determine how the faculty should choose its delegates.
- In a voice packed with emotion, Tom Swift announced to the faculty that when news of their indecision would reach the students, he and his representatives would not be able to responsible for any actions that they might take. Immediately some frightened faculty members got up and screamed that this was a threat and an attempt to blackmail the faculty. Committee meetings to allow evening and graduate students to attend. Mr. Surry also expressed support for the demands of the Day Session Students.
- The recess was over and the students returned, President Tom Swift announced that the faculty had reached the following decisions:

They had agreed on the Committee of 26. However, they had not decided as to how to chose their delegates, that is, whether they should be elected or appointed. The vote on this matter was 50 for appointment and 50 for having the members appointed.

Furthermore, the faculty announced that it "couldn't, wouldn't and shouldn't give binding authority" to the 26 Committee. They had agreed to give it power to recommend action to the faculty, but that was all. By next Friday, according to the consensus, the 26 committee should have recommendations available.

It was decided to have another faculty meeting this Wednesday in order to determine how the faculty should choose its delegates.
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